RESEARCH ARTICLE



Cytogenetics of the Porthole Shovelnose Catfish, Hemisorubim platyrhynchos (Valenciennes, 1840) (Siluriformes, Pimelodidae), a widespread species in South American rivers

Ana Cláudia Swarça¹, Sebastian Sanchez², Ana Lucia Dias³, Alberto Sergio Fenocchio⁴

I Departamento de Histologia, CCB, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, CEP 86051-970, Caixa Postal 6001, Londrina, Paraná, Brazil 2 Instituto de Ictiología del Nordeste. Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias. Universidad Nacional del Nordeste. Sargento Cabral 2139. 3400 Corrientes, Argentina 3 Departamento de Biologia Geral, CCB, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, CEP 86051-970, Caixa Postal 6001, Londrina, Paraná, Brazil 4 Universidad Nacional de Misiones, Departamento de Genética, Félix de Azara 1552. 3300 Posadas, Misiones, Argentina

Corresponding author: Ana Cláudia Swarça (swarca@uel.br)

Academic editor: A. Boron | Received 21 February 2013 | Accepted 18 March 2013 | Published 25 April 2013

Citation: Swarça AC, Sanchez S, Dias AL, Fenocchio AS (2013) Cytogenetics of the Porthole Shovelnose Catfish, *Hemisorubim platyrhynchos* (Valenciennes, 1840) (Siluriformes, Pimelodidae), a widespread species in South American rivers. Comparative Cytogenetics 7(2): 103–110. doi: 10.3897/CompCytogen.v7i2.4901

Abstract

Hemisorubim platyrhynchos is a medium- to large-sized pimelodid catfish distributed along several river basins of the Neotropical Region, noteworthy for representing an important fishery source. In this work, *H. platyrhynchos* from three isolated populations were cytogenetically analyzed. The karyotype shows a diploid number of 2n=56 chromosomes comprising 22m, 16sm, 10st, 8a (FN=104). NORs detected by AgNO₃ were located in the terminal regions of the short arm of a st chromosome pair, as confirmed by CMA₃ and FISH using an 18S rDNA probe. C-banding revealed a small amount of heterochromatin in chromosomes, including the NORs, and one biarmed pair that showed conspicuous positive bands on both arms. This fact was also evidenced when using other banding techniques, such as RE (*AluI*), and indicates that this pair constitutes a species-specific cytogenetic marker.

Keywords

Hemisorubim platyrhynchos, Pimelodidae, Cytogenetics

Copyright Ana Claudia Swarça et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 3.0 (CC-BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

Hemisorubim platyrhynchos (Valenciennes, 1840), popularly called "jurupoca" or porthole shovelnose catfish, is a pimelodid fish inhabiting the deeper and slow-moving sections of large South American rivers (Burgess 1989, Froese and Pauly 2012). This species is the sixth largest pimelodid of the Pantanal region/Brazil (Penha et al. 2004) and is considered an important species in the fisheries of the Paraná River basin (Agostinho et al. 1995). Its body shape and color pattern are adapted to the muddy bottom where it stays. It feeds on benthic organisms and fish (Froese and Pauly 2012). Breeding and sexing information have not been reported. The extensive exploitation of its populations due to overfishing could affect its genetic variability, which is still poorly known.

The family Pimelodidae represents one of the most specious catfish groups, however relationships among species of this group still remain as unanswered questions; however, it seems self-evident that they share certain characteristics (Nelson 2006, Ferraris 2007). Some authors has been divided this family in "groups" i.e. *Calophysus* Müller and Troschel in Müller 1843, *Pimelodus* LaCépède, 1803 and "Sorubiminae" (de Pinna 1998).

From a cytogenetic point of view some reports show that these groups could also share cytogenetic characteristics, supporting additionally the classification above mentioned (Swarça et al. 2007, Sanchez et al. 2010, Carvalho et al. 2011).

Hemisorubim platyrhynchos is a monotypic species that belongs to the family Pimelodidae, however, it is considered one of the "sorubimine catfishes", an informal group of catfish that comprises other genera such as *Sorubim* Cuvier, 1829, *Pseudoplatystoma* Bleeker, 1862, and *Brachyplatystoma* Bleeker, 1862 (Lundberg and Akama 2005).

Until now only one population of *H. platyrhynchos* of the Parana River (Brazil) has been cytogenetically studied and has had its diploid number, AgNORs location and C-banding reported (Martins-Santos et al. 1996).

The objective of the present study was to describe the karyotypic structure of specimens from three populations of *H. platyrhynchos* aiming to characterize and compare the obtained results with the available cytogenetic data on this and other related species.

Material and methods

Fifteen specimens of *Hemisorubim platyrhynchos* consisting of 8 males (m) and 5 females (f), caught in the Parana River (Corrientes State, Argentina) and 2 specimens of undetermined sex from the Miranda River (Mato Grosso do Sul State, Brazil) were cytogenetically analyzed. The sampling sites in the Paraná River were: Ituzaingó (2 m), Itá Ibaté (2 f - 3 m), Yahapé (1 m), Puerto Abra (1 f), and Corrientes (2 f / 2 m) (Corrientes Province). Mitotic chromosome preparations were obtained according to the technique described by Foresti et al. (1993) for some specimens of Paraná River/Argentina and from blood culture for specimens of the Miranda River/Brazil (Fenocchio and Bertollo 1988). The specimens were deposited in the collection of the Laboratory of

the Instituto de Ictiología del Nordeste, Universidad Nacional del Nordeste/Argentina. Nucleolus organizer regions (Ag-NORs) were revealed by the silver-staining method (Howell and Black 1980) and C-banding was performed according to Sumner (1972). Restriction endonucleases were used according to Sánchez et al. (1990) with some modifications, i.e., *Alu*. I was used a concentration of 0.3 U/ μ L and the chromosome preparations were treated at 37°C for 4h. Chromosome staining with Chromomycin A₂ (CMA₂), a C-G specific fluorochrome, was applied according to the description provided by Verma and Babu (1995). Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) was carried out by means of biotinylated 18S rDNA probes (segment with 1700 pb) obtained from the nuclear DNA of the fish Oreochromis niloticus (Linnaeus, 1758) labeled with biotin-14-dATP by nick translation (Gibco cat Nº 18247-015), according to the manufacturer's instructions. The hybridization technique, post-hybridization washes and visualization were carried out as reported by Swarça et al. (2001). The chromosomes were classified according to their arm ratios as metacentrics (m), submetacentrics (sm), subtelocentrics (st), and acrocentrics (a) (Levan et al. 1964, Guerra 1986) with modifications. The m, sm, st were considered as biarmed chromosomes and acrocentrics were considered as uniarmed chromosomes.

Results

All three populations of *H. platyrhynchos* presented the same results. The diploid number obtained was 2n=56 and the karyotype was composed of 22m+16sm+10st+8a (NF=104) without chromosomal differences between sexes (Fig. 1).

The AgNORs were located in the terminal position on the short arm of a subtelocentric (st) pair (Figs 2a, b). The bright signals correspond to the zones evidenced by argentic impregnation after FISH with the 18S rDNA probe and staining with CMA₃ (Figs 2c, d). This chromosome pair is clearly identified due to its size, shape and exclusive secondary constriction. C-banding revealed positive bands in the pericentromeric



Figure 1. Karyotype of *Hemisorubim platyrhynchos*. Bar = 5mm.



Figure 2. Metaphases of *Hemisorubim platyrhynchos* showing sequential Giemsa-AgNO₃ staining (**a**, **b**) CMA₂ banding (**c**) FISH with 18S rDNA probe (**d**). Arrows indicate the NOR- bearing chromosomes.

regions of some chromosome pairs and on the short arms of a st chromosome pair, coincident with positive C-bands and allowed the identification of a large biarmed marker pair with positive bands on almost the entire short and long arms (Fig. 3b). The *Alu*I restriction enzyme shows bands that resemble C-banding, principally on the biarmed chromosome, producing a reverse pattern (Fig. 3c). The mentioned chromosome could be considered a species-specific cytogenetic marker, since it has not been reported in other species of this group of fish.

Discussion

The karyotype of *H. platyrhynchos* was composed of 22m+16sm+10st+8a (NF=104), however, despite having the same diploid chromosome number 2n=56, *H. platyrhynchos* from the Paraná River/Brazil reported by Martins-Santos et al. (1996) presented 22m + 18sm + 6st + 10a (NF=102, recalculated in the present paper). These variations could be ascribed to chromosome rearrangements, although when alternatively using parsimony criteria, differences could be attributed to the condensation of the chromosome arms and/or to technical artifacts. In the family Pimelodidae, 23 of the 27



Figure 3. Metaphases of *Hemisorubim platyrhynchos* showing sequential Giemsa-C banding (**a**, **b**) and after *Alu*I treatment (**c**). The arrows indicate the biarmed chromosome pair (marker) with positive bands on the short and long arms, the thin arrows show the NOR-bearing chromosomes.

karyotyped species have a diploid number of 2n= 56 chromosomes, except for *Calophysus macropterus* Lichtenstein, 1819, *Luciopimelodus pati* (Valenciennes, 1840), and *Pinirampus pirinampu* (Spix & Agassiz, 1829) with 2n=50 and *Megalonema platanum* (Günther, 1880) with 2n=54, which seem to share other characteristics (Swarça et al. 2007).

One point worth emphasizing is the homogeneity of the karyotypes of species belonging to the "Sorubiminae group" with a clear prevalence of biarmed chromosomes, showing a high fundamental number. A cytogenetic feature shared by all species of this group is the AgNORs localized in the terminal position on the short arm of one pair of st/a chromosomes that also could be evidenced by C-banding, as observed in the present study and in other studied species, such as *Sorubim lima* (Bloch & Schneider, 1801) (Fenocchio and Bertollo 1992, Martins-Santos et al. 1996), *P. corruscans* (Spix & Agassiz, 1829) and *P. tigrinus* (Valenciennes, 1840) (Fenocchio and Bertollo 1992, Martins-Santos et al. 1996, Swarça et al. 2005a), *Zungaro zungaro* (Humboldt, 1821) (Martins-Santos et al. 1996, Swarça et al. 2001), *Steindachneridion scriptum* (Miranda-Ribeiro, 1918) (Swarça et al. 2005b) and *Steindachneridion sp* (*=melanodermatum*) (Garavello, 2005) (Swarça et al. 2006).

 CMA_3 staining and FISH with 18S rDNA exhibited fluorescent signals that correspond to the AgNOR sites (Fig. 2c, d). This correspondence between AgNORs, C-banding, FISH and CMA_3 staining has already been observed in almost all species of the Pimelodidae family (Swarça et al. 2001, 2008).

The relatively low amount of heterochromatin in chromosomes of *H. platyrhynchos* and in other species of the Pimelodidae catfishes suggests that this may be a characteristic of this family. On the other hand, C-banding allowed the identification of a large biarmed pair with positive bands on almost the entire short arm and on the long arm. The *Alu*I restriction enzyme on fish chromosomes produces a C-banding-like pattern (Maistro et al. 2000) and this was also observed in *H. platyrhynchos* chromosomes (Fig. 3c). This chromosome pair could be considered a species-specific cytogenetic marker, as it has not been reported in other Pimelodidae (Fig. 3b).

According to cytogenetic traits, this family could be divided into two: the "*Pimelo-dus* group" and the "Sorubiminae group" (=Sorubinae), and the cytogenetic data confirm that the analyzed species belongs to the second group, because it has 2n=56 chromosomes, a high NF and the NORs localized on one single chromosome pair in the terminal position of the short arms, as it occurs with the other species of this group (Swarça et al. 2007). Thus, despite its wide geographic distribution, it is evident that *H. platyrhynchos* shows a marked conservatism in its basic karyotype macrostructure, differing from many species of the Pimelodidae family, i.e., the "*Pimelodus* group", which presents a wide karyotypic variability, even within the same hydrographic basin and within the same river.

