RESEARCH ARTICLE



Karyotypes of parasitic wasps of the family Eulophidae (Hymenoptera) attacking leaf-mining Lepidoptera (Gracillariidae, Gelechiidae)

Vladimir E. Gokhman¹, Zoya A. Yefremova², Ekaterina N. Yegorenkova³

1 Botanical Garden, Moscow State University, Moscow 119234, Russia **2** Department of Zoology, The George S. Wise Faculty of Life Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel **3** Department of Geography, Ulyanovsk State Pedagogical University, Ulyanovsk 432700, Russia

Corresponding author: Vladimir E. Gokhman (vegokhman@hotmail.com)

Academic editor: N. Golub | Received 31 October 2013 | Accepted 19 January 2014 | Published 25 February 2014

Citation: Gokhman VE, Yefremova ZA, Yegorenkova EN (2014) Karyotypes of parasitic wasps of the family Eulophidae (Hymenoptera) attacking leaf-mining Lepidoptera (Gracillariidae, Gelechiidae). Comparative Cytogenetics 8(1): 31–41. doi: 10.3897/CompCytogen.v8i1.6537

Abstract

Karyotypes of eleven parasitoid species of the family Eulophidae were examined, namely, *Chrysocharis laomedon* (Walker, 1839) (2n = 10), *Chrysocharis* sp. aff. *laomedon* (n = 5, 2n = 10), *Chrysocharis* sp. aff. *albipes* (Ashmead, 1904) (2n = 12), *Mischotetrastichus petiolatus* (Erdös, 1961) (n = 6, 2n = 12), *Minotetrastichus frontalis* (Nees, 1834) (n = 5, 2n = 10), *Cirrospilus pictus* (Nees, 1834) (2n = 12), *Hyssopus geniculatus* (Hartig, 1838) (2n = 16), *Sympiesis gordius* (Walker, 1839) (2n = 12), *S. sericeicornis* (Nees, 1834) (2n = 12), *Pnigalio agraules* (Walker, 1839) (2n = 12 + 0–2B) and *Pnigalio gyamiensis* Myartseva & Kurashev, 1990 (2n = 12 + 0–6B) reared from *Phyllonorycter acerifoliella* (Zeller, 1839), *Ph. apparella* (Herrich-Schäffer, 1855), *Ph. issikii* (Kumata, 1963) (Gracillariidae) and *Chrysoesthia sexguttella* (Thunberg, 1794) (Gelechiidae). Chromosome sets of all species except *P. agraules* and *P. gyamiensis* were studied for the first time. B chromosomes were detected in the two latter species; in *P. gyamiensis*, the maximum number of B chromosomes represents the highest value known for parasitic wasps to date.

Keywords

Hymenoptera, Chalcidoidea, Eulophidae, Lepidoptera, Gracillariidae, Gelechiidae, chromosomes, karyotypes, B chromosomes

Copyright Vladimir E. Gokhman et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

The Eulophidae are one of the largest and most diverse families of the hymenopteran superfamily Chalcidoidea. This group currently contains about 300 genera and 4500 described species (Noyes 2013). Chromosomal study of these parasitoids is becoming a rapidly developing research field due to its implications for taxonomy and evolutionary history of this morphologically challenging group, with about 60 species of the family Eulophidae (i.e. more than 1% of described species) karyotyped up to now. Specifically, two reviews of Eulophidae karyology were published in the 2000s (Gokhman 2002, 2004), and a number of other papers on this subject appeared since that time (e.g. Gebiola et al. 2012a, Gokhman and Gumovsky 2013, and references therein) including a monograph on karyology of hymenopteran parasitoids (Gokhman 2009). Furthermore, certain members of the family have become objects of an advanced cytogenetic study (Bolsheva et al. 2012). We have recently examined chromosome sets of a number of Eulophidae species associated with leaf-mining Lepidoptera of the families Gracillariidae and Gelechiidae. This group of the family Eulophidae has been chosen for the present study because its members are well known as the most abundant parasitoids of leaf-mining Lepidoptera (see e.g. Yefremova and Mishchenko 2008, Yefremova et al. 2009, 2011). At the same time, many species of these parasitic wasps belong to the subfamily Eulophinae that is, in turn, the most karyotypically diverse group of the Eulophidae (Gokhman 2002, 2009).

