CompCytogen II(2):189–201 (2017) doi:10.3897/CompCytogen.vIIi2.II980 http://compcytogen.pensoft.net

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Further studies on Boreonectes Angus, 2010, with a molecular phylogeny of the Palaearctic species of the genus

Robert B. Angus¹, Ignacio Ribera², Fenglong Jia³

I Department of Life Sciences (Insects), The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK

2 Institut de Biologia Evolutiva (CSIC-UPF), Passeig Maritim de la Barceloneta, 37-49, 08003 Barcelona, Spain

3 Institute of Entomology, Life Science School, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 570275, Guangdong, China

Corresponding author: Robert B. Angus (r.angus@rhul.ac.uk)

Academic editor: D. Lachowska Received 29 January 2016 Accepted 3 March 2017 1	Published 22 March 2017

Citation: Angus RB, Ribera I, Jia F (2017) Further studies on *Boreonectes* Angus, 2010, with a molecular phylogeny of the Palaearctic species of the genus. Comparative Cytogenetics 11(2): 189–201. https://doi.org/10.3897/CompCytogen.v11i2.11980

Abstract

Karyotypes are given for *Boreonectes emmerichi* (Falkenström, 1936) from its type locality at Kangding, China, and for *B. alpestris* (Dutton & Angus, 2007) from the St Gotthard and San Bernardino passes in the Swiss Alps. A phylogeny based on sequence data from a combination of mitochondrial and nuclear genes recovered western Palaearctic species of *Boreonectes* as monophyletic with strong support. *Boreonectes emmerichi* was placed as sister to the north American forms of *B. griseostriatus* (De Geer, 1774), although with low support. The diversity of Palaearctic species of the *B. griseostriatus* species group is discussed.

Keywords

Dytiscidae, Boreonectes, B. emmerichi, B. alpestris, molecular phylogeny, diversity, karyotypes

Introduction

The genus *Boreonectes* Angus, 2010 comprises small diving beetles and most of the species are endemic to the Nearctic Region (Angus 2010b). However, one species-complex, including the type species of the genus (*B. griseostriatus* (De Geer, 1774)) is widely distributed in the Palaearctic, where a number of chromosomally distinct species have

been recognised (Dutton and Angus 2007; Angus 2008, 2010a; Angus et al. 2015). Angus et al. (2015), in their analysis of *Boreonectes emmerichi* (Falkenström, 1936), noted that the type material, from the Kangding area of Sichuan, China, was darker than the Qinghai material they used for chromosome analysis, and in particular none of the Qinghai material had the darks marks on the pronotum as extensive as in the type material. Nevertheless, they noted that DNA (COI) data obtained by Ignacio Ribera from this material closely matched those obtained from material collected near Nam Tso (Xizang), much further south on the Plateau than the Qinghai material, and in the light of this felt that the Qinghai material could safely be referred to *B. emmerichi*. They suggested that the darker colouration of the Kangding material was perhaps associated with a more wooded environment.

In June–July 2016 one of us (R. B. Angus) had the opportunity to visit the Kangding area and collect *B. emmerichi* from its type area, for chromosome analysis. This confirms the identity of the Qinghai material as *B. emmerichi*. Then in August 2016 R. B. Angus was able to visit Switzerland and to collect *Boreonectes* from the St Gotthard and San Bernardino passes, localities where I. Ribera & A. Cieslak had in 2002 collected material considered to be *B. griseostriatus* (De Geer, 1774) (Abellán et al. 2013) Surprisingly, according to their karyotypes the Swiss populations were found to be *B. alpestris* (Dutton & Angus, 2007), although the sequenced markers were found to have identical sequences to those obtained from the 2002 material.

This study aims to clarify the identities of *B. emmerichi* (Falkenström, 1936) and *B. alpestris* Dutton & Angus, 2007, from some localities in the Alps using karyotype and molecular data. To establish their phylogenetic positions, we build a molecular phylogeny of the genus *Boreonectes* including most Palaearctic and a representation of Nearctic species.

Material and methods

The material used for chromosome preparations is listed in Table 1.