References

- Agostinho AA, Vazzoler AEAM, Thomaz S (1995) The high Paraná river basin: limnological and ichthyological aspects. In: Tundisi JG, Bicudo EM, Matsumura-Tundisi T (Eds) Limnology in Brazil. Brazilian Academy of Sciences, Brazilian Limnological Society, Rio de Janeiro, 59–103.
- Burgess WE (1989) An atlas of freshwater and marine catfishes: a preliminary survey of the Siluriformes. T.F.H. Publications, New Jersey, 784 pp.
- Carvalho R, Sànchez S, Swarça AC, Fenocchio AS, Martins-Santo IC, Dias AL (2011) Chromosomal analyses in *Megalonema platanum* (Siluriformes: Pimelodidae), an endangered species from South American rivers. Neotropical Ichthyology 9 (1): 177–182. doi: 10.1590/ S1679-62252011005000008
- Derenzini M, Hernandéz-Verdún D, Farabegoli F, Pession A, Novello F (1987) Structure of ribosomal genes of mammalian cells *in situ*. Chromosoma 95:63–70. doi: 10.1007/ BF00293843

- de Pinna MCC (1998) Phylogenetic relationships of Neotropical Siluriformes (Teleostei: Ostariophysi); historical overview and synthesis of hypothesis. In: Malabarba LR, Reis RE, Vari RP, Lucena ZM, Lucena CAS (Eds) Phylogeny and Classification of Neotropical Fishes. Edipucrs, Porto Alegre, Brazil, 279–330.
- Fenocchio AS, Bertollo LAC (1988) A simple method for fresh-water fish lymphocyte culture. Brazilian Journal of Genetics 11(4): 847–852.
- Fenocchio AS, Bertollo LAC (1992) Karyotype similarities among Pimelodidae (Pisces, Siluriformes) from the Brazilian Amazon region. Cytobios 69: 41-46.
- Ferraris CJ (2007) Checklist of catfishes, recent and fossil (Osteichthyes: Siluriformes), and catalogue of Siluriform primary types. Zootaxa 1418: 1–628.
- Foresti F, Oliveira C, Almeida-Toledo LF (1993) A method for chromosome preparations from large specimens of fishes using in vitro short treatment with colchicine. Experientia 49: 810–813. doi: 10.1007/BF01923555
- Froese R, Pauly D (Eds) (2012) FishBase. World Wide Web Electronic Publication. Available at http://www.fishbase.org, version (04/2012). [accessed on February 05, 2013]
- Guerra M (1986) Reviewing the chromosome nomenclature of Levan et al. Brazilian Journal of Genetics 4: 741–746.
- Howell WM, Black DA (1980) Controlled silver staining of nucleolus organizer regions with a protective colloidal developer: a one step method. Experientia 36:1014–1015. doi: 10.1007/BF01953855
- Levan A, Fredga K, Sandberg AA (1964) Nomenclature for centromeric position on chromosomes. Hereditas 52: 2101–2220.
- Lundberg JG, Akama A (2005) *Brachyplatystoma capapretum*: a New Species of Goliath Catfish from the Amazon Basin, with a Reclassification of Allied Catfishes (Siluriformes: Pimelodidae). Copeia 2005 (3): 492–516. doi: 10.1643/CI-04-036R1
- Maistro EL, Oliveira C, Foresti F (2000) Cytogenetic analysis of A- and B-chromosomes of *Prochilodus lineatus* (Teleostei, Prochilodontidae) using different restriction enzyme banding and staining methods. Genetica 108: 119–125. doi: 10.1023/A:1004063031965
- Martins-Santos IC, Julio Jr HF, Burin I (1996) Karyotypic studies of four species of the Sorubiminae subfamily (Pisces, Siluriformes). Caryologia 49: 73–80.
- Nelson JS (1994) Fishes of the World, 3rd ed. Wiley, New York, 600pp.
- Penha JM, Mateus LA, Barbieri G (2004) Age and growth of the porthole shovelnose catfish (*Hemisorubim platyrhynchos*) in the Pantanal. Brazilian Journal of Biology 64(4): 833–40. doi: 10.1590/S1519-69842004000500013
- Sànchez L, Martinez P, Vinas A, Bouza C (1990) Analysis of the structure and variability of nucleolar organizer regions of *Salmo trutta* by C-, Ag-, and restriction endonuclease banding. Cytogenetic and Cell Genetic 54: 6–9. doi: 10.1159/000132944
- Sànchez S, Swarça AC, Fenocchio AS (2010) Cytogenetic Studies and Evolutive Considerations in Species of the *Calophysus* Group (Siluriformes, Pimelodinae). Cytologia 75(3): 223–227. doi: 10.1508/cytologia.75.223
- Sumner AT (1972) A simple technique for demonstrating centromeric heterochromatin. Experimental Cell Research 75: 304–306. doi: 10.1016/0014-4827(72)90558-7

- Swarça AC, Cestari MM, Giuliano-Caetano L, Dias AL (2001) Cytogenetic characterization of the large south American Siluriform fish species *Zungaro zungaro* (Pisces, Pimelodidae). Chromosome Science 5: 51–55.
- Swarça AC, Fenocchio AS, Cestari MM, Dias AL (2005a) Chromosomal divergence among populations of *Pseudoplatystoma corruscans* (Pisces, Pimelodidae): I. Evidences obtained from conventional Giemsa staining, C- and G- banding. Ichthyological Exploration Freshwaters 16(4): 325–330.
- Swarça AC, Fenocchio AS, Cestari MM, Dias AL (2005b) First chromosome data on Steindachneridion scripta (Pisces, Siluriformes, Pimelodidae) from Brazilian Rivers: Giemsa, CBG, G- and RE banding. Genetic and Molecular Research 4(4): 734–741.
- Swarça AC, Fenocchio AS, Cestari MM, Dias AL (2006) First report of a sex chromosome system in a Sorubiminae fish, *Steindachneridion* sp. (Pimelodidae), with an uncommon large Y chromosome. Mitotic and Meiotic analysis. Cytogenetic and Genome Research 112(3-4): 325–328. doi: 10.1159/000089888
- Swarça AC, Fenocchio AS, Dias AL (2007) An update Cytogenetic Review for Species of the Families Pseudopimelodidae, Pimelodidae and Heptapteridae (Pisces, Siluriformes). Suggestion of a Cytotaxonomical Classification. Caryologia 60(4): 338–348.
- Swarça AC, Fenocchio AS, Cestari MM, Dias AL (2008) Analyses of the Structure of NORs in Two Species of South American Sorubiminae Fishes (Siluriformes) by Means of Several Cytogenetic Techniques. Folia Biologica (Kraków) 56 (1-2): 31–35. doi: 10.3409/fb56_1-2.31-35
- Verma RS, Babu A (1995) Human Chromosomes: Principles and Techniques. 2nd ed. United States of America. International Edition, 419 pp.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



The significance of cytogenetics for the study of karyotype evolution and taxonomy of water bugs (Heteroptera, Belostomatidae) native to Argentina

Chirino Mónica Gabriela^{1,2,†}, Alba Graciela Papeschi^{1,‡}, María José Bressa^{1,§}

l Instituto de Ecología, Genética y Evolución de Buenos Aires, Departamento de Ecología, Genética y Evolución, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Universitaria, Pabellón II, C1428EHA, Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina 2 Laboratorio de Entomología Aplicada y Forense, Departamento de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad Nacional de Quilmes, Roque Sáenz Peña 352, B1876BXD, Bernal, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Corresponding author: Chirino Mónica Gabriela (mchirino@ege.fcen.uba.ar)

Academic editor: S. Grozeva | Received 10 December 2012 | Accepted 19 March 2013 | Published 29 April 2013

Citation: Chirino MG, Papeschi AG, Bressa MJ (2013) The significance of cytogenetics for the study of karyotype evolution and taxonomy of water bugs (Heteroptera, Belostomatidae) native to Argentina. Comparative Cytogenetics 7(2): 111–129. doi: 10.3897/CompCytogen.v7i2.4462

Abstract

Male meiosis behaviour and heterochromatin characterization of three big water bug species were studied. *Belostoma dentatum* (Mayr, 1863), *B. elongatum* Montandon, 1908 and *B. gestroi* Montandon, 1903 possess $2n = 26 + X_1X_2Y$ (male). In these species, male meiosis is similar to that previously observed in *Belostoma* Latreille, 1807. In general, autosomal bivalents show a single chiasma terminally located and divide reductionally at anaphase I. On the other hand, sex chromosomes are achiasmatic, behave as univalents and segregate their chromatids equationally at anaphase I. The analysis of heterochromatin distribution and composition revealed a C-positive block at the terminal region of all autosomes in *B. dentatum*, a C-positive block at the terminal region of autosomes in *B. elongatum*, and a little C-positive band at the terminal region of autosomes in *B. gestroi*. A C-positive band on one bivalent was DAPI negative/CMA₃ positive in the three species. The CMA₃-bright band, enriched in GC base pairs, was coincident with a NOR detected by FISH. The results obtained support the hypothesis that all species of *Belostoma* with multiple sex chromosome systems preserve NORs in autosomal bivalents. The karyotype analyses allow the cytogenetic characterization and identification of these species belonging to a difficult taxonomic group. Besides, the cytogenetic characterization will be useful in discussions about evolutionary trends of the genome organization and karyotype evolution in this genus.

Copyright Chirino Mónica Gabriela et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 3.0 (CC-BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Keywords

Heteroptera, holokinetic chromosomes, karyotype evolution mechanisms, multiple sex chromosomes, rDNA-FISH

Introduction

Belostomatidae include some of the largest heteropteran species, which are general predators that play an important role as biological agents in aquatic environments (Menke 1979, Smith 1997, Saha et al. 2010). This family has a cosmopolitan distribution in tropical and subtropical areas in the world (Schnack 1976, Polhemus and Polhemus 2008). In South America, the genus *Belostoma* Latreille, 1807 is the most diverse and includes 61 species mainly distributed from Colombia and Brazil to Argentina and Chile (Heckman 2011). Nevertheless, cytogenetic reports in *Belostoma* from South America comprise the male chromosome complement of 15 species and male meiosis analysis of 13 species (Table 1). Ten of these species show a modal diploid chromosome number $2n = 29 = 26 + X_1X_2Y$ (male) and five species possess reduced chromosome numbers and a simple sex chromosome system XY/XX (male/female) (Table 1).

All species of *Belostoma* analyzed possess holokinetic chromosomes, i.e. chromosomes without a primary constriction and therefore without a localized centromere. Autosomal bivalents are synaptic and chiasmatic, whereas sex chromosomes are asynaptic and achiasmatic, and behave as univalents in first male meiotic division. However, at metaphase II sex chromosomes associate end-to-end through the so called touchand-go pairing, forming a pseudo-bivalent or pseudo-multivalent. In the first meiotic division, autosomal bivalents segregate reductionally while sex chromosomes divide equationally (Ueshima 1979, Papeschi and Bidau 1985, Suja et al. 2000, Papeschi and Bressa 2006, Bardella et al. 2012). During meiosis, the kinetic activity is restricted to the chromosome ends and the chromosomes can be regarded as telokinetic (Motzko and Ruthmann 1984).

Most hypotheses on karyotype evolution in Heteroptera include both autosomal and sex chromosome fusions and fragmentations (Ueshima 1979, Manna 1984, Thomas 1987, Papeschi 1994, 1996, Pérez et al. 2004). The cytogenetic data available for *Belostoma* allow to hypothesize that current karyotypes with a multiple sex chromosome system (X_nY/X_nX_n , male/female) are derived through fragmentation of the ancestral X from an XY sex chromosome system. On the other hand, reduced chromosome complements with simple sex chromosome system (XY/XX, male/female) have probably originated through several chromosomal fusions (Papeschi 1996, Papeschi and Bressa 2006, Bardella et al. 2012).