Material and methods

The material used in this study was collected by V.E. Gokhman and E.N. Yegorenkova in the Moscow (Ozhigovo, 60 km SW Moscow; 55°27'N; 36°52'E) and Ulyanovsk Provinces (Ulyanovsk; 54°16'N; 48°20'E) of Russia in 2012–2013 respectively (Table 1). All parasitoids were reared from Phyllonorycter acerifoliella (Zeller, 1839) on Acer platanoides Linnaeus, Ph. apparella (Herrich-Schäffer, 1855) on Populus tremula Linnaeus, Ph. issikii (Kumata, 1963) (Gracillariidae) on Tilia cordata Miller and Chrysoesthia sexguttella (Thunberg, 1794) (Gelechiidae) on Chenopodium album Linnaeus. Preparations of mitotic chromosomes (as well as meiotic chromosomes where available) of all species except Minotetrastichus frontalis were obtained from ovaries of adult females according to the protocol developed by Gokhman (2009) with minor modifications. Specifically, the extracted ovaries were incubated in 0.5% hypotonic colchicine-added sodium citrate solution for 30 min (the original technique implies incubation in 1% hypotonic solution for 20 min). Alternatively, chromosomes of gregarious M. frontalis were studied on preparations of cerebral ganglia of prepupae according to the slightly modified technique developed by Imai et al. (1988). Again, above-specified incubation parameters were used; a few individuals from some broods were reared to the adult stage and then identified. Numbers of examined specimens as well as mitotic and meiotic divisions for each species are given in

Table 1. Cell divisions were studied and photographed using an optic microscope Zeiss Axioskop 40 FL fitted with a digital camera AxioCam MRc. To obtain karyograms, the resulting images were processed with image analysis programs Zeiss AxioVision version 3.1 and Adobe Photoshop version 8.0. Mitotic chromosomes were subdivided into four groups: metacentrics (M), submetacentrics (SM), subtelocentrics (ST) and acrocentrics (A) following guidelines provided by Levan et al. (1964) and Insua et al. (2006). For species with already known karyotypes, morphometric analysis of chromosomal morphology was performed. Selected metaphase plates with the clearly visible centromeric position of every chromosome were measured using Adobe Photoshop; relative lengths and centromeric indices of all chromosomes were calculated and given in Table 2. Meiotic chromosomes were classified according

	Origin		Number			
Species	Locality	Host	(Males), females	(Meiotic), mitotic divisions	(n), 2n	Chromosomal formula: (n), 2n
Chrysocharis laomedon	Ozhigovo	Phyllonorycter issikii	1	11	10	10M
Chrysocharis sp. aff. laomedon	Ozhigovo, Ulyanovsk	Phyllonorycter acerifoliella, Ph. issikii	7	(3), 13	(5), 10	10M
Chrysocharis sp. aff. albipes	Ozhigovo	Phyllonorycter apparella	1	10	12	10M + 2ST
Mischotetrastichus petiolatus	Ditto	Ph. issikii	2	(4), 2	(6), 12	10M + 2A
Minotetrastichus frontalis	Ulyanovsk	Ditto	(1), 7	15	(5), 10	(5M), 10M
Cirrospilus pictus	Ozhigovo	Ph. apparella	2	6	12	6M + 2SM + 4ST
Hyssopus geniculatus	Ditto	Ph. issikii	3	14	16	6M + 2SM + 4ST + 4A
Sympiesis gordius	Ditto	Ditto	2	2	12	12M
S. sericeicornis	Ditto	Ph. apparella	2	10	12	10M + 2A
Pnigalio agraules	Ditto	Ph. apparella, Ph. issikii	3	13	2n = 12 + 0–2B	10M + 2M/SM
P. gyamiensis	Ulyanovsk	Chrysoesthia sexguttella	1	17	2n = 12 + 0–6B	6M + 4M/SM + 2ST

Table 1. The main results of karyotypic study of the Eulophidae (Hymenoptera) attacking leaf-miningLepidoptera.

Table 2. Relative lengths (RL) and centromeric indices (CI) of *Pnigalio agraules* and *P. gyamiensis* chromosomes (mean ± SD; B chromosomes not included). For each species, numbers of analyzed metaphase plates are given in brackets.