Specimens were brought back to the laboratory alive and placed in small aquaria where they were fed with living larvae of Chironomidae (Diptera). Chromosome preparation and photography were as described by Dutton and Angus (2007). In fact, most

Species	Locality	Material
D man michi	CHINA SICHUAN. Kangding County	
(Fallronatröm 1026)	Yalashenshan.30.215°N,101.757°E	2♂♂,4♀♀
(Faikelistroiii, 1930)	Small pools 4052 m a.s.l.	
	SWITZERLAND Ticino. San Bernardino pass. 46.499°N	1 ()
B. alpestris (Dutton &	101.755°E. Pool 2030 m a.s.l.	Ι¥
Angus, 2007)	SWITZERLAND Ticino. St Gotthard pass	17
	46.559°N 8.562°E Pool 2112 m a.s.l.	10

Table 1. Material giving chromosome preparations.

of the *B. emmerichi* died before they reached the laboratory but sufficient material, all from the same locality, survived to give chromosome preparations. Survival of the Swiss *B. alpestris* was much better, but only two specimens gave preparations from which karyotypes could be assembled.

For DNA extraction and sequencing we used the same methodology as various recent works on the same family Dytiscidae (e.g. García-Vázquez et al. 2016). Briefly, specimens were directly preserved in absolute ethanol in the field, and preserved at -20°C until processed. Extractions of single specimens were non-destructive, using the DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). Vouchers and DNA samples are kept in the collections of the Institut de Biologia Evolutiva (IBE). We included examples of all known species of Palaearctic *Boreonectes* with the sole exception of *B. inexpectatus* (Dutton & Angus, 2007), known from a single lake in the Alps (Dutton and Angus 2007). We also included as outgroups several species of Nearctic *Boreonectes*, including specimens of *B. griseostriatus* (De Geer, 1774) (Table 2). The tree was rooted in two species of the genus *Stictotarsus*, the closest relatives of *Boreonectes* according to the phylogeny in García-Vázquez et al. (2016).

We sequenced four mitochondrial genes in two PCR reactions: 3' end of cytochrome c oxidase subunit (COI); and a single fragment including the 3' end of the large ribosomal unit (16S), the whole tRNA–Leu gene and the 5' end of the NADH dehydrogenase 1. We also amplified fragments of one nuclear gene, histone 3 (H3) (see García-Vázquez et al. 2016 for the primers used). New sequences have been deposited in the EMBL database with Accession Numbers LT796523–LT796555 (Table 2).

We aligned the sequences using the MAFFT online v.6 and the Q–INS–i algorithm (Katoh and Toh 2008). We used Maximum Likelihood as implemented in RAxML-HPC2 (Stamatakis et al. 2008) in the CIPRES science gateway (Miller et al. 2010), using GTR+G as evolutionary model and three partitions corresponding to the three amplified fragments. Node support was assessed with 100 fast bootstrap replicas.

Results and discussion

B. alpestris (Dutton & Angus, 2007)

Published information: 2n = 54 + X0 (\mathcal{C}), XX (\mathcal{Q}) (Dutton and Angus 2007). Mitotic chromosomes, arranged as karyotypes, are shown in Fig. 1a–e. Fig. 1a shows a paratype male, Giemsa stained, Fig. 1b, c shows a male from the Colle del Nivolet, the same nucleus Giemsa stained and C-banded, and Fig. 1d, e shows the same nucleus from a female from the Paso San Bernardino, Giemsa stained and C-banded. The chromosomes in these preparations are in complete agreement with each another. Metaphase I of meiosis from a male from the St Gotthard Pass is shown in Fig. 2a (Giemsa stained) and b (the same nucleus C-banded). The C-banded preparation enables the unpaired X chromosome to be identified. Although preparations from only two of the specimens attempted resulted in complete karyotypes, several other specimens yielded almost