The aim of this study was to perform a detailed comparison of male meiosis behaviour and examine the structure of the holokinetic chromosomes by means of C- and fluorescent bandings, and fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) with 18S rDNA probes in *Belostoma dentatum* (Mayr, 1863), *B. elongatum* Montandon, 1908 and *B. gestroi* Montandon, 1903. The female complement and the male meiosis of *B. elonga-*

Species	2n (male)	C bands	DAPI/ CMA ₂ bands	rDNA by FISH	References	
Belostoma bergi (Montandon),1899	$26 + X_1 X_2 Y$	no	no		Papeschi and Bressa 2004	
<i>B. bifoveolatum</i> Spinola, 1852	$26 + X_1 X_2 Y$	yes	yes		Papeschi 1991, Chirino and Bressa 2011	
<i>B. candidulum</i> Montandon, 1903	14 + XY	yes	yes		Bardella et al. 2012	
<i>B. cummingsi</i> De Carlo, 1935	$26 + X_1 X_2 Y$	no	no		Papeschi and Bidau 1985	
B. dentatum (Mayr, 1863)	$26 + X_1 X_2 Y$	yes	yes	A*	Papeschi and Bidau 1985, Papeschi 1991, this study	
P dilatatum (Dufour 1963)	$26 + X_1 X_2 Y$	yes	no		Papeschi 1992	
D. auaaaaa (Duloui, 1805)	$26 + X_1 X_2 X_3 Y$	yes	yes		Bardella et al. 2012	
<i>B. discretum</i> Montandon, 1903	$26 + X_1 X_2 Y$	yes	yes		Papeschi 1992, Chirino and Bressa 2011	
B. elegans (Mayr, 1871)	$26 + X_1 X_2 Y$	yes	yes	A*	Papeschi 1988, 1991, Papeschi and Bidau 1985,	
					Papeschi and Bressa 2006	
<i>B. elongatum</i> Montandon, 1908	$26 + X_1 X_2 Y$	yes	yes	A^*	Papeschi and Bressa 2006, this study	
B. gestroi Montandon, 1903	$26 + X_1 X_2 Y$	yes	yes	A*	Papeschi and Bressa 2006, this study	
<i>B. martini</i> (Montandon, 1899)	$26 + X_1 X_2 Y$	yes	no		Papeschi 1991	
<i>B. micantulum</i> (Stål, 1860)	14 + XY	yes	yes	X, Y*	Papeschi 1988, Papeschi and Bressa 2006	
<i>B. orbiculatum</i> Estévez & Polhemus, 2001	14 + XY	yes	yes		Papeschi 1996, Chirino and Bressa 2011	
	$14 + X_1X_2Y$				Papeschi 1996	
<i>B. oxyurum</i> (Dufour, 1863)	6 + XY	yes	yes	X,Y**	Papeschi 1988, 1995, Papeschi and Bressa 2006	
	14 + XY	no	no			
<i>B. plebejum</i> (Stål, 1858)	13 + XY				Papeschi 1994	
	$14 + X_1 X_2 Y$					

Table 1. Diploid chromosome number, chromosome bandings and nucleolar organizer region (NOR) detected by FISH in South American *Belostoma* species. *A: autosomal bivalent, **X, Y: sex chromosomes

tum and *B. gestroi* are described for the first time. These results allowed us to distinguish morphologically similar species and, also, led us to propose a scenario of karyo-type evolution in the genus *Belostoma*.

Material and Methods

Insects

For meiotic analysis, adults and nymphs of *B. elongatum* (9 males and 8 females) and *B. gestroi* (4 males and 12 females) were collected from 1988 to 1990 in several fields

Species	Chromosomal analyses	Localities from Argentina	Coordinates	N° of individuals
B. dentatum	C- and DAPI-CMA ₃ bandings	San Pedro, Buenos Aires	33°40'33"S, 59°39'47"W	3 males
	FISH technique	Corrientes, Corrientes	27°28'16"S, 58°50'22"W	1 female
B. elongatum	Chromosome complement	Arroyo Cuay Grande, Corrientes	28°28'16"S, 58°50'22"W	1 female
		Lagos de Stieler, Misiones	26°34'2"S, 54°45'57"W	1 male
	Iviale melotic benaviour	Valle Hermoso, Misiones	26°23'10"S, 54°27'58"W	8 males, 7 females
	C- and DAPI-CMA ₃ bandings FISH technique	Corrientes, Corrientes	27°28'16"S, 58°50'22"W	3 males
B. gestroi	Chromosome complement	Río San Pedro, Buenos Aires	33°40'33"S, 59°39'47"W	1 male
	Mala masiania hakariana	Rincón Norte, Santa Fe	31°36'4"S, 60°34'12"W	3 males, 11 females
	Iviale melotic benaviour	Santa Rosa, Santa Fe	31°26'00"S, 60°22'00"W	1 female
	C- and DAPI-CMA ₃ bandings FISH technique	Corrientes, Corrientes	27°28'16"S, 58°50'22"W	2 males, 1 female

Table 2. Species, provenience, geographical coordinates, and number of adults' collected and examined of *Belostoma* for chromosomal analyses discriminated by gender.

from Buenos Aires, Santa Fe, Entre Ríos, Corrientes and Misiones provinces, all in Argentina (Table 2). For chromosome bandings and fluorescent *in situ* hybridization (FISH) technique, adults of *B. dentatum* (3 males and 1 female), *B. elongatum* (3 males) and *B. gestroi* (2 males and 1 female) were collected from 2010 to 2011 in Corrientes province (Argentina) (Table 2). Collected adults were identified according to the keys provided by Schnack (1976) and Heckman (2011).

Chromosome preparations

The captured specimens were brought alive to the laboratory and reared until their gonads were dissected out. For meiotic analysis, the adults and nymphs were fixed for 15–30 min in freshly prepared fixative (ethanol:glacial acetic acid, 3:1). Afterwards, gonads were dissected out and kept at 4° C in 70% ethanol. Slides were prepared by the squash technique in a drop of 2% iron-propionic haematoxylin following conventional procedures (Sáez 1960). For C- and fluorescent bandings, and FISH technique, gonads were dissected in a physiological saline solution for *Ephestia* Guenée, 1845 (Glaser 1917: cited by Lockwood 1961), swollen for 15 min in a hypotonic solution (0.075 M KCl), and fixed for 15-30 min in freshly prepared Carnoy fixative

(ethanol:chloroform:glacial acetic acid, 6:3:1). Spread chromosome preparations were made in a drop of 60% acetic acid with the help of tungsten needles and spread on the slide using a heating plate at 45° C as described in Traut (1976). The preparations were dehydrated in an ethanol series (70, 80 and 96%, 30 sec each) and stored at -20° C until use.

Chromosome bandings

Heterochromatin content, distribution and nucleotide composition were analysed by means of C- and sequential fluorescent DAPI and CMA₃ bandings. C-banding was performed according to Papeschi (1988), and the pre-treated slides were stained with 4'6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; Fluka BioChemika, Sigma Aldrich Production GmbH, Buchs, Switzerland) for a better resolution of C-bands (Poggio et al. 2011). Fluorescent banding with AT-specific DAPI and GC-specific chromomycin A₃ (CMA₃; Fluka BioChemika) was carried out following Poggio et al. (2011).

Fluorescence in situ hybridization

Unlabelled 18S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) probes were generated by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using universal arthropod primers: forward 5'-CCTGAGAAACG-GCTACCACATC-3' and reverse 5'-GAGTCTCGTTCGTTATCGGA-3' (Whiting 2002). Total genomic DNA of *Dysdercus albofasciatus* Berg, 1878, obtained by standard phenol-chloroform-isoamylalcohol extraction, was used as a template. PCR was done following the procedure described in Fuková et al. (2005). The PCR product showed a single band of about 1,000 bp on a 1% agarose gel. The band was recovered from the gel and purified by using a QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Quiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). The 18S rDNA fragment was re-amplified by PCR and then labeled with biotin 14-dATP by nick translation using a BioNick Labeling System (Invitrogen, Life Technologies Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). FISH with a biotinylated 18S rDNA probe was carried out following the procedure in Sahara et al. (2009).

C-positive heterochromatin and DNA measurements

Data of C-positive heterochromatin percentage and the haploid DNA content in *B. dentatum*, *B. elongatum* and *B. gestroi* are part of the results obtained by Papeschi in her Ph.D. Thesis (1992). The procedures of C-positive heterochromatin percentage and the haploid DNA content were published by Papeschi in 1991 and 1988, respectively. Hence, these results were mentioned only in this paper to analyze and discuss the extent of karyotype uniformity in these three species. Briefly, the study of the C-

positive heterochromatin measurements was performed on meiotic cells. For each species at least 10 C-banded cells at diakinesis and without superimposed bivalents were photographed. The percentage of C-positive heterochromatin was calculated as the C-positive area divided by the total chromosome area. The DNA content was carried out by Feulgen microdensitometry (Papeschi 1988) in individuals fixed similar time ago (1–3 months) (Papeschi 1991).

Statistical analysis

The total chromosome length measurements (TCL) were performed with Micro Measure for Windows, version 3.3. The TCL of all bivalents and sex chromosomes were performed in metaphase I. Differences in TCL among species were compared by using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), with Fisher adjusted a posterior contrast. Statistical analyses were done using Statview software (SAS Institute Inc., 1992-1998).

Microscopy, photographs and image processing

Preparations were observed in epifluorescence microscopes: Zeiss Laborlux (Carl Zeiss, Germany) equipped with an analogue camera and Leica DMLB equipped with a Leica DFC350 FX CCD camera and Leica IM50 software, version 4.0 (Leica Microsystems Imaging Solutions Ltd., Cambridge, UK). Photomicrographs from meiotic chromosome preparations were taken using Kodak colour Supra print film 400 ASA. Black-and-white images of chromosomes from C- and fluorescent bandings and FISH technique were recorded separately for each fluorescent dye with the CCD camera. Images were pseudo-coloured (light blue for DAPI, green for CMA₃, and red for Cy3), and processed with an appropriate software.

Results

Male chromosome complement and meiosis

Male meiotic karyotypes based on metaphase I autosomal bivalents (II) and sex univalents of *B. dentatum*, *B. elongatum* and *B. gestroi* show a male diploid chromosome number $2n = 13II + X_1X_2Y$ (Fig. 1). In the three species, the autosomes decrease gradually in size, both X chromosomes differ slightly in size and the Y chromosome is the smallest of the complement. The chromosome complement and male meiotic behaviour of *B. dentatum* have already been described (Papeschi and Bidau 1985). The three species of *Belostoma* show statistical differences in total chromosome length (TCL) (F₂, $_{93} = 8.484$; P = 0.0004), which is higher in *B. dentatum* (39.43 ± 3.72 µm), intermediate in *B. elongatum* (37.03 ± 2.96 µm) and lower in *B. gestroi* (33.31 ± 3.64 µm).



Figure 1. Male meiotic karyotypes of *B. dentatum* (**a**), *B. elongatum* (**b**) and *B. gestroi* (**c**), $2n = 13II + X_1X_2Y$, stained with 2% iron-propionic haematoxylin.

Analysis of spermatogonial prometaphase of B. elongatum and B. gestroi revealed a diploid number of 29 chromosomes; both karyotypes were as described by Papeschi (1992) (Fig. 2a). Male meiotic behaviour in B. elongatum and B. gestroi was similar and followed the same pattern as previously described for other Belostoma species. Thus, we showed a single and combined Figure 2 with meiotic stages from both species. At synizesis, the first meiotic identifiable stage of meiosis, chromatin condenses eccentrically in the nucleus (Fig. 2b). At pachytene, an autosomal bivalent is associated with the nucleolus and the 13 autosomal bivalents are joined through their positive heteropycnotic terminal regions. The condensed sex chromosomes, close to each other, may be distinguished (Fig. 2c). In this cell, the two X chromosomes have a secondary constriction, but these constrictions are observed in only one specimen of *B. elongatum*. During the diffuse stage, all bivalents decondense completely, except for some chromocentres (Fig. 2d). In B. gestroi, at early diakinesis, both X chromosomes are negative heteropycnotic, and the Y chromosome is positive heteropycnotic (Fig. 2e, f). At late diakinesis, the three sex univalents and the 13 autosomal bivalents becomes isopycnotic in both species (Fig. 2g, h). Each bivalent has a single chiasma in either subterminal or terminal positions (Fig. 2e-h). At metaphase I, autosomal bivalents arrange in a ring, but the Xs and Y univalents do not show a defined position (Fig. 2i). During anaphase I, the bi-



Figure 2. Male meiosis in *B. elongatum* (**b**, **c**, **g**, **j**, **k**) and *B. gestroi* (**a**, **d**, **e**, **f**, **h**, **i**, **l**) stained with 2% iron-propionic haematoxylin. **a** Spermatogonial prometaphase **b** Synizesis **c** Pachytene, X and Y = sex chromosomes **d** Diffuse stage **e–f** Early diakinesis **g–h** Diakinesis **i** Metaphase I **j** Anaphase I **k** Metaphase II, Y sex chromosome is negatively heteropycnotic **l** Anaphase II. Arrows indicate sex chromosomes. pIII = pseudo-trivalent. N = nucleolus. Bar = 10 μm.

valents divide reductionally, whereas the sex chromosomes do so equationally (Fig. 2j). All telophase I nuclei exhibit 16 chromosomes in each pole $(13 + X_1X_2Y)$. The second meiotic division follows without an interkinesis stage. At metaphase II, the 13 autosomes dispose forming a ring and in the centre of it, the sex chromosomes are associated in a pseudo-trivalent. The Y chromosome is negatively heteropycnotic and is oriented towards the opposite spindle pole to that of X_1 and X_2 (Fig. 2k). At anaphase II, 14 chromosomes migrate to one pole (13 + Y) and 15 to the opposite one $(13 + X_1X_2)$ (Fig. 2l).