Chromosome no.	P. agra	ules (6)	P. gyamiensis (8)		
	RL	CI	RL	CI	
1	21.09 ± 1.09	44.74 ± 3.50	20.44 ± 0.75	45.43 ± 3.37	
2	18.89 ± 0.84	43.32 ± 3.56	19.06 ± 0.85	40.87 ± 5.13	
3	17.31 ± 0.70	41.82 ± 3.69	18.04 ± 0.54	41.40 ± 4.59	
4	16.06 ± 0.61	44.40 ± 4.63	16.69 ± 0.76	44.82 ± 3.79	
5	14.37 ± 0.83	45.18 ± 3.92	14.18 ± 0.93	45.50 ± 4.61	
6	12.28 ± 1.42	38.39 ± 5.36	11.59 ± 1.24	18.82 ± 5.34	

to Darlington (1965). Parasitoids were identified by Z.A. Yefremova (Eulophinae and Entedoninae) and E.N. Yegorenkova (Tetrastichinae) using keys provided by Trjapitzin (1978) and Storozheva et al. (1995), Hansson (1985) as well as by Graham (1987) respectively; however, most individuals belonging to the taxonomically complicated genus *Chrysocharis* Förster, 1856 could not be reliably assigned to any named species. Voucher specimens are deposited in the Zoological Museum, Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.

Results

The principal results of the present study are listed in Table 1; some additional details are given below.

Subfamily Entedoninae

- *Chrysocharis laomedon* (Walker, 1839) (Fig. 1a). All chromosomes are obviously metacentric; chromosomes of the first, second and third pair, and those of the fourth and fifth pair, form three size groups.
- *Chrysocharis* sp. aff. *laomedon* (Fig. 1b–c). Karyotype structure of the mitotic chromosome set as in *Ch. laomedon* (Fig. 1b). The meiotic karyotype contains five bivalents; each of them apparently bears two chiasmata in diplotene (Fig. 1c).
- *Chrysocharis* sp. aff. *albipes* (Ashmead, 1904) (Fig. 1d). As in the two previous species, chromosomes of the five largest pairs are metacentric, but a pair of small subtelocentrics is present in the karyotype as well. The metacentrics also form three size groups; however, apart from previous species, these groups include chromosomes of the first and second, third and fourth, and fifth pair respectively.

Subfamily Tetrastichinae

- *Mischotetrastichus petiolatus* (Erdös, 1961) (Fig. 1e–f). The karyotype contains five pairs of metacentric chromosomes; the first and the last pair are visibly longer/ shorter respectively than the remaining ones. In addition, a pair of small acrocentrics is present in the chromosome set (Fig. 1e). Six bivalents are found in the meiotic karyotype of this species; in diplotene, almost all of them bear two chiasmata except for the last one with a single chiasma (Fig. 1f).
- *Minotetrastichus frontalis* (Nees, 1834) (Fig. 1g–h). Both haploid (Fig. 1g) and diploid karyotypes (Fig. 1h) were studied. All chromosomes are obviously metacentric; those of the fifth pair are substantially smaller than chromosomes of the preceding ones.



Figure 1. Mitotic (**a-b**, **d-e**, **g-n**) and meiotic (diplotene; **c**, **f**) karyograms of male (**g**) and female (**a-f**, **h-n**) parasitic wasps of the family Eulophidae. **a** *Chrysocharis laomedon* **b-c** *Chrysocharis* sp. aff. *laomedon* **d** *Chrysocharis* sp. aff. *albipes* **e-f** *Mischotetrastichus petiolatus* **g-h** *Minotetrastichus frontalis* **i** *Cirrospilus pictus* **j** *Hyssopus geniculatus* **k** *Sympiesis gordius* **l** *S. sericeicornis* **m** *Pnigalio agraules* **n** *P. gy-amiensis*, karyotype with five B chromosomes. Bar = 10 µm (6.7 µm for **c**).



Figure 2. Mitotic divisions in *Pnigalio agraules* (**a**–**c**) and *P. gyamiensis* (**d**–**f**). **a** Fragment of a metaphase plate with two B chromosomes **b** Same individual, late prophase with two B chromosomes **c** Same individual, prometaphase without B chromosomes **d**–**f** Metaphase plates with three, five and six B chromosomes respectively. Arrows indicate B chromosomes. Bar = 10 μ m.