Ā	
ew	
s n	
JCe	
ner	
seq	
Ť	
loc	
n l	
s. I	
ber	
Im	
มน	
ion	
ess	
acc	
Ľ	
ME	
Ξ	
pui	
r, a	
cto	
olle	
l cc	
anc	
ty :	
cali	
loc	
er,	
mb	
nu	
ler	
uch	
IOV	
ith	
M	
'ny,	
oge	
hyl	
Įd .	
ılaı	
lecı	
no	
le I	
r th	
foi	
ied	
ndi	ly.
l st	tud
sria	IS S
laté	th.
Σ	for
6	led
ble	ain
Ta	obt

NA H3	51 LT796537	LT796535	LT796536	- 609	512 -	LT796541	LT796538	LT796539	LT796540		1	52 LT796542	393 LT796543	23 TT70K5 44
16S+tR +NAD	LT7965	1	1	HF9314	HF9315	١	1	1	1	TT7965	1	LT7965	HF9313	27021
COI	LT796525	LT796523	LT796524	HF931186	HF931275	LT796531	LT796528	LT796529	LT796530	LT796526	LT796527	LT796532	HF931173	1T706523
Leg	R.B. Angus	R.B. Angus	R.B. Angus	I. Ribera & A. Cieslak	I. Ribera & A. Cieslak	R.B. Angus, F-L Jia, Z-Q. Li & K. Chen	R.B. Angus, F-L Jia, Z-Q. Li & K. Chen	R.B. Angus, F-L Jia, Z-Q. Li & K. Chen	R.B. Angus, F-L Jia, Z-Q. Li & K. Chen	R.B. Angus, F.L. Jia & Y. Zhang.	R.B. Angus, F.L. Jia & Y. Zhang.	J. Schmidt	Y. Alarie	A NI NI:looon
Locality	Piemonte. Gran Paradiso Nat. Park. Colle del Nivolet, roadside lake at ca 2500 m. 3.9.2010	Col de San Bernardino, ponds	St. Gottardo pass, summit	Col de San Bernardino, ponds 13.10.2002	St. Gottardo pass, summit, 27.7.00 Sichuan, Kangding County, Yalashenshan. Small nools 4052m 27.6.2016		CHINA Sichuan.Kangding County. Yalashenshan, Small pools 4074 m a.s.l. 27.6.2016	Sichuan, Kangding County Boij ta Car parking zone, Grassy and weedy pools. 3531 m a.s.l. 28.6.2016	Sichuan, Kangding County Yajiaheng, Shallow stony & peaty pools 3337 m a.s.l. 30.6.2016	N. Qinghai Hu, Gangca, 1 km SE of Gangca Dasi, stream, 3464m 5.6.2013	Qinghai, Golo, Maduo, Roadside pools on river flats ca 20 km SE Maduo. 8.6.2013	S Tibet, S Namtso lake 4750m, banks, 21.7.2010	California, 9.2000	prov. Angermanland, Hörnefors parish,
Country	Italy	Switzerland	Switzerland	Switzerland	Switzerland	China	China	China	China	China	China	Tibet	California (US)	-
Voucher	IBE-RA263	IBE-AN579	IBE-AN580	MNCN-AI298	NHM-IR309	IBE-AN581	IBE-AN582	IBE-AN583	IBE-AN584	IBE-RA1167	IBE-RA1168	IBE-RA891	MNCN-AI1208	VANTON ATOSA
Species	<i>B. alpestris</i> (Dutton & Angus, 2007)	B. alpestris	B. alpestris	B. alpestris	B. alpestris	<i>B. emmerichi</i> (Falkenström, 1936)	B. emmerichi	B. emmerichi	B. emmerichi	B. emmerichi	B. emmerichi	B. emmerichi	B. funereus (Crotch, 1873)	B. griseostriatus (De Geer,
No		2	3	4	5	9		∞	6	10	11	12	13	~ -