Chromosome bandings

C-banding reveals differences in the amount and location of heterochromatin among the three species analysed. In *B. elongatum*, very large C-positive blocks can be detected terminally on all bivalents from prophase I to metaphase I, and interstitial dots are also observed (Fig. 3a–c). In *B. gestroi*, in contrast, C-positive bands are very small and are always located terminally (Fig. 3d, e). The results observed in *B. dentatum* matched data previously described by Papeschi (1991) with C-positive bands terminally located in all bivalents (Fig. 3f, g). Furthermore, the two X chromosomes in the three species show terminally located bands, whereas the Y chromosome is C-negative (Fig. 3a, c–g).

All chromosomes stain homogenously with both fluorochromes on mitotic and meiotic metaphase cells in the three species, except for one of the medium-sized autosomal bivalents in *B. dentatum* (Fig. 4a–c) and *B. elongatum* (Fig. 4d–f), and one of the large-sized in *B. gestroi* (Fig. 4g–i), which show a DAPI negative/CMA₃ positive band at one terminal position.

Location of rDNA

In chromosome preparations of *B. dentatum*, FISH experiments with the 18S rDNA probe show a cluster of rDNA genes located at one end of two homologous chromosomes each (Fig. 5a). A single cluster of signals is observed in an autosomal bivalent at pachytene (Fig. 5b). During diffuse stage, hybridization signals are observed in the decondensed mass of autosomal chromatin, whereas the sex chromosomes remain condensed forming a conspicuous DAPI bright chromatin body without any signals (Fig. 5c). At diakinesis-metaphase I, one medium-sized autosomal bivalent show hybridization signals at both ends (Fig. 5d). In concordance with the results of *B. dentatum*, in mitotic metaphases of *B. elongatum* and *B. gestroi*, hybridization signals are detected in two homologous autosomes (Fig. 5e, g). At diakinesis-metaphase I, a single cluster of rRNA genes is located at both ends of a medium-sized autosomal bivalent of *B. elongatum* (Fig. 5e–f) and of a one large-sized of *B. gestroi* (Fig. 5h).

120



Figure 3. C-banding in chromosomes of *B. elongatum* (**a-c**), *B. gestroi* (**d**, **e**) and *B. dentatum* (**f**, **g**) stained with DAPI. **a** Diakinesis, conspicuous terminal C-positive blocks are observed in all autosomal bivalents and both X chromosomes **b** A detail of autosomal bivalents with interstitial C-positive dots (arrows) at early diakinesis **c** Late diakinesis **d** Diakinesis, small terminal C-positive bands in some autosomal bivalents (arrows) **e** Metaphase I **f** Late diakinesis, terminal C-positive bands in all autosomal bivalents and both X chromosomes **g** Metaphase II. **a**, **c-g** The Y chromosome is C-negative. X, Y = sex chromosomes. Bar = 10 μ m.



Figure 4. DAPI (blue) and CMA₃ (green) fluorescent banding in chromosomes of *B. dentatum* (**a**–**c**), *B. elongatum* (**d**–**f**) and *B. gestroi* (**g**–**i**). **a** Oogonial metaphase ($2n = 30 = 26 + X_1X_2X_2$) **b** Diakinesis **c** Metaphase II **d** Spermatogonial metaphase ($2n = 29 = 26 + X_1X_2Y$) **e** Diakinesis **f** Metaphase II **g** Spermatogonial metaphase ($2n = 29 = 26 + X_1X_2Y$) **h** Diakinesis **i** Metaphase II. Arrows indicate DAPI negative/CMA₃ positive bands. Arrowheads show sex chromosomes (**d**, **g**). X, Y = sex chromosomes. pIII = pseudo-trivalent. Bar = 10 µm.



Figure 5. Location of rDNA genes in chromosomes of *B. dentatum* (**a**–**d**), *B. elongatum* (**e**, **f**) and *B. gestroi* (**g**, **h**) by FISH with 18S rDNA probes (red signals, arrows). Chromosomes were counterstained with DAPI (blue). **a** Spermatogonial anaphase ($2n = 29 = 26 + X_1X_2Y$) **b** Pachytene **c** Diffuse stage **d** Diakinesis **e** Spermatogonial metaphase and diakinesis **f** Metaphase I **g** Spermatogonial metaphase ($2n = 29 = 26 + X_1X_2Y$) **b** Diakinesis-Metaphase I. Arrowheads show sex chromosomes. Bar = 10 µm.

Discussion

The *Belostoma* species analyzed here shared apparently similar karyotypes, since they possess the same chromosome complement ($2n = 29 = 26 + X_1X_2Y$, male), with chromosomes progressively decreasing in size. In Belostomatidae, this 2n is the modal diploid chromosome number and is present in 10 species of *Belostoma* (Papeschi and Bressa 2006, Chirino and Bressa 2011). On the other hand, in *B. elongatum* and *B. gestroi* the male meiotic behaviour followed a similar pattern as previously described for other species of this genus (Papeschi and Bidau 1985, Papeschi 1996, Bardella et al. 2012). Both *B. elongatum* and *B. gestroi*, as well as *B. dentatum*, showed the following cytogenetic characteristics: a) synizesis observed, b) a multiple sex chromosome system (X_1X_2Y , male), c) Y chromosome negatively heteropycnotic at metaphase II, d) variation in the TCL and in the C-banding pattern, and e) a single pair of NOR-autosomes. Within Heteroptera, the synizesis stage was described in a few species of *Belostoma* (Papeschi and Bidau 1985, Papeschi 1992) and *Dysdercus* Guérin-Méneville, 1831 (Bressa 2003, Bressa et al. 2003). In this stage the chromatin condenses eccentrically in the nucleus and chromosome pairing begins.

Heterochromatin characterization in the three species revealed differences in the amount, distribution and location of the constitutive heterochromatin in autosomes and both X chromosomes: i) terminal C-positive bands in *B. dentatum*, ii) conspicuous C-positive bands at terminal and interstitial positions in *B. elongatum*, and iii) very scarce C-positive bands terminally located in *B. gestroi*. This variation in the constitutive heterochromatin of these three species could imply changes in the DNA content

in the karyotype evolution in the genus, which could modify the size of the chromosome complement. In accordance with this suggestion, the analysis of TCL showed a significant variation among the three species, which means that certain chromosomal changes, must have taken place during their evolution.

Papeschi (1992) found a great interspecific variation in DNA content as well as differences in C-positive heterochromatin percentage among B. dentatum (1.93 pg, 58.54 %), B. elongatum (1.75 pg, 59.47 %) and B. gestroi (1.13 pg, 37.24 %). Taking into account the data previously described by Papeschi (1992), together with the results obtained from the analysis of the TCL in these three species, we propose the existence of positive relationships between TCL and DNA content and between TCL and C-positive heterochromatin percentage (Fig. 6). Thus, it is apparent that differences in the TCL in the three species might represent changes or variations in DNA content since the accumulation/addition of satellite DNA in all chromosomes of the complement. The comparison between the DNA content and the C-positive heterochromatin percentage of the three species shows a general trend, i.e. an increase in the DNA content is accompanied by an increase in the amount of C-positive heterochromatin. However, B. dentatum and B. elongatum have a very different DNA content and a similar percentage of C-positive heterochromatin. On the other hand, B. gestroi shows the lowest DNA content and the lowest C-positive heterochromatin percentage. In accordance with the earlier reports on six other species of Belostoma (Papeschi and Bidau 1985, Papeschi 1988, 1991, 1992), the genome size differences between B. dentatum and *B. elongatum* could be due to a proportionate variation of both C-positive heterochromatin and C-negative chromatin occurred during evolution. The chromosomes of B. gestroi could have gained low amount of C-positive heterochromatin, or else during evolution some C-positive bands became lost.

In Heteroptera, the classical distribution pattern of C-positive heterochromatin is terminal in some or all chromosomes. Interstitial C-positive bands are described in a few species and some of them correspond to secondary constrictions and NORs. In concordance with these cytogenetic features, the C-banding pattern observed in *B. elongatum* with respect to both terminal and interstitial C-positive regions agrees with most previous reports within *Belostoma* (Papeschi 1995) and Heteroptera (Camacho et al. 1985, Panzera et al. 1995, Grozeva and Nokkala 2001, Angus et al. 2004, Ituarte and Papeschi 2004, Bressa et al. 2005, 2008, Franco et al. 2006).

The results with fluorescent banding indicate that all C-positive bands in the species analysed were not enriched with AT or CG base pairs, as all chromosomes were stained homogeneously with both DAPI and CMA₃ fluorochromes, except for the C- positive band observed in the medium-sized autosomal bivalent of *B. dentatum* and *B. elongatum* and in one of the large-sized of *B. gestroi*, which was DAPI negative/ CMA₃ positive. Therefore, the CMA₃ bright band is enriched in GC base pairs and could represent an NOR (see below). The presence of a CMA₃ bright band was also reported not only in other species of *Belostoma* (Papeschi and Bressa 2006) but also in other heteropteran species, at interstitial or terminal position, either on autosomes or sex chromosomes, and they are generally associated to NORs (González-García et al.



Figure 6. a Comparison between the total chromosome length (TCL) and the percentage of C-positive heterochromatin at diakinesis in *B. dentatum* (58.54 \pm 1.27 %; circle), *B. elongatum* (59.47 \pm 0.78 %; rectangle) and *B. gestroi* (37.24 \pm 1.50 %; diamond) **b** Comparison between the total chromosome length (TCL) and the haploid DNA content (pg) in *B. dentatum* (1.93 \pm 0.16 µm; circle), *B. elongatum* (1.75 \pm 0.05 µm; rectangle) and *B. gestroi* (1.13 \pm 0.13 µm; diamond). Data of percentage of C-positive heterochromatin and the haploid DNA content were obtained from Papeschi (1991, 1992).

1996, Papeschi et al. 2001, 2003, Rebagliati et al. 2003, Cattani et al. 2004, Grozeva et al. 2004, Poggio et al. 2011).

In Belostomatidae, the location of NORs was previously analysed by FISH with 18S rDNA probe in *B. oxyurum* (Dufour, 1863) (2n = 6 + XY, NOR in sex chromosomes), B. micantulum (Stål, 1860) (2n = 14 + XY, NOR in sex chromosomes), B. elegans (Mayr, 1871) (2n = 26 + X,X,Y, NOR in a pair of autosomes) (Papeschi and Bressa 2006), and Lethocerus patruelis (Stål, 1854) (2n = 22 + 2m + XY, NOR in sex chromosomes) (Kuznetsova et al. 2012). The present paper presents information about the number and chromosomal location of ribosomal clusters in *B. dentatum*, *B.* elongatum and B. gestroi, which have a single cluster located in an autosomal pair. In these three species the NOR is associated with a CMA₃-bright band. The results of the fluorescent banding and FISH in these species agree with those described for *B. oxyu*rum, B. micantulum and B. elegans, in which the NOR regions are colocalized with a CMA,-positive band and, therefore, the repeating unit of rDNA is GC-rich (Papeschi and Bressa 2006). Taking into account the data on the number and location of rDNA clusters along with the type of sex chromosome systems in Belostomatidae, we can observe two different patterns of rDNA distribution. The NOR is located at terminal position on both sex chromosomes in species that have a simple sex chromosome system (XY), or, in contrast, the NOR is placed at terminal position on an autosomal pair in the species with a multiple sex chromosome system (X,X,Y). Hence, our present results led us to propose that in Belostomatidae the location of rDNA genes could be associated with variants of the sex chromosome systems. Moreover, this relationship between the number and location of the NOR and the sex chromosome system has only been observed in this family of Heteroptera.