Subfamily Eulophinae

- *Cirrospilus pictus* (Nees, 1834) (Fig. 1i). Metacentrics of the first pair very large, at least more than 1.5 times longer than the remaining chromosomes. Metacentrics of the second and third pair as well as submetacentrics of the fourth pair more or less gradually decrease in length. Subtelocentric chromosomes of the fifth and sixth pair substantially differ in size and visibly smaller than the preceding ones.
- *Hyssopus geniculatus* (Hartig, 1838) (Fig. 1j). The diploid chromosome number in this species is substantially higher than in many other members of the family. First three chromosome pairs obviously differ in size and are somewhat longer than the remaining ones. The karyotype contains metacentric (the first, third and eighth pair), submetacentric (the second pair), subtelocentric (the fourth and sixth pair) and acrocentric chromosomes (the fifth and seventh pair).
- *Sympiesis gordius* (Walker, 1839) (Fig. 1k). All chromosomes are metacentric; metacentrics of the first pair substantially differ in size from the remaining ones. Chromosomes of the fifth pair bear distinct secondary constrictions.

- *S. sericeicornis* (Nees, 1834) (Fig. 11). The karyotype contains five pairs of large metacentric chromosomes and a small pair of acrocentrics; chromosomes of the first pair are visibly longer than the other metacentrics.
- Pnigalio agraules (Walker, 1839) (Figs 1m, 2a–c). The first and the last chromosome pair are obviously longer/shorter respectively than the remaining ones that form a continuous gradation in length (Table 2). Most chromosomes are metacentric except for the sixth pair that can be either metacentric or submetacentric (Fig. 1m). In addition, a single specimen carrying B chromosomes was found. Although we were unable to obtain full metaphase plates in the former individual, fragments of these plates (Fig. 2a) as well as certain mitotic divisions in late prophase or early metaphase (Fig. 2b) clearly demonstrate presence of the two B chromosomes. Nevertheless, other cell divisions from the same individual show no trace of the chromosomes of that kind (Fig. 2c).
- *P. gyamiensis* Myartseva & Kurashev, 1990 (Figs 1n, 2d–f). The overall karyotype structure as in the preceding species (Table 2), but the second and third chromosome pair can be either metacentric or submetacentric, and the last chromosome pair is subtelocentric (Fig. 1n). In addition, most metaphase plates carry a few very small apparently subtelocentric or acrocentric B chromosomes (usually two to five, but sometimes one or six; Figs 1n, 2d–f).

Discussion

The family Eulophidae is the most karyotypically studied group of parasitoids of its taxonomic rank in terms of the relative number of studied species. The results presented here provide new information on chromosome number and morphology in certain groups of the family. All species listed in the present paper (except for Pnigalio agraules and P. gyamiensis; see below) as well as the genera Mischotetrastichus Graham, 1987, Minotetrastichus Kostjukov, 1977 and Chrysocharis Förster, 1856 were studied for the first time. In addition, new karyotypic information was obtained for the genus Cirrospilus Westwood, 1832. Within this genus, only the chromosome number was studied earlier for Cirrospilus diallus Walker, 1838 (n = 6; Gokhman and Quicke 1995). Specifically, the haploid chromosome number in the species studied varies from n = 5 to n = 8. Interestingly, this variation range is also observed in the family Eulophidae in general (Gokhman 2002). The new data confirm our previous conclusion that the haploid set of five long bi-armed chromosomes and a short acro- or subtelocentric represents the ancestral feature of the Eulophidae (Gokhman 2002, 2004), possibly a synapomorphy for the family (Gokhman 2009). Since many Torymidae (together with a few Ormyridae) as well as certain Agaonidae also have similar karyotypes, this feature is likely to have been independently acquired by various groups of the superfamily Chalcidoidea (Gokhman 2013).