Z	Suecies	Voucher	Country	Locality	Γeσ	COL	16S+tRNA	H3
		1011000	(minor	farmoor	9~-	100	+NAD1	
15	<i>B. griseostriatus</i> (De Geer, 1774) cplx	MNCN-AI1160	California (US)	Napa Co., Knoxville Recreation Area, 2000	T. Berendonk	HF931168	HF931387	LT796547
16	B. griseostriatus cplx	MNCN-AI1150	California (US)	Sacramento Co., Clay Station Rd., 20.6.1999	W.D. Shepard & C.B. Barr	HF931166	HF931385	LT796545
17	B. griseostriatus cplx	IBE-RA483	California (US)	Marin Co., Seasonal Pools in Dillans Beach Dunes, Spring 2011.	D. Post	HF931317	HF931541	LT796546
18	B. griseostriatus strandi (Brinck, 1943)	MNCN-AI1082	Norway	Bugöynes, 29.7.2006	S. Ligaard & B. Andrén	HF931153	HF931372	LT796548
19	<i>B. ibericus</i> (Dutton & Angus, 2007)	NHM-IR22	Portugal	Sa. Da Estrela, Torre, lagoon 25.7.1998	I. Ribera	EF670064	EF670030	EF670157
20	B. macedonicus (Georgiev, 1959)	MNCN-AI1120	Macedonia	Macedonia, Sar (Shar) Planina, Karanikolicko ezero Black Nick's Lake, 6.9.2006	R.B. Angus	LT796534	LT796554	LT796549
21	<i>B. multilineatus</i> (Falkenström, 1922)	MNCN-AI115	Faroes (Isl.)	20.9.2004	J. Hansen	HF931165	HF931384	ı
22	<i>B. riberae</i> (Dutton & Angus, 2007)	MNCN-AI829	Turkey	Düzce, between Kartalkaya and Çaydurt, pools in mountain pass, 1700m 23.4.2006	I. Ribera	HF931232	HF931461	LT796550
23	<i>B. striatellus</i> (Le Conte, 1852)	NHM-IR295	California (US)	Mono co., Long Valley 19.6.2000	I. Ribera & A. Cieslak	HF931274	HF931511	١
24	Stictotarsus falli Nilsson, 2001	NHM-IR334	New Mexico (US)	New Mexico, 9.2000	Y. Alarie	EF670063	EF670029	EF670155
25	<i>Stictotarsus roffii</i> (Clark, 1862)	NHM-IR335	Texas (US)	Texas, 9.2000	Y. Alarie	AJ850607	AJ850355	EF670158

	1	2		3	4	5	6		7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	x
а	X	i	1	ł))	11	-	jJ	1	11	31	11	X	11)(21	11	11	11	11	16	18	11	=	11	#	16	11)[)
b	D)()	K	11	11)	ł	26	17	11	11	į, k	11	2 (11	11	38	i i	::	11	21	>1	11	11	35	11	+ 6	5
с	5)(())	Į4	11	1)	ŧ	и	۶ę	H	ŧ1	t a	11	βf	56	11	21	ð s	88	31	38	21	36	81	§ <	97	**	5
d	11	11	1	3	×	ы	81	1	1	55	31	23	48	14	11	1)	38	11	8 8	84	11	13	\$\$	11	32	52	13	£ t	5 K	(5)
е	•(į,	ł	1	34	ŧ	ţ1	1	11	ŧ 8	9 H	33	11	11	33		8 H	58	91	۶ (81	81	11	* 1	8 16	<u>8</u> 4	11	88	**	11
f	R	{{	1	5	80	23	ŝ	1	3	15	11	81	Ŋ	in the	и	78	58	3%	5 K	譒	3%	22	88	78	14	58	82	83		No.
q	ft	ł	ij	1	8		1	()	8	;;	88	ų	Ħ	11	Ħ	78	68	ðХ	88	31	98	88	88	¥ h	18	88	8a	88		8
h	35	21	1	Å	81	56	1	1	\$	7#	11	31	21	11	\$8	71	<u>5</u> 5	£ z	32	11	75	XX	22	3 X	88	58	11	13		11
i	н	į.	1	٨	83	81		1	¢	≻ii	11	28	11	11	88	28	81	158	88	88	81	88	8.8	• •	**	8.8	3.4	* 8		53
i	11	11	1	1	22	14	-	1	12	\$ 1	4.5	32	38	33	a s	6.8		15	8.8			15		18	88	84	ŧπ			ţ .
k	Ð	81	\$	8	90	11	11	1	i å	=	19	# A	**	Þ.5	n ij	÷ t	58	11	8.5	**	**	ų e	**	**	*	88	8.6	* 8		6
I	i i	11		1	88	XX	à	6 1	2	\$2	18	25	28	24	\$2	28	44	22	84	23	22	35		83	22	87	6.8	₩₩ 1		٢.
m	0.00	89 89	10.00		ŧ1		ě	1	â	1	ê M	ងដ ឆ្នាំដ	p a p a	śà	清书 旧代	10	15	18 88	18 18	88	28	តែអ្	10	36	22	\$5	75	84		
n	25	11	1	1	5	1	6	5 1	1	î ŝ	11	11	58	11	\$1	15))	88	¥	88	88	63	11	p n	94	83	31	× e		ş
0	11	{{	1	15	80	13	ŝ	1	3	13	17	55	71	íR	Ц	78	請	38	₫ K	35	3%	22	28	18	11	58	82	83		No.
р	ft	f	()	1	8		ł	()	8	;;	88	ų	Ħ	11	Ħ	78	58	81	88	31	98	88	88	¥ h	18	68	8a	88		8
q	58	Ň	2	à	3 8	88		1	5	₩8	12	38	88	ដូត	12	52	õ A	8 8	22	8	23	83	7 4	X 2	86	Z, K	3 W	**		No.
r	•	•								6	98	88	28	88	63		đ٩	98		22	86		×.	5	83	9 8	8 A	Яù.		•