Previous cytogenetic data on worldwide Belostomatidae species allowed Papeschi and Bressa (2006) to propose an ancestral male karyotype 2n = 28 = 26 + XY, from

which the karyotypes with multiple sex chromosome systems $(2n = 26 + X_1X_2Y)$ and those with a low 2n (6 + XY, 14 + XY, 13 + XY, 22 + XY) would have originated by fragmentation of the ancestral X chromosome and chromosomal fusions, respectively. It is generally accepted that multiple sex chromosome systems in Heteroptera are the result of fragmentation(s) of the X and/or Y chromosome(s) of an ancestral simple sex chromosome system (Heizer 1950, Hughes-Schrader and Schrader 1961, Ueshima 1979, Manna 1984, Papeschi 1996, Papeschi and Bressa 2006). The holokinetic nature of heteropteran chromosomes and the achiasmatic male meiosis of sex chromosomes are the main facts that support this hypothesis and may account for the variability (Ueshima 1979, Manna 1984, Thomas 1987). In most cases of multiple sex chromosomes, the increase in the number of sex chromosomes is not accompanied by a reduction in the number of autosomes. The analysis of different populations of B. orbiculatum Estévez and Polhemus, 2001 (Papeschi 1996), B. plebejum (Stål, 1858) (Papeschi 1994), B. dilatatum (Dufour, 1863) (Bardella et. al 2012), Oechalia pacifica (Stål, 1859) (Heizer 1950) and Banasa zeteki Sailer, 1959 (Pentatomidae) (Schrader and Hughes-Schrader 1958), polymorphic for the sex chromosome systems, lend support to the hypothesis of a fragmentation origin of a multiple sex chromosome systems. In all these examples one chromosome of the simple system (XY) was replaced by two chromosomes of smaller size in the mutant individuals. Therefore, these interspecific polymorphisms of sex chromosomes represent a direct evidence of the origin of multiple sex chromosome system through fragmentation in Belostoma. On the other hand, the species of this genus with reduced chromosome numbers are characterized by a larger chromosome size, a low DNA content and very scarce C-positive heterochromatin (Papeschi and Bressa 2006). These karyotypes probably originated from the ancestral chromosome complement through chromosome fusions. The possibility of their occurrence is supported by the fact that the autosomal fusions have been found in heterozygous condition in natural populations of B. plebejum (Papeschi 1994), Triatoma infestans (Klug, 1834) (Poggio et al. 2013) and Mepraia gajardoi Frías, Henry and González, 1998 (Pérez et al. 2004) (Reduviidae).

Published data on karyotype evolution in species of this genus (Papeschi and Bressa 2006) along with the present results of rDNA-FISH support the hypothesis that in the ancestral male karyotype (2n = 28 = 26 + XY) the NOR would have been located in a pair of autosomes (Fig. 7). A fragmentation of the single X chromosome in the ancestral karyotype resulted in multiple X chromosomes and led to a karyotype with $2n = 29 = 26 + X_1X_2Y$, but keeping the ancestral NOR-autosome pair, as represented by *B. dentatum*, *B. elegans*, *B. elongatum* and *B. gestroi* (Fig. 7). On the other hand, autosomal fusions and the fusion of the ancestral sex chromosome pair (XY) with the autosomes carrying the NOR would result in the reduction in the diploid chromosome (2n = 8, 16), increasing the chromosomes size and led to the presence of rDNA genes in both X and Y chromosomes. Alternatively, the rDNA gene cluster could have been translocated from an autosomal location to the X and Y chromosomes, as it has been proposed in *Dysdercus albofasciatus* Berg, 1878 (Bressa et al. 2009). Furthermore, future studies within *Belostoma* about this regular pattern will shed light on the karyotype evolution.



Figure 7. Hypothetical scheme of karyotype evolution in species of the genus *Belostoma*. See text and Table 1 for details.

Conventional taxonomy of water bugs has focused almost entirely on adult specimens. There are relatively few publications on interspecific differences among the larvae, and fewer still concern South American species. The literature of the genus *Belostoma* includes much confusion because, in many cases, the species are very similar in coloration and appearance and only males or rarely only females can be identified (Heckman 2011). In *B. dentatum, B. elongatum* and *B. gestroi*, the karyotype analyses allow us to get a detailed characterization and a better knowledge of their chromosomal structure. Hence, we conclude that the cytogenetic studies provide valuable features that can be used to solve problems on taxonomic identification, at least for this genus.

Acknowledgements

126

This work was funded by grants UBACyT W917 of University of Buenos Aires, PIP 0281 of Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET), and PICT 2007-00635 of ANPCyT from Argentina. MG Chirino and MJ Bressa thank CONICET and ANPCyT. We wish to thank A. Bachmann and C. Armúa de Reyes for taxonomic identification of the specimens included in the study.

References

Angus RB, Kemeny CK, Wood EL (2004) The C-banded karyotypes of the four British species of *Notonecta* L. (Heteroptera: Notonectidae). Hereditas 140(2): 134–138. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-5223.2004.01815.x

- Bardella VB, Dias AL, Giuliano-Caetano L, Ribeiro JRI, Da Rosa R (2012) Sex chromosome differentiation in *Belostoma* (Insecta: Heteroptera: Belostomatidae). Genetics and Molecular Research 11(AOP): doi: 10.4238/2012.May.21.2
- Bressa MJ (2003) Basic and evolutionary cytogenetic of phytophagous heteropteran species with agroeconomic importance from Argentina. PhD Dissertation, La Plata, Argentina: Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Museo, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, VII+169 pp. [In Spanish].
- Bressa MJ, Franco M, Toscani MA, Papeschi AG (2008) Heterochromatin heteromorphism in *Holhymenia rubiginosa* (Heteroptera: Coreidae). European Journal of Entomology 105(1): 65–72. http://www.eje.cz/pdfarticles/1303/eje_105_1_065_Bressa.pdf
- Bressa MJ, Larramendy M, Papeschi AG (2005) Heterochromatin characterization in five species of Heteroptera. Genetica 124(2): 307–317. doi: 10.1007/s10709-005-4524-3
- Bressa MJ, Papeschi AG, Fumagalli E, van Doesburg PH, Larramendy M (2003) Cytogenetic and nucleolar meiotic cycle analyses in *Dysdercus imitator* Blöte, 1931 (Heteroptera, Pyrrhocoridae) from Argentina. Folia Biologica (Krakòw) 51(3-4): 135–141.
- Bressa MJ, Papeschi AG, Vitková M, Kubíčková S, Fuková I, Pigozzi MI, Marec F (2009) Sex chromosome evolution in cotton stainers of the genus Dysdercus (Heteroptera: Pyrrhocoridae). Cytogenetic and Genome Research 125: 292–305. doi: 10.1159/000235936
- Camacho JPM, Belda J, Cabrero J (1985) Meiotic behaviour of the holocentric chromosomes of *Nezara viridula* (Insecta, Heteroptera) analysed by C-banding and silver impregnation. Canadian Journal of Genetics and Cytology 27(5): 490–497. doi: 10.1139/g85-073
- Cattani MV, Greizerstein EJ, Papeschi AG (2004) Male meiotic behaviour and nucleolus organizing regions in *Camptischium clavipes* (Fabr.) (Coreidae, Heteroptera) analyzed by fluorescent banding and *in situ* hybridization. Caryologia 57(3): 267–273. doi: 10.1074/jbc.273.49.32421
- Chirino MG, Bressa MJ (2011) Caracterización de la heterocromatina en tres especies del género *Belostoma* (Hemiptera: Belostomatidae). Proceedings of the III Simposio Latinoamericano de Citogenética y Evolución, XL Congreso Argentino de Genética, I Jornadas SAG-NEA. Corrientes, Argentina, December 1-3, 2011, XXI (Supplement). Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, 177.
- Franco M, Bressa MJ, Papeschi AG (2006) Karyotype and male meiosis in *Spartocera batatas* (Fabricius) and meiotic behaviour of multiple sex chromosomes in Coreidae, Heteroptera. European Journal of Entomology 103(1): 9–16. http://www.eje.cz/pdfarticles/1073/ eje_103_1_009_Franco.pdf
- Fuková I, Nguyen P, Marec F (2005) Codling moth cytogenetics: karyotype, chromosomal location of rDNA, and molecular differentiation of sex chromosomes. Genome 48(6): 1083–1092. doi: 10.1139/g05-063
- Glaser RW (1917) Ringer solutions and some notes on the physiological basis of their ionic composition. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology 2: 241–289.
- González-García JM, Antonio C, Suja JA, Rufas JS (1996) Meiosis in holocentric chromosomes: kinetic activity is randomly restricted to the chromatid ends of sex univalents in *Graphosoma italicum* (Heteroptera). Chromosome Research 4(2): 124–132. doi: 10.1007/BF02259705
- Grozeva S, Kuznetsova VG, Nokkala S (2004) Patterns of chromosome banding in four nabid species (Heteroptera, Cimicomorpha, Nabidae) with high chromosome number karyo-types. Hereditas 140(2): 99-104. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-5223.2004.01782.x

- Grozeva S, Nokkala S (2001) Chromosome numbers, sex determining systems, and patterns of the C-heterochromatin distribution in 13 species of lace bugs (Heteroptera, Tingidae). Folia Biologica 49(1-2): 29–41.
- Heckman CW (2011) Encyclopedia of South American aquatic insects: Hemiptera-Heteroptera. Illustrated keys to known families, genera, and species in South America. Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York, 679 pp. doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-0705-4
- Ituarte S, Papeschi AG (2004) Achiasmatic male meiosis in *Tenagobia (Fuscagobia) fuscata* (Heteroptera, Corixoidea, Micronectidae). Genetica 122(2): 199–206. doi: 10.1023/B:G ENE.0000041048.75715.68
- Kuznetsova VG, Grozeva S, Anokhin BA (2012) The first finding of (TTAGG) telomeric repeat in chromosomes of true bugs (Heteroptera, Belostomatidae). Comparative Cytogenetics 6(4): 341–346, doi: 10.3897/CompCytogen.v6i4.4058
- Lockwood APM (1961) "Ringer" solutions and some notes on the physiological basis of their ionic composition. Comparative Biochemical Physiology 2(4): 241–289. doi: 10.1016/0010-406X(61)90113-X
- Manna GK (1984) Chromosomes in evolution in Heteroptera. In: Sharma AK, Sharma, A (Eds) Chromosomes in evolution of eukaryotic groups. Boca Raton, Florida, USA, 189–225.
- Menke AS (1979) Lethocerus Mayr, 1853 (Insecta, Hemiptera, Belostomatidae). Proposed conservation in place of Ilastus Gistel (1847). Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature 35: 236–238. http://iczn.org/category/bulletin-zoological-nomenclature/bulletin-zoological-nomenclature
- Motzko D, Ruthmann A (1984) Spindle membranes in mitosis and meiosis of the heteropteran insect *Dysdercus intermedius*. A study of the interrelationship of spindle architecture and the kinetic organization of chromosomes. European Journal of Cell Biology 33(2): 205–216.
- Panzera F, Perez R, Panzera Y, Alvarez F, Scvortzoff E, Salvatella R (1995) Karyotype evolution in holocentric chromosomes of three related species of triatomines (Hemiptera-Reduviidae). Chromosome Research 3(3): 143–150. doi: 10.1007/BF00710707
- Papeschi AG (1988) C-banding and DNA content in three species of *Belostoma* (Heteroptera) with large differences in chromosome size and number. Genetica 76(1): 43–51. doi: 10.1007/BF00126009
- Papeschi AG (1992) Cytogenetic study and evolution of Heteroptera. PhD Dissertation, Buenos Aires, Argentina: Departamento de Ciencias Biológicas, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, VII+259 pp. [In Spanish].
- Papeschi AG (1994) Chromosome rearrangements in *Belostoma plebejum* (Stål) (Belostomatidae, Heteroptera). Caryologia 47(2-3): 223–230.
- Papeschi AG (1995) Correspondence between C-banding and Ag-NOR in the sex chromosomes of *Belostoma oxyurum* (Belostomatidae, Heteroptera). Cytologia 60(3): 291–295. doi: 10.1508/cytologia.60.291
- Papeschi AG (1996) Sex chromosome polymorphism in species of *Belostoma* (Belostomatidae, Heteroptera). Hereditas 124(3): 269–274. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-5223.1996.00269.x
- Papeschi AG, Bidau CJ (1985) Chromosome complement and male meiosis in four species of *Belostoma* Latreille (Heteroptera-Belostomatidae). Brazilian Journal of Genetics 8(2): 249–261.