Nevertheless, a few deviations from the above mentioned pattern have been recorded up to now, including "Elachertus sp." with n = 8 (Gokhman 2002, 2009) and *Cirrospilus pictus* with n = 6 in the present study. As for the former, this aberrant chromosome number together with a characteristic karyotype structure (see Fig. B.207 in Gokhman 2009) was detected in a single specimen identified by V.V. Kostjukov. Since the same n value was found during the present study in *Hyssopus geniculatus*, we have re-examined the former specimen that is also deposited in the Zoological Museum of Moscow State University. In fact, this time it was identified by Z.A. Yefremova as a member of the genus Hyssopus Girault, 1916, namely H. nigritulus (Zetterstedt, 1838). Hyssopus was actually placed within Elachertus Spinola, 1811 as its subgenus or a separate species group for a certain period (e.g. Bouček 1965). The aberrant karyotype structure found in both studied Hyssopus species can therefore be considered as a autapomorphy of this genus. On the other hand, the chromosome set of *Cirrospilus pictus* (n = 6) represents a particular karyotype structure that was previously unknown in the Eulophidae. In addition, chromosomes of the last pair in *Pnigalio agraules* and *P. gyamensis* with the same haploid number are substantially longer than those characteristic of the common karyotype structure in the family (see also Gebiola et al. 2012a).

Chromosomal rearrangements involved in the karyotype evolution of the Eulophidae possibly include chromosomal fusions in a few species (e.g. *Minotetrastichus frontalis* and *Chrysocharis laomedon*). In these cases, the smallest chromosome fused to one of the larger elements to form a karyotype with n = 5. On the other hand, an increase in chromosome number in certain groups (e.g. in the genus *Hyssopus*) could take place by aneuploidy and the subsequent restoration of even chromosome numbers (Gokhman 2009). An alternative explanation, i.e. chromosomal fissions followed by inversions (see e.g. Imai et al. 1988), seems less likely, mainly due to the lack of smaller chromosomes that could arise from these rearrangements in *Hyssopus* and a few other Eulophidae.

Karyotype structure found in *Mischotetrastichus* and *Minotetrastichus* showed certain resemblance to that of *Tetrastichus* Haliday, 1844 s.str. Specifically, metacentrics of the last pair are substantially shorter than the preceding ones (Gokhman 2004).

The meiotic figures obtained in *Chrysocharis* sp. aff. *laomedon* and *Mischotetrastichus petiolatus* generally correspond to mitotic karyotypes of these parasitoids. Specifically, bivalents apparently formed by metacentric chromosomes are relatively large and gradually decrease in size. These ring-like bivalents bear two terminal/subterminal chiasmata in diplotene. Alternatively, the only acrocentric pair found in *M. petiolatus* forms a small open bivalent with a single chiasma.

We have also detected B chromosomes in *Pnigalio agraules* and *P. gyamiensis*. Interestingly, chromosome sets of both members of this genus have been recently examined by Gebiola et al. (2012a), with the latter species listed there as *Pnigalio soe-mius* (Walker, 1839) (i.e. *P. soemius*_CS; see Gebiola et al. 2012b). Overall karyotype structure of the two *Pnigalio* Schrank, 1802 species studied by Gebiola et al. (2012a) generally coincides with our results except for the last chromosome pair of *P. gyami-ensis* which appeared to be subtelocentric according to the present study. However,

Gebiola et al. (2012a) could define this pair as acrocentric arbitrarily, since no quantitative data on its centromeric position are given in the cited paper. Moreover, no B chromosomes were previously detected in both members of the genus Pnigalio. Up to now, chromosomes of that kind were found only in a few species of chalcid wasps belonging to the families Pteromalidae, Trichogrammatidae and possibly also Aphelinidae (see Gokhman 2009 for review), and Eulophidae (Gebiola et al. 2012a). In all those cases, only one chromosome per karyotype was detected. In addition, chromosomes of a particular pair found in Aphidius ervi Haliday, 1834 (Braconidae) with 2n = 12 (Gokhman and Westendorff 2003) can also be considered, with certain reservations, as B chromosomes. Gebiola et al. (2012a) found B chromosomes in the genus Pnigalio, i.e. in P. mediterraneus Ferrière & Delucchi, 1957. Again, karyotypes of a few individuals of this species carried the only B chromosome. We found two B chromosomes in the karyotype of a certain female of P. agraules. However, identity of the latter species poses a separate problem. Indeed, P. mediterraneus and P. agraules cannot be reliably separated on the basis of adult external morphology alone (Gebiola et al. 2009). Nevertheless, karyotype of the former species contains strictly metacentric chromosomes, whereas certain chromosomes of *P. agraules* can be submetacentric (Gebiola et al. 2012a), and this is characteristic of our specimens as well. On the other hand, borders between closely related taxa (perhaps even between different genera) are probably not impermeable for B chromosomes, as it was suggested for an analogous chromosome found in the pteromalid Nasonia vitripennis (Walker, 1836) (McAllister and Werren 1997). We also found one to six B chromosomes in P. gyamiensis. The latter value therefore represents the highest number of B chromosomes per diploid karyotype known for parasitic wasps to date. As far as other members of the order Hymenoptera are concerned (see Gokhman 2009 for a brief review), up to 12 chromosomes per haploid set were found in the ant, Leptothorax spinosior Forel, 1901 (Imai 1974), which is one of the highest records in the animal world (Camacho et al. 2000). Although B chromosomes often carry sex-ratio distorters in parasitoid Hymenoptera, as opposed to the aculeate members of the order (Gokhman 2009), this is probably not the case in *P. gyamiensis*, thus providing a possible explanation for accumulation of these chromosomes in the latter species.