Figure 1. Mitotic chromosomes from mid gut of *Boreonectes* spp., arranged as karyotypes. **a**–**e** *B. alpestris*, **a** paratype \Diamond , Giemsa stained (from Dutton, Angus, (2007)) **b**, **c** \Diamond , Colle del Nivolet **b** Giemsa stained, **c** the same nucleus C-banded (from Angus (2010)) **d**, **e** San Bernardino, \heartsuit , **d** Giemsa stained **e** the same nucleus C-banded **f–k** *B. emmerichi* **f**, **g** \Diamond Yalashenshan **f** Giemsa stained **g** the same nucleus C-banded **f** (from Angus et al (2015)) **I–n** *B. macedonicus* $\Diamond \Diamond$ (from Angus (2008)) **I** Crno ezero Giemsa stained **m** Karanikoličko ezero Giemsa stained **n** the same nucleus C-banded **r** \heartsuit Shown in **f**, **g q**, **r** *B. macedonicus* Crete **q** \Diamond Giemsa stained **r** \heartsuit C-banded (from Angus (2008)). Scale = 5 µm.

complete karyotypes and in no case were more than 55 (\mathcal{C}) or 56 (\mathcal{Q}) chromosomes counted. Since *B. griseostriatus* has a karyotype with 61 or 62 chromosomes (\mathcal{C} , \mathcal{Q}) it is very unlikely that this species was present in either sample.

We extracted and sequenced two specimens of *B. alpestris* from the same St. Gotthard and San Bernardino populations used to obtain the karyotypes, which had almost identical sequences for the mitochondrial genes (with only 1 mismatch in the COI gene) as two specimens from the same localities collected in 2000 and 2002



Figure 2. *B. alpestris* ∂ St Gotthard meiosis metaphase I **a** Giemsa stained **b** the same nucleus C-banded. Scale = 5 μ m.

respectively (Table 2) and reported as *S. griseostriatus* in Abellán et al. (2013). These four specimens differ from one sequenced *B. alpestris* from Colle del Nivolet in five nucleotides in the COI gene (with a length of 826), and one in the 16S gene (with a length of 796 nucleotides). The nuclear gene H3 (with a length of 328 nucleotides) was identical for all sequenced specimens of *B. alpestris* (Table 1), and identical to the other Palaearctic species of the genus.