- Papeschi AG, Bressa MJ (2006) Evolutionary cytogenetics in Heteroptera. Journal of Biological Research 5: 3–21. doi: 10.1186/jbiol30
- Papeschi AG, Mola LM, Bressa MJ, Greizerstein EJ, Lia V, Poggio L (2003) Behaviour of ring bivalents in holokinetic systems: alternative sites of spindle attachment in *Pachylis argentinus* and *Nezara viridula* (Heteroptera). Chromosome Research 11(8): 725–733. doi: 10.1023/B:CHRO.0000005740.56221.03
- Papeschi AG, Mola LM, Rebagliati P, Rodriguez Gil S, Bressa MJ (2001) Heterochromatin characterization in the holokinetic chromosomes of some Heteroptera, Odonata and Araneae with DAPI -CMA. Abstracts 14th Chromosome Conference, Chromosome Research 9(1): 75.
- Pérez R, Calleros L, Rose V, Lorca M, Panzera F (2004) Cytogenetic studies on *Mepraia gajardoi* (Heteroptera: Reduviidae). Chromosome behaviour in a spontaneous translocation mutant. European Journal of Entomology 101(2): 211–218. http://www.eje.cz/scripts/viewabstract.php?abstract=708
- Poggio MG, Bressa MJ, Papeschi AG (2011) Male meiosis, heterochromatin characterization and chromosomal location of rDNA in *Microtomus lunifer* (Berg, 1900) (Hemiptera: Reduviidae: Hammacerinae). Comparative Cytogenetics 5(1): 1–22. doi: 10.3897/compcytogen.v5i1.1143
- Polhemus JT, Polhemus DA (2008) Global diversity of true bugs (Heteroptera: Insecta) in freshwater. Hydrobiologia 595(1): 379–391. doi: 10.1007/s10750-007-9033-1
- Rebagliati P, Papeschi AG, Mola LM (2003) Meiosis and fluorescent banding in *Edessa medit-abunda* and *E. rufomarginata* (Heteroptera: Pentatomidae: Edessinae). European Journal of Entomology 100(1): 11–18. http://www.eje.cz/scripts/viewabstract.php?abstract=195
- Sáez FA (1960) El empleo de la hematoxilina acética o propiónica para el estudio de los cromosomas con la técnica de aplastamiento. Comunicaciones de la Sociedad de Biología de Montevideo Mimeographed 1.
- Saha N, Aditya G, Saha GK, Hampton S (2010) Opportunistic foraging by heteropteran mosquito predators. Aquatic Ecology 44(1): 167–176. doi: 10.1007/s10452-009-9250-y
- Schnack JA (1976) Los Belostomatidae de la República Argentina (Hemiptera). In: Ringuelet RA (Eds) Fauna de agua dulce de la República Argentina. Fundación para la Educación la Ciencia y la Cultura, Buenos Aires, 1–66.
- Smith RL (1997) Evolution of paternal care in the giant water bugs (Heteroptera: Belostomatidae). In: Crespi BJ, Choe JC (Eds) Social Behavior in Insects and Arachnids. Cambridge, University Press, United Kingdom, 116–149.
- Suja JA, del Cerro AL, Page J, Rufas JS, Santos JL (2000) Meiotic sister chromatid cohesion in holocentric sex chromosomes of three heteropteran species is maintained in absence of axial elements. Chromosoma 109(1-2): 35–43. doi: 10.1007/s004120050410
- Thomas DBJ (1987) Chromosome evolution in the Heteroptera (Hemiptera): agmatoploidy versus aneuploidy. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 80(6): 720–730.
- Traut W (1976) Pachytene mapping in the female silkworm *Bombyx mori* L. (Lepidoptera). Chromosoma 58(3): 275–284. doi: 10.1007/BF00292094
- Ueshima N (1979) Hemiptera II: Heteroptera. In: John B (Eds) Animal Cytogenetics. Berlin-Stuttgart, V+117.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



New method for visualization of C-heterochromatin in synaptonemal complex spreads

Artem P. Lisachov¹

l Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Siberian Department & Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia

Corresponding author: Artem P. Lisachov (aplisachev@gmail.com)

Academic editor: C. Nokkala Received 21	March 2013 Accepted	ed 7 May 2013 Pu	ıblished 22 May 2013
---	-----------------------	--------------------	----------------------

Citation: Lisachov AP (2013) New method for visualization of C-heterochromatin in synaptonemal complex spreads. Comparative Cytogenetics 7(2): 131–138. doi: 10.3897/CompCytogen.v7i2.5187

Abstract

DAPI staining of the metaphase chromosomes pretreated with barium hydroxide generates a C-like banding pattern. In this work a protocol for visualizing similar pattern at the synaptonemal complex (SC) spreads after immunostaining is suggested. This method was used to visualize centromeric and sex heterochromatin at the SC spreads of guppy fish (*Poecilia reticulata* Peters, 1859). The efficiency of this method was further confirmed at SC spreads of the northern red-backed vole (*Myodes rutilus* (Pallas, 1779)), the guinea pig (*Cavia porcellus* (Linnaeus, 1758)), and the pigmy shrew (*Sorex minutus* Linnaeus, 1766).

Keywords

Synaptonemal complexes, pachytene, DAPI, C-banding

Introduction

Immunofluorescent analysis of synaptonemal complexes (SC) is widely performed in medical and comparative cytogenetics to study chromosome synapsis and recombination in humans with chromosomal abnormalities (Solari 1999) as well as in various plant and animal species (Anderson et al. 1999; Basheva et al. 2010; Borodin et al. 2008). Specific antibodies are used to visualize lateral and central elements of SC, double strand breaks and crossovers. These antibodies are also used to reveal specific meiotic histone modifications and other important characteristics of meiotic cells. Centromeres are used as an important marker in SC karyotyping. For instance, centromeres are commonly detected by human autoantibodies to human centromere proteins (CREST serum from human scleroderma patients). These proteins are conservative and human antibodies may be used to study various species (Basheva et al. 2010, Del Priore and Pigozzi 2012, Moens 2006). However, their affinity decreases as phylogenetic distance increases. For example, CREST serum from human scleroderma patient can detect centromeres in zebrafish only at concentrations that are five times higher than the concentrations used in mammals (Moens 2006). Centromeres in guppy have not been detected even at higher concentration of human antibodies to human centromere.

In this study a simple protocol was designed to obtain C-like banding on SC spreads and visualize centromeres without the use of antibodies. This method is cheaper and applicable if antibodies fail to detect centromeres because of a large phylogenetic distance. This method is a modified DAPI-staining technique combined with pre-treatment commonly used for C-banding. The proposed method was tested on guppy fish. The efficiency of this method was confirmed in three of five examined mammal species. Previously, the DAPI staining after barium hydroxide treatment was shown to visualize C-like banding on the metaphase chromosomes of fish (Russo, Rocco et al. 1999), plants (Barros e Silva and Guerra 2010), insects (Bella and Gosálvez 1991) and human (Heng and Tsui 1993). However, in this study the proposed technique was used for the first time to analyze SCs.

Materials and methods

Mammal spermatocytes were prepared according to the prescribed method of (Peters et al. 1997). Testicular fragments were immersed in a hypotonic extraction buffer containing 30 mMTris, 50 mM sucrose, 17 mM trisodium citrate dihydrate, 5 mM EDTA, pH 8.2 for 30 to 60 min. A suspension was made in a 40 μ l drop of 100 mM sucrose, pH 8.2 (pH was adjusted using NaOH), on a clean glass slide. First, the tubules, approximately 2 cm in length, were torn to pieces between the tips of two fine watchmaker forceps in 20 μ l of sucrose solution. Thereafter, the volume was increased to 40 μ l and a slightly cloudy suspension was made. The tubular remnants were removed and the remaining suspension was divided between two new clean glass slides that had been dipped just before in a freshly made and filtered (0.2 μ M) 1% paraformaldehyde (PFA), pH 9.2, solution containing 0.15% Triton X-100. Nuclei were dried for at least 2 h in a closed box with high humidity. Finally, the slides were washed twice for 2 min in 0.4% Photo Flo (Kodak) and dried at room temperature.

This method also yielded satisfactory results for guppy spermatocytes. However, more accurate results were obtained using the method of (Moens 2006). In brief, 30 μ l drops of 1/3×PBS hypotonic solution were placed on the dry slide. The testes of the guppy males were macerated in 50 μ l to100 μ l of PBS, and 1 μ l portions of suspension were injected into the drops. The cells were fixed by 1% paraformaldehyde after 20

min of hypotonic treatment. The slides were washed twice for 2 min in 0.4% Photo Flo (Kodak) and dried at room temperature.

The slides with guppy spermatocytes were permeabilized by the incubating in 10 mM sodium citrate solution with 0.1% Tween-20 at 95° C for 20 min before immunostaining was performed. The slides were then cooled to room temperature for 20 min and rinsed in PBS with 0.1% Tween-20 for 2 min twice. Immunostaining was performed according to the protocol described in (Anderson et al. 1999) with slight modifications. SC was detected by rabbit polyclonal antibodies to SC axial element protein SYCP3 (1:500, Abcam, Cambridge) and goat anti-rabbit Cy3-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:500, Jackson, West Grove). The centromeres were detected by human anti-centromere antibodies (ACA) (1:100 for mammals to 1:20 for guppies, Sigma-Aldrich) and goat anti-human FITC and Cy3 (for the vole) conjugated secondary antibodies (1:100, Vector Laboratories). After washing, the antifade solution with DAPI (Vectashield, Vector Laboratories) was mounted on the slides.

The preparations were photographed using Axioplan 2 Imaging microscope with a CCD camera (CV M300, JAI Corporation, Japan), CHROMA filter sets, and an ISIS4 image processing package (MetaSystems GmbH, Germany). The coverslips were carefully removed after the photographs were taken. The preparations were washed in 2×SSC for 5 min to remove the antifade solution and then dehydrated in ethanol series 70%, 80% and 100% for 3 minutes in each. The preparations were then air-dried and stored in 0,2 N HCl at room temperature for 20 min to 30 min. The slides were transferred to saturated barium hydroxide solution at 55°C for 1 min to 10 min. Afterward, the preparations were incubated in 2×SSC at 55°C to 60°C for 60 min. The preparations were re-mounted in the antifade solution with DAPI and the cells were re-photographed.

Results and discussion

In vole, guinea pig and pigmy shrew, the centromeres were marked by antibodies and DAPI signals. In vole, DAPI-stained pachytene cells untreated with barium hydroxide produced a unique banding pattern for each chromosome. This banding pattern is visible even without image contrast adjustment, and bright bands correspond to centromere antibody signals (Fig. 1a). However, the centromeric bands of most chromosomes were indistinguishable by their brightness from the interstitial ones. After the incubation in barium hydroxide for 5 minutes, all the bands except the centromeric bands and the sex heterochromatin faded and disappeared (Fig. 1b).

In guinea pig, DAPI-stainied pachytene chromosomes untreated with barium hydroxide produced a weak differential pattern that only became visible after image contrast was adjusted, and the centromeres were indistinguishable (Fig. 2a). After barium hydroxide treatment for 5 minutes, a distinct DAPI signal at the centromeres and over the sex bivalent was observed (Fig. 2b).

In pigmy shrew the DAPI-stained pachytene chromosomes untreated with barium hydroxide produced a unique pattern for each bivalent. This result agrees with that of



Figure 1. SC spread in red-backed vole. **a** A cell after immunostaining, prior to barium hydroxide treatment. Left: Red shows SCs (long lines) and centromeres (dots), blue represents DAPI. Right: the same image, DAPI channel separately **b** The same cell after 5 min of barium hydroxide treatment. Left: Red shows to SCs (long lines) and centromeres (dots), blue represents DAPI. Right: the same image, DAPI channel separately. Arrows indicate sex bivalent. Scale bars = 20 µm.

(Belonogova et al. 2006). However, the pattern did not exhibit any specific signal at the centromeres (Fig. 3a). Bright DAPI spots were observed after the specimens were treated with barium hydroxide for 5 min (Fig. 3b). Such bright spots corresponded to ACA signals (Fig. 3c). The chromosome-specific DAPI pattern faded but remained recognizable. However, these spots were absent on some bivalents.

In domestic cat (*Felis silvestris catus* (Linnaeus, 1758)) and red fox (*Vulpes vulpes* (Linnaeus, 1758)), the centromeres were successfully detected by ACA, but any centromere-specific DAPI staining was not observed on either untreated pachytene chromosomes, or barium hydroxide-treated chromosomes. The results were not improved although the treatments were modified by increasing HCl pre-incubation time to 30 min, extending barium hydroxide incubation time to 10 min, or decreasing barium hydroxide incubation time to 10 min, or decreasing barium hydroxide incubation time to 10 min, or decreasing barium hydroxide incubation time to 10 min, or decreasing barium hydroxide incubation time to 1 min. The failure to obtain centromeric DAPI signal on the pachytene chromosomes of domestic cat and red fox corresponds to previously published data about the absence of centromeric C-bands and centromeric satellite DNA in cat chromosomes(Matthews et al. 1980; Santos et al. 2004) and absence of centromeric C-bands on most fox chromosomes (Mäkinen 1985).