The present research also revealed differences between karyotypes of closely related taxa (e.g. within the genus *Chrysocharis*), thus confirming that chromosomal studies can be used for identifying cryptic species in the Eulophidae, as in many other parasitoid families (Gokhman 2009, Gebiola et al. 2012a). Furthermore, data provided in the present paper have some important implications for parasitoid karyology in general. For example, the number of B chromosomes found in *P. gyamiensis* appeared to be the highest among all other parasitic wasps, although the previous study (Gebiola et al. 2012a) did not reveal B chromosomes in this particular species as well as in *P. agraules*. This also suggests the importance of karyotypic study of every available population of parasitoid Hymenoptera, even if it apparently belongs to an already examined species (see also Gokhman 2009).

References

- Bolsheva NL, Gokhman VE, Muravenko OV, Gumovsky AV, Zelenin AV (2012) Comparative cytogenetic study on two species of the genus *Entedon* Dalman, 1820 (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae) using DNA-binding fluorochromes and molecular and immunofluorescent markers. Comparative Cytogenetics 6(1): 79–92. doi: 10.3897/compcytogen.v6i1.2349
- Bouček Z (1965) A review of the Chalcidoid fauna of the Moldavian SSR, with descriptions of new species (Hymenoptera). Sborník Faunistickych Prací Entomologického Oddeleni Národního Musea v Praze 11: 5–37.
- Camacho JPM, Sharbel TF, Beukeboom LW (2000) B-chromosome evolution. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B 355: 163–178. doi: 10.1098/ rstb.2000.0556
- Darlington CD (1965) Cytology. J. & A. Churchill Ltd., London, 768 pp.
- Gebiola M, Bernardo U, Monti MM, Navone P, Viggiani G (2009) *Pnigalio agraules* (Walker) and *Pnigalio mediterraneus* Ferrière & Delucchi (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae): two closely related valid species. Journal of Natural History 43(39–40): 2465–2480. doi: 10.1080/00222930903105088
- Gebiola M, Giorgini M, Navone P, Bernardo U (2012a) A karyological study of the genus *Pnigalio* Schrank (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae): Assessing the taxonomic utility of chromosomes at the species level. Bulletin of Entomological Research 102: 43–50. doi: 10.1017/ s0007485311000356
- Gebiola M, Gómez-Zurita J, Monti MM, Navone P, Bernardo U (2012b) Integration of molecular, ecological, morphological and endosymbiont data for species delimitation within the *Pnigalio soemius* complex (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). Molecular Ecology 21: 1190– 1208. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-294x.2011.05428.x
- Gokhman VE (2002) Chromosomes of parasitic wasps of the family Eulophidae (Hymenoptera). Entomological Review 82(4): 476–480.
- Gokhman VE (2004) Karyotypes of parasitic wasps of the family Eulophidae (Hymenoptera): new data and review. Russian Entomological Journal 13(3): 171–174.
- Gokhman VE (2009) Karyotypes of Parasitic Hymenoptera. Springer Science+Business Media B.V., Dordrecht, XIII + 183 pp. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4020-9807-9
- Gokhman VE (2013) Parallel pathways of karyotype evolution in the superfamily Chalcidoidea (Hymenoptera). Russian Entomological Journal 22(3): 177–179.
- Gokhman VE, Gumovsky AV (2013) New data on chromosomes of Chalcidoidea (Hymenoptera). Entomological Review 93(1): 30–34. doi: 10.1134/s0013873813010053
- Gokhman VE, Quicke DLJ (1995) The last twenty years of parasitic Hymenoptera karyology: an update and phylogenetic implications. Journal of Hymenoptera Research 4: 41–63.
- Gokhman VE, Westendorff M (2003) Chromosomes of *Aphidius ervi* Haliday, 1834 (Hymenoptera, Braconidae). Beiträge zur Entomologie 53(1): 161–165.
- Graham MWR de V (1987) A reclassification of the European Tetrastichinae (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae), with a revision of certain genera. Bulletin of the British Museum (Natural History) (Entomology) 55: 1–392.