B. emmerichi (Falkenström, 1936)

Published information: 2n = 52 + X0 (\bigcirc), XX (\bigcirc) (Angus et al. 2015). Mitotic chromosomes, arranged as karyotypes, are shown in Fig. 1f-k, n, o. The new preparations, from the Kangding area, (Fig. 1f-i) are much better than the Qinghai ones shown by Angus et al. (2015), with the chromosomes less condensed and the C-banding more clearly defined. They confirm the published features of the karyotype and also allow improved comparison of the karyotypes of B. emmerichi and B. macedonicus (Georgiev, 1959). Thus autosome pair 12 was stated to be more evenly metacentric in B. emmerichi, and comparison of the Sichuan male preparation (Fig. 10, p) with a similarly elongate preparation of B. macedonicus from Karanikoličko ezero (Fig. 1m, n) and with Cretan B. macedonicus (Fig. 1q, r) confirms this. Similarly, the smaller size of autosome pair 26 when compared with pairs 24 and 25 in macedonicus as against the more similar sizes of these three pairs in *emmerichi* is confirmed. However, the possibly smaller X chromosome in *emmerichi*, as was suggested by preparations of mitosis but not metaphase II of meiosis, is shown not to be the case. This demonstration that these are chromosomally distinct species is in complete agreement with the degree of difference shown by the COI DNA of the two species (Fig. 5).

Variation within the species

B. alpestris (Dutton & Angus, 2007)

One of the surprises associated with collection of this species in 2016 was that the specimens from the St Gotthard pass appeared, even in the field, as noticeably larger than those from the San Bernardino. At the time it seemed that the St Gotthard specimens might be *B. griseostriatus* and those from the San Bernardino *B. alpestris*. In the event this proved not to be the case, but the size differences remain. Thus 10 C C from the San Bernardino range in length from 4.0–4.4 mm, with a mean length of 4.26 mm, while for 17 Q from the same site the values are 5.2–5.1 mm, mean 4.38. From the St Gotthard the values are: 5 C, 4.3–4.6 mm, mean 4.51, and 8 Q, 4.4–4.6 mm, mean 4.56 mm.

B. emmerichi (Falkenström, 1936)

As mentioned in the Introduction, the type material of *B. emmerichi* has more extensive darker markings, especially on the pronotum, than material from Qinghai, though it is matched by material from Sejilashan in southern Xizang (Angus et al. 2015). It was suggested that this more extensive darkening may be associated with wooded habitats. Latitude and longitude references for the type localities are, for Tatsienlu Tjiji 30°25' (=30.417°) N 102°40'(=102.667°) E (Balke 1992) and for Mukue Tatsienlu 30.05°N 102.03°E (Sykes et al. 2006). Google Earth gives altitudes of 1944 m a.s.l. for Tatsienlu Tjiji and 3935 m a.s.l. for Mukue Tatsienlu, with both localities in wooded areas. The localities from which B. emmerichi were collected in 2016 were: 1: Yalashenshan, 30.215°N 101.757°E, alt. 4052 m a.s.l.; 2: Yalashenshan, 30.205°N 101.755°E, alt. 4074 m a.s.l.; 3: Boij ta car parking area near Xinduqiao on the Kangding-Lhasa road, 30.048°N 101.569°E, alt. 3551 m a.s.l.; and 4: Yajiaheng, 29.927°N 101.995°E, alt. 3337 m a.s.l. Only the Boij ta locality (site 3) was in a wooded zone. In all localities water levels had risen recently due to the ongoing rain (see the silty water in Fig. 3e) but most of the pools had some aquatic vegetation. Only the pool shown in Fig. 3d had few beetles, suggesting that it was only recently flooded. All the chromosome preparations were from specimens collected at site 1. All this material has extensive dark markings. Fig. 4a shows the lectotype of B. emmerichi, while Fig. 4b, c shows two specimens from site 1. The specimen shown in "b" is a very close match for the lectotype and is the specimen from which the chromosomes shown in Fig. 1f, g were obtained. It seems clear that this more extensive darkening of the specimens is a regional phenomenon and not just a response to immediate local conditions.