Figure 2. SC spread in guinea pig. **a** A cell after immunostaining, prior to barium hydroxide treatment. Left: Red, green and blue indicate SCs, centromeres, and DAPI, respectively. Right: the same image, DAPI channel separately **b** The same cell after 5 minutes of barium hydroxide treatment. Left: Red, green and blue indicate SCs, centromeres, and DAPI, respectively. Right: the same image, DAPI channel separately. Arrows indicate sex bivalent. Scale bars = 20 μm.

DAPI staining of untreated guppy male pachytene chromosomes produced completely uniform fluorescence without any specific signals (Fig. 4a). ACA did not also show any specific signal in the cell, although the concentration was five times higher than that recommended by the manufacturer. After 5 min of barium hydroxide treatment a bright spot appeared at the end of each bivalent, and the residual fluorescence faded (Fig. 4b). DAPI spots were mostly located at SC ends opposite to the recombination nodules. This finding was attributed to suppressed recombination near the centromeres. This effect has also been described in various vertebrate species including mammals (Basheva et al. 2008) and zebrafish (*Danio rerio* (Hamilton, 1822)) (Moens 2006).

The XY bivalent in guppy was easily distinguishable from a delayed synapsis at early and mid pachytene stages and from an excessive thickening of the axial elements at late pachytene stage. This bivalent showed one small DAPI signal at one end and a large DAPI-positive block close to its opposite end (Fig. 4c). This result is consistent with the pattern obtained by (Nanda et al. 1993) after conventional Giemsa C-banding on guppy metaphase chromosomes. The C-positive bands were detected at the centromeres and at the distal parts of both X and Y chromosomes.



Figure 3. SC spread in pigmy shrew. **a** A cell after immunostaining, prior to barium hydroxide treatment. Left: Red shows SCs, and blue represents DAPI. Right: the same image, DAPI channel separately **b** The same cell after 5 minutes of barium hydroxide treatment. Left: Red shows SCs, and blue represents DAPI. Right: the same image, DAPI channel separately **c** Bright DAPI spots with their corresponding centromere signals. The cell after 5 min of barium hydroxide treatment. Left: Red, green and blue indicate SCs, centromeres, and DAPI, respectively. Right: the same image, DAPI channel separately. Arrows indicate sex bivalent. Scale bars = 20 µm.

In guppy, staining quality depend on the age of preparations. In this study, optimal results were obtained from two to six month old preparations. Centromeric and sex fluorescent blocks were also visible on fresh slides, but only after image brightness and contrast were adjusted. Although the time allotted for barium hydroxide treatment was extended to 10 min, optimal results from the fresh preparations were not obtained. This may occur possibly because higher level of DNA degradation in the old preparations facilitated denaturation by barium hydroxide.



Figure 4. SC spread in guppy. **a** A cell after immunostaining, prior to barium hydroxide treatment. Left: Red shows SCs, and blue represents DAPI. Right: the same image, DAPI channel separately. Scale bar = 20 μ m. **b** The cell after 5 min of barium hydroxide treatment. Left: Red shows SCs, and blue represents DAPI. Right: the same image, DAPI channel separately. Arrow indicates sex bivalent. Scale bar = 20 μ m. **c** Sex bivalent during pairing. Red shows SC, blue represents DAPI. C: centromere, SHC: sex hetero-chromatin. Scale bar = 5 μ m.

References

- Anderson LK, Reeves A, Webb LM, Ashley T (1999) Distribution of crossing over on mouse synaptonemal complexes using immunofluorescent localization of MLH1 protein. Genetics 151: 1569–1579.
- Barros e Silva AE, Guerra M (2010) The meaning of DAPI bands observed after Cbanding and FISH procedures. Biotechnic & Histochemistry 85: 115–125. doi: 10.1080/10520290903149596

- Basheva EA, Bidau CJ, Borodin PM (2008) General pattern of meiotic recombination in male dogs estimated by MLH1 and RAD51 immunolocalization. Chromosome Research 16: 709–719. doi: 10.1007/s10577-008-1221-y
- Basheva EA, Torgasheva AA, Sakaeva GR, Bidau C, Borodin PM (2010) A- and B-chromosome pairing and recombination in male meiosis of the silver fox (Vulpes vulpes L., 1758, Carnivora, Canidae). Chromosome Research 18: 689–696. doi: 10.1007/s10577-010-9149-4
- Bella JL, Gosálvez J (1991) C-banding with specific fluorescent DNA-ligands: a new approach to constitutive heterochromatin heterogeneity. Biotechnic & Histochemistry 1: 44–52. doi: 10.3109/10520299109110549
- Belonogova NM, Karamysheva TV, Biltueva LS, Perepelov EA, Minina JM, Polyakov AV, Zhdanova NS, Rubtsov NB, Searle JB, Borodin PM (2006) Identification of all pachytene bivalents in the common shrew using DAPI-staining of synaptonemal complex spreads. Chromosome Research 14: 673–679. doi: 10.1007/s10577-006-1079-9
- Borodin PM, Karamysheva TV, Belonogova NM, Torgasheva AA, Rubtsov NB, Searle JB (2008) Recombination map of the common shrew, Sorex araneus (Eulipotyphla, Mammalia). Genetics 178: 621–632. doi: 10.1534/genetics.107.079665
- Del Priore L, Pigozzi MI (2012) Chromosomal axis formation and meiotic progression in chicken oocytes: a quantitative analysis. Cytogenetic and Genome Research 137: 15–21. doi: 10.1159/000339133
- Heng HH, Tsui LC (1993) Modes of DAPI banding and simultaneous in situ hybridization. Chromosoma 102: 325–332. doi: 10.1007/BF00661275
- Matthews HR, Pearson MD, MacLean N (1980) Cat satellite DNA. Isolation using netropsin with CsCl gradients. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 606: 228–235. doi: 10.1016/0005-2787(80)90032-5
- Moens PB (2006) Zebrafish: chiasmata and interference. Genome 49: 205–208. doi: 10.1139/ g06-021
- Nanda I, Schartl M, Epplen JT, Feichtinger W, Schmid M (1993) Primitive sex chromosomes in poeciliid fishes harbor simple repetitive DNA sequences. Journal of Experimental Zoology 265: 301–308. doi: 10.1002/jez.1402650311
- Peters AH, Plug AW, van Vugt MJ, de Boer P (1997) A drying-down technique for the spreading of mammalian meiocytes from the male and female germline. Chromosome Research 5: 66–68. doi: 10.1023/A:1018445520117
- Santos S, Chaves R, Guedes-Pinto H (2004) Chromosomal localization of the major satellite DNA family (FA-SAT) in the domestic cat. Cytogenetic and Genome Research 107: 119–122. doi: 10.1159/000079581
- Solari AJ (1999) Synaptonemal complex analysis in human male infertility. European Journal of Histochemistry 43: 265–276.
RESEARCH ARTICLE



Heterochromatin variation among the populations of *Mus terricolor* Blyth, 1851 (Rodentia, Muridae) chromosome type I

Mahua Rudra¹, Min Bahadur¹

I Genetics and Molecular Biology Laboratory, Department of Zoology, University of North Bengal, Siliguri-734013, West Bengal, India

Corresponding author: Min Bahadur (bahadurmin@rediffmail.com)

Academic editor: I. Bakloushinskaya Received 6 October 2012 Accepted 9 April 2013 Published 28 May 2	nic editor: I. Bakloushinskaya Received 6 October 2012 Accepted 9 April 2013 Published 28 M
--	---

Citation: Rudra M, Bahadur M (2013) Heterochromatin variation among the populations of *Mus terricolor* Blyth, 1851 (Rodentia, Muridae) chromosome type I. Comparative Cytogenetics 7(2): 139–151. doi: 10.3897/CompCytogen.v7i2.4136

Abstract

Twenty five to thirty specimens each from ten populations of *Mus terricolor* of the Terai and the Dooars regions of the Darjeeling foothills of West Bengal were cytogenetically analyzed using C-banding. Results showed intra- and inter- population variation of C-band positive heterochromatin ranging from very large blocks to minute amounts or even complete absence of heterochromatin. Large blocks of centromeric C-bands were found in Bidhan Nagar, Garidhura, Malbazar, Nagrakata and Maynaguri populations in most of the autosomes, while the rest of the populations had large blocks of C-bands on a few autosomes only. Such intra- and inter- population variation may be due to accumulation of C-positive heterochromatin, which has not got fixed homogeneously in all autosome pairs. X-chromosomes invariably possess a C-banded short arm a telomeric C-band at the distal end of the long arm in all populations. The entire Y-chromosome was C-band positive with slight population differences in staining intensity. The results suggest quantitative as well as qualitative variation of C-positive heterochromatin.

Keywords

Heterochromatin, C-banding, Mus terricolor

Introduction

The earth-colored mouse *Mus terricolor* is a common field mouse of the Indian subcontinent infesting paddy and wheat fields and was known as *Mus dunni* Wroughton, 1912 until Musser and Carleton (1993) synonymised it with the former name. This Mus species co-exists in the same habitat with the sibling species Mus booduga Gray, 1837. Both the species have 2n=40 chromosomes like other species of the subgenus Mus. In contrast to all acrocentric chromosomes of M. booduga, M. terricolor is characterized by a large submetacentric X and a large acrocentric Y chromosome (Matthey and Petter 1968, Sharma and Garg 1975, Markvong et al. 1975, Manjunatha and Aswathanarayana 1979). The short arm of X and the entire Y chromosome are heterochromatic (Sharma et al. 1986, 1990). Compared to the conserved karyotype of M. booduga throughout its distribution range, M. terricolor shows divergent karvotypes due to a variable number of heterochromatic short arms established in homozygous condition (Sen and Sharma 1983, Sharma et al. 1986, 1990) which indicates that M. terricolor is in an active phase of evolutionary differentiation. Cytogenetic studies by Sharma and his associates revealed that *M. terricolor* is differentiated into three distinct karyotypes (2n = 40) designated as chromosome types I, II and III. Chromosome type I has a wide distribution throughout the subcontinent except the southern peninsular region and has all acrocentric autosomes with C-band positive minute perceptible short arms. Chromosome type II, characterized by two pairs of submetacentric autosomes 1 and 3 with heterochromatic short arms, is found in Mysore and Erode in the peninsular India, while Chromosome type III distributed in Chennai, Tirupati and Madurai is characterized by three pairs of submetacentric autosomes 1, 3 and 6 with heterochromatic short arms.

Karyotype differentiation in *Mus terricolor* is due to acquisition of varying amount of constitutive heterochromatin in and around the centromere on specific autosomes. Different studies have been carried out in *M. terricolor* chromosome types and their populations covering vast regions of southern, central and western part of India (Sharma and Garg 1975, Sen and Sharma 1983, Sharma et al. 1986, Sharma 1996) but populations from West Bengal in eastern India were not included. The northern part of West Bengal, characterized by hills (Darjeeling District) and the Terai and the Dooars regions in the foothills, is well known for biodiversity and diverse ecological features.

In view of the aforesaid situation, this study has been conducted to know the extent of intra- and inter- population heterochromatin variation in *M. terricolor* chromosome type I from the Terai and the Dooars regions of foothills of Darjeeling in West Bengal.