- Hansson C (1985) Taxonomy and biology of the Palaearctic species of *Chrysocharis* Förster, 1856 (Hymenoptera: Eulophidae). Entomologica Scandinavica (Supplement) 26: 1–130.
- Imai HT (1974) B-chromosomes in the myrmicine ant, *Leptothorax spinosior*. Chromosoma 45: 431–444. doi: 10.1007/bf00283387
- Imai HT, Taylor RW, Crosland MWJ, Crozier RH (1988) Modes of spontaneous chromosomal mutation and karyotype evolution in ants with reference to the minimum interaction hypothesis. Japanese Journal of Genetics 63: 159–185. doi: 10.1266/jjg.63.159
- Insua A, López-Piñón MJ, Freire R, Méndez J (2006) Karyotype and chromosomal location of 18S–28S and 5S ribosomal DNA in the scallops *Pecten maximus* and *Mimachlamys varia* (Bivalvia: Pectinidae). Genetica 126: 291–301. doi: 10.1007/s10709-005-7408-7
- Levan A, Fredga K, Sandberg AA (1964) Nomenclature for centromeric position on chromosomes. Hereditas 52: 201–220. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-5223.1964.tb01953.x
- McAllister BF, Werren JH (1997) Hybrid origin of a B chromosome (PSR) in the parasitic wasp *Nasonia vitripennis*. Chromosoma 106: 243–253. doi: 10.1007/s004120050245
- Noyes JS (2013) Eulophidae. In: Universal Chalcidoidea Database. World Wide Web electronic publication. http://www.nhm.ac.uk/research-curation/research/projects/chalcidoids/eulophidae1.html [accessed 24 October 2013]
- Storozheva NA, Kostjukov VV, Yefremova ZA (1995) Family Eulophidae. In: Kupyanskaya AN, Lelej AS, Storozheva NA (Eds) Keys for the Identification of Insects of the Russian Far East 4(2). Vladovostok, 291–506. [In Russian]
- Trjapitzin VA (1978) Eulophidae (excluding Tetrastichinae). In: Trjapitzin VA (Ed.) Keys for the Identification of Insects of the European Part of the USSR 3(2). Leningrad, 381–430. [In Russian]
- Yefremova ZA, Krayushkina AV, Mishchenko AV (2009) Parasitoid complexes (Hymenoptera, Eulophidae) of leafminers of the genus *Phyllonorycter* (Lepidoptera, Gracillariidae) in the Middle Volga Basin. Entomological Review 89(8): 903–911. doi: 10.1134/ s0013873809080041
- Yefremova ZA, Mishchenko AV (2008) The parasitoid complex (Hymenoptera, Eulophidae) of the leafminer *Phyllonorycter issikii* (Kumata) (Lepidoptera, Gracillariidae) from the Middle Volga Basin. Entomological Review 88(2): 178–185. doi: 10.1134/s001387380802005x
- Yefremova ZA, Mishchenko AV, Yegorenkova EN, Strakhova IS, Lengesova NA (2011) Eulophidae (Hymenoptera) parasitoids of *Phyllonorycter apparella* and *Phyllonorycter populifoliella* (Lepidoptera, Gracillariidae), pests of *Populus tremula* and *Populus nigra* in Ul'yanovsk Province, Russia. Entomological Review 91(6): 743–749. doi: 10.1134/ s0013873811060078