Material from the northern part of the Tibetan Plateau is generally paler, and Fig. 4d shows a particularly pale specimen from Maduo, original shown as Fig. 1g by Angus et al. (2015). The palest specimen so far seen is from the western end of the Kun Lun mountains, taken by Ying Zhang. I have seen only a photograph of this specimen and this, though not good, does show the pattern (Fig. 4e).



Figure 3. *B. emmerichi* collecting sites. **a**, **b** site 1, Yalashenshan, 4052 m a.s.l, **b** with Fenglong Jia **c** site 2, Yalashenshan, 4074 m **d** site 4, Yajiaheng, 3337 m a.s.l. **e** site 3, Boij ta, 3495 m a.s.l. with Robert Angus collecting.



Figure 4. *B. emmerichi*, habitus. **a** lectotype **b**, **c** males from site 1, Yalashenshan **d** pale specimen from Maduo **e** the palest specimen seen, from the western Kun Lun mountains.

A molecular phylogeny of the Palaearctic B. griseostriatus complex

The analysis of the combined mitochondrial and nuclear data recovered a monophyletic *Boreonectes* with strong support (Fig. 5), although due to the reduced number of



Figure 5. Phylogeny of the Palaearctic species of *Boreonectes*, obtained with maximum likelihood in RAxML using the combined mitochondrial and nuclear data. Numbers in nodes, bootstrap support. See Table 2 for details of the specimens.

outgroups its monophyly cannot be assessed adequately. The Palaearctic species, on the contrary, were not monophyletic, as *B. emmerichi* was found to be closer to some Nearctic specimens of the *B. griseostriatus* (De Geer, 1774) complex than to the western Palaearctic species, although with low support (Fig. 5). The Western Palaearctic spe-



Figure 6. Maps of the distributions of various *B. griseostriatus* group species. **a** the Tibetan Plateau showing the localities of studied material of *B. emmerichi* **b** the Iberian Peninsula with the distributions of *B. ibericus* and *B. multilineatus* **c** The Alps with the distributions of *B. ibericus, inexpectatus, griseostriatus* and *alpestris.*

cies were recovered as monophyletic with strong support, and divided into the eastern Mediterranean *B. macedonicus* (Gerogiev, 1959) and *B. riberae* (Dutton & Angus, 2007) on one clade and all the western species on the other. Within the latter clade, species seem to be very close to each other, with poor resolution among them and no variation in the nuclear marker (H3, see above), suggesting a very recent expansion and differentiation between them. Contrary to the lack of genetic variation among the Western Palaearctic species of the group, the three studied Nearctic specimens show a high divergence, with ca. 13% of variable positions in the COI gene. There are no available data on the karyotypes of these Nearctic populations, but most probably they represent a complex of undescribed species.

The Palaearctic species show a clearly uneven distribution of their diversity (Fig. 6). Thus, all the material from the Tibetan Plateau is B. emmerichi, with only limited variation in COI haplotypes. This can be compared with the situation in the Iberian Peninsula, occupying an area similar in size to the eastern part of the Tibetan Plateau, from which the B. emmerichi data have been obtained. Here again only one species, B. ibericus (Dutton and Angus 2007) is present, and this species has a wider distribution, also occurring in the French Alpes-Maritimes and Italian Alpi Marittime (Dutton and Angus 2007; Angus 2008), on Corsica (Angus 2010a) and in the Middle Atlas of Morocco (Angus 2010b). The occurrence of B. multilineatus (Falkenström, 1922) in the Pyrenees (Angus 2010b) reflects higher diversity, and this species is also known from inland Sweden and the British Isles (Dutton and Angus 2007) and, from COI data, from the Faroe Islands. The COI of this species appears sufficiently distinct (Fig. 5) to give confidence to the identification, although the study of more material is needed. However, the centre of high diversity is the Alps, where four chromosomally distinct species occur. One of these, B. inexpectatus is known only from a single lake, the Lac de Lauzet Inférieur (Dutton and Angus 2007) but the other three, B. ibericus, B. griseostriatus and B. alpestris, are more widely distributed, though apparently always allopatric. It is possible that the diversity of this group of species in Western Europe is, in part at least, a result of faunal movements associated with Pleistocene climatic fluctuations. B. griseostriatus group species are known as fossils in deposits dating from the Last Glaciation in the English Midlands (e.g. Coope et al. 1961), as well as from Starunia in the Ukrainian Carpathians (Angus 2010a), both areas outside the current known ranges of these species.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Natural History Museum London, Royal Holloway University of London and the Biology School of Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou for research facilities, Dandan Zhang for her help for booking hotel and flight tickets for the Kangding collection, Zhiqiang Li and Mr. Kai Chen for their help when we were working on field, A. Izquierdo, R. Alonso and A. Cardoso (IBE) for laboratory work, and Ying Zhang for information and the photograph of *B. emmerichi* from the Kun Lun mountains. We thank José Serrano and one anonymous reviewer for helpful suggestions. Molecular work was funded by projects CGL2010-15755 and CGL2013-48950-C2-1-P to IR.

References

- Abellán P, Sánchez-Fernández D, Picazo F, Millán A, Lobo JM, Ribera I (2013) Preserving the evolutionary history of freshwater biota in Iberian National Parks. Biological Conservation 162: 116–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2013.04.001
- Angus RB (2008) Further Karyosystematic investigation of the *Stictotarsus griseostriatus* (De Geer) group of sibling species (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae). Comparative Cytogenetics 2(2): 151–156. http://www.zin.ru/journals/compcyt/pdf/2/Angus.pdf
- Angus RB (2010a) A third karyosystematic investigation of the Stictotarsus griseostriatus (De Geer) group of sibling species (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae). Comparative Cytogenetics 4(1): 13–20. https://doi.org/10.3897/compcytogen.v4i1.27
- Angus RB (2010b) *Boreonectes* gen. n. a new genus for the *Stictotarsus griseostriatus* (De Geer) (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae), with additional karyosystematic data on the group. Comparative Cytogenetics 4: 123–131.https:// doi.org/10.3897/compcytogen.v4i2.46
- Angus RB, Angus EM, Jia FL, Chen Z-n, Zhang Y (2015) Further karyosystematic studies on the *Boreonectes griseostriatus* (De Geer) group of sibling species (Coleoptera, Dytiscidae)– characterisation of *B. emmerichi* (Falkenström, 1936) and additional European data. Comparative Cytogenetics 9(1): 133–144. https://doi.org/10.3897/CompCytogen.v9i1.4463
- Balke M (1992) Systematische und faunistische Untersuchungen an paläarktischen, orientalischen und afrotropischen Arten von *Rhantus* Dejean (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae). Mitteilungen der Schweizerischen entomologischen Gesellschaft 65(3-4): 283–296. http:// doi.org/10.5169/seals-402489
- Coope GR, Shotton FW, Strachan I (1961) A late Pleistocene fauna and flora from Upton Warren, Worcestershire. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B 244: 379–421. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.19610012
- Dutton LA, Angus RB (2007) A karyosystematic investigation of a group of sibling species related to *Stictotarsus griseostriatus* (De Geer) (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae) Comparative Cytogenetics 1(1): 3–16. http://www.zin.ru/journals/compcyt/pdf/1/DuttonAngus.pdf
- García-Vázquez D, Bilton DT, Alonso R, Benetti CJ, Garrido J, Valladares LF, Ribera I (2016) Reconstructing ancient Mediterranean crossroads in *Deronectes* diving beetles. Journal of Biogeography 43: 1533–1545. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12740
- Katoh K, Toh H (2008) Recent developments in the MAFFT multiple sequence alignment program. Briefings in Bioinformatics 9: 286–298. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbn013
- Miller MA, Pfeiffer W, Schwartz T (2010) Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. Proceedings of the Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE), 14 November 2010, New Orleans, LA, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1109/ GCE.2010.5676129
- Sykes DR, Madge RB, Trumbo ST (2006) Revision of *Nicrophorus* in part: new species and inferred phylogeny of the *nepalensis* group based on evidence from morphology and mitochondrial DNA. (Coleoptera: Silphidae: Nicrophorinae). Invertebrate Systematics 20(3): 30. https://doi.org/10.1071/is05020