Materials and methods

Animals

The individuals of *M. terricolor* were collected from paddy fields by digging burrows during harvesting season of the crop (November to December) from ten different locations of the Terai and the Dooars of foothills of Darjeeling in West Bengal, India. Three of the collection sites are in the Terai and seven collection sites are in the Dooars. The river Tista separates the Terai and the Dooars as a physical barrier. The name of the collection sites and their provisional geographical coordinates has been shown in

Populations	Collection sites	Geographical coordinates	No. of specimens
	Terai region		
NXL	Naxalbari	26°41'00"N / 88°13'00"E	30
GDH	Garidhura	26° 48' 24" N / 88° 16' 38" E	28
BDN	Bidhan Nagar	26° 16'00"N / 88° 12'00"E	28
	Dooars region		
APD	Alipurduar	26° 31'21"N / 89° 32'37"E	25
RBD	Rohimabad	27° 54'00"N / 80° 30'05"E	27
KGM	Kumargram	26° 36'50"N / 89° 49'30"E	29
MNG	Maynaguri	26° 33'07"N / 88° 49'26"E	25
NGK	Nagrakata	26° 54'00"N / 88° 50'00"E	29
MLB	Malbazar	27° 01'00"N / 89° 20'17"E	30
CBH	Cooch Behar	26° 32'05"N / 89° 07'12"E	26

Table 1. Populations, collection sites, geographical coordinates and number of studied individuals of *M. terricolor.*

Table 1 along with population name and number of individuals studied from each site. Animals were collected and identified by mitotic chromosome preparation. 25–30 individuals from each population were analyzed for this study. Individuals of *Mus terricolor* are abbreviated for convenience according to their collection localities. In the Terai region these are NXL (Naxalbari), GDH (Garidhura), BDN (Bidhan Nagar), and in the Dooars these are APD (Alipurduar), RBD (Rohimabad), KGM (Kumargram), MNG (Maynaguri), NGK (Nagrakata), MLB (Malbazar) and CBH (Cooch Behar).

Mitotic Chromosome Preparation

Mitotic chromosomes were prepared from bone marrow of colchicine injected mice with hypotonic treatment following air dried method after Lee and Elder (1980) and modified by Baker et al. (1982).

C-Banding

C-banding was carried out using the BSG (Barium/Saline/Giemsa) method of Sumner (1972) with slight modifications. Two to three day old slides were treated with 0.2N Hydrochloric acid for 1h at room temperature followed by 2-3 rinses in distilled water. The slides were treated in freshly prepared 5% aqueous solution of Barium hydroxide [Ba(OH)_a] at 50°C for about 2-5 minutes, followed by thorough rinsing in distilled water.

Slides were dried and incubated for 2 h at 60°C in 2 x SSC, pH 7.2 (0.3M Sodium Chloride containing 0.03 M Tri-Sodium Citrate). SSC treated slides were rinsed in distilled water and stained in 5% Giemsa, buffered with phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) for 20–30 minutes and were differentiated in distilled water, dried and mounted in DPX medium.

Karyotype preparation

A minimum of 10 plates of metaphase spreads were scored for each specimen and karyotypes were prepared from selected metaphase plates. The chromosomes were numbered on the basis of euchromatic long arms as per recommendations of the Committee on Standardized Genetic Nomenclature for mice (1972).

Results

All the individuals of *M. terricolor* analyzed from ten populations of the Terai and the Dooars demonstrated the diploid number 2n=40 with all acrocentric autosomes and



Figure 1. C-banded karyotypes of *M. terricolor* type I from Terai populations. **a** NXL **b** BDN **c** GDH population **d** segmental C-band on short arm of X chromosome in *M. terricolor* from NXL population. Centromeric C-bands are thin arrowed, C-band in short arms of X, entire Y and telomeres of X and Y are arrow headed. Bar = 4μ m.

a large submetacentric X and a large acrocentric Y chromosomes in the complement as characteristic. No chromosomal polymorphisms like inversion and Robertsonian translocations were observed. Chromosomes prepared from each individual showed C-band staining, however, few metaphases in each slide either did not show C-band staining or has weak stain. Analyzable metaphase spreads always showed C-bands shown in the representative karyotypes from each population (Figs 1–3).

Autosomal heterochromatin variation

Differential C-banding revealed extensive heterochromatin variation between and within populations. C-bands were found to be localized in the centromeric region of autosomes throughout the populations varying in size. According to the size of C-bands, the heterochromatin was divided into large blocks, and small to minute C-positive



Figure 2. C-banded karyotypes of *M. terricolor* type I from Dooars populations. **a** APD **b** RBD **c** KGM **d** MNG populations. Centromeric C-bands are thin arrowed, C-band in short arms of X, entire Y and telomeres of X and Y are arrow headed. Bar = $4\mu m$.



Figure 3. C-banded karyotypes of *M. terricolor* type I from Dooars populations. **a** MLB **b** NGK **c** CBH populations. Centromeric C-bands are thin arrowed, C-band in short arms of X, entire Y and telomeres of X and Y are arrow headed. Bar = $4\mu m$.

heterochromatin (Table 2). Results showed that individuals of BDN, GDH, MLB, NGK and MNG had large blocks of centromeric heterochromatin in most of the autosomes (Figs 1b,c, 2d, 3a,b). Moreover, the distribution of C-positive heterochromatin was not found to be homogeneous in all autosome pairs. Each chromosome of such pair was stained differentially. Autosome pair 19 consistently showed a large block of C-positive heterochromatin in almost all populations of the Terai and the Dooars with variation between the homologs of the pair (Figs 1–3). In the individuals of populations GDH, BDN, RBD, MLB, NGK and MNG large blocks of heterochromatin were also observed in chromosome 18, which was fixed in homologous condition. In contrast to other populations, NXL, RBD, APD, KGM and CBH were found to have few **Table 2.** C-band variation in different populations of *M. terricolor*. (s)-Heterogeneity of C-band between homologous autosome pair; SA-short arm of X; LA- long arm of X; WA- entire Y; + denotes intensity of C-band staining.

	Size and location of C-positive heterochromatin in autosomes			C-positive heterochromatin in sex chromosomes		
Population	Centromere		X		Y	
	Large	Small to Minute	SA	LA	WA	
NXL	1,4-8,11, 19	2,3,9,10,12-15,17,18	+++	+	+	
GDH	2(s),3(s), 4(s),5-8, 10-13, 15-19	1,2(s),3(s),4(s),9,14	+++	+	++	
BDN	1-14,17(s),18,19	15,16,17(s)	+++	+	+++	
APD	17(s), 19(s)	1-16,17(s),18,19(s)	+++	+	++	
RBD	5(s),7,10,11(s),12(s),16,18,19	1-4,5(s),6,8,9,13-15,17	+++	+	+++	
KGM	17(s),19(s)	1-16,17(s),18,19(s)	+++	+	++	
MLB	2-10,12-15,18,19	1,11,16,17	+++	+	++	
NGK	2,4,5,8-11,14,16-19	1,3,6,7,12,13,15	+++	+	_	
MNG	1-14, 16,18,19	15,17	+++	+	+++	
CBH	2,13,16,19	1,3-12,14,15, 17, 18	+++	+	+++	

autosomes with prominent large blocks of C-bands (Figs 1a, 2a,b,c, 3c). Interestingly, autosome 16 was found to be C-band negative in *M. terricolor* NXL of the Terai while rest of the autosomes showed moderate to prominent C-bands (Fig. 1a).

Heterochromatin variation in sex chromosomes

The X and Y chromosomes of *M. terricolor* were found to be consistently C-band positive in all populations, however, minute differences were observed in size and intensity of C-bands both at intra- and inter- population level (Table 2, Figs 1–3).

X chromosome

The short arm of X chromosomes in all populations were found to be invariably C-band positive i.e. heterochromatic while the long arms were euchromatic. The telomere of long arms revealed prominent C-band positive staining. In some individuals of NXL and BDN the C-band was found to be localized at two distinct points of short arm of X, so that the short arm was differentiated into faint and darkly stained regions with strong C-band positive distal telomere (Fig. 1a, d). One female *M. terricolor* in GDH population showed interesting result. One of the two X-chromosomes in this specimen was strongly stained at the telomeric end but the other X was totally devoid of C-band positive telomeric staining, while short arm was intensly C-band positive (Fig. 1c).

Y chromosome

The entire Y chromosome was found to be consistently C-band positive in all populations; however, some differences were noticed in the intensity of banding (Table 2). Faintly stained Y chromosome was observed in NXL, GDH, KGM and MLB populations (Figs 1a, c, 2c and 3a), while rest of the populations revealed intensely stained Y which is the characteristic of the species. Like X chromosomes, the telomeric end of the Y was also found to be C-banded with population differences.

Discussion

The mouse major satellite DNA, largely present as pericentromeric constitutive heterochromatin blocks in all chromosomes except Y, is highly repetitive (Jones 1970, Pardue and Gall 1970, Dev et al. 1973). This region has been found to be highly variable and fast evolving indicating its role in early stages of evolution (Shaw 1994). Constitutive heterochromatin has been shown to be highly polymorphic between and within species of *Mus* (Akeson and Davisson 1991, Forejt 1973, She 1990, Piálek 2005, Mitsainas et al. 2009). The studies on different rodents of the genera *Peromyscus, Mastomys, Oryzomys, Sigmodon, Rattus, Apodemus* and *Mus* revealed a common C-band pattern, i.e. large sized centromeric C-bands in the autosomes and X- chromosomes, and a completely heterochromatic small Y-chromosome (Modi 1987 and references there in).

Mus terricolor is an actively speciating incipient species complex in which constitutive heterochromatin is playing a major role in karyotype differentiation (Sen and Sharma 1983, Sharma et al. 1986, 1990, Bahadur 1995). Variation in autosomal Cpositive heterochromatin in the range of populations studied, suggest that *M. terricolor* is in a dynamic state of speciation. Variation and accumulation of heterochromatin have been shown in rodents by many workers. They have agreed that the accumulation of C-heterochromatin represents a recently evolved trait in rodents (Baverstock et al. 1976, Greenbaum and Baker 1978, Gamperl 1982a, Gamperl et al. 1982, Sen and Sharma1983, Qumsiyeh et al. 1988, Gallardo 1991). C-band polymorphisms in terms of size variation in wild derived inbred strains of mice have also been reported by Akeson and Davisson (1991). In our study the presence of population specific and/ or chromosome specific large blocks of C-bands, either in homozygous or in heterozygous condition suggest an increase or accumulation of C-positive heterochromatin which is consistent with above findings.

C-band polymorphism in X chromosomes of *M. terricolor* populations revealed interesting features. Two discrete heterochromatic blocks on short arms of X chromosomes in NXL and BDN (Fig. 1a, d) suggest segmental localization of heterochromatin. Balajee and Sharma (1994) have also shown the same result in *M. terricolor* by digesting the metaphase chromosomes with *AluI* and staining with Giemsa which produces C-band like features.

The large size of the Y chromosome in *M. terricolor* is due to accumulation of C-positive heterochromatin (Sharma 1996) which shows population wise variation in banding intensity. In our study the cause of staining differentiation is not clear, though C-band polymorphism and apparent absence of C-positive chromatin in the Y chromosome has been shown in different species of rodents (*Phenacomys intermedius* Merriam, 1889, *Microtus californicus* Peale, 1848, *Microtus orchogaster* Schreber, 1842, *Clethrionomys californicus* Merriam, 1890 and *Microtus oregoni* Bachman, 1839, *Microtus arvalis* Pallas, 1778) by different workers (Zenzes and Voiculescu 1975, Gamperl 1982a,b, Vorontsov et al. 1984, Modi 1987) which suggests compositional heterogeneity of heterochromatin (Peacock et al. 1981, Patton and Sherwood 1982, Gallardo 1992) or unusual DNA sequences with different staining properties. (John and Miklos 1979, Peacock et al. 1981).

Populations of *M. terricolor* showed prominent telomeric C-band on the long arm of X and also on acrocentric Y, but telomeric C-bands were not observed in autosomes in any population. Large prominent autosomal telomeric C-bands have been shown in the common wood mouse, *Sylvaemus sylvaticus* Linnaeus, 1758 by Nadjafova et al. (1993) and Nadjafova (2008) who implicated its role in differentiation of the species. The evolution of telomeric heterochromatin has been suggested to occur due to transposition and amplification of the centromeric satellite component (Hirning et al. 1989) in case of *Sylvaemus sylvaticus*. The situation in *M. terricolor* needs to be intensively investigated for conclusive inferences.

Intra- and inter-specific karvotype evolution involving heterochromatin has been studied and discussed in many species but the evolutionary significance of heterochromatin is not established due to simultaneous involvement of chromosomal rearrangements, like inversions and Robertsonian translocations (Duffey 1972, Bradshaw and Hsu 1972, Pathak et al. 1973, Robbins and Baker 1981, Patton and Sherwood 1982, Davis et al. 1985, Modi 1987). However, evolutionary classification of the European wood mice of the subgenus Sylvaemus and genus Apodemus is based on chromosomal markers, like species specific C-positive heterochromatin (Orlov et al. 1996, Nadjafova 2008). Comparative FISH analysis of C-positive blocks of centromeric heterochromatin in different species of wood mice, Sylvaemus (Rubtsov et al. 2011) and three chromosomal forms of Sylvaemus uralensis Pallas, 1811 (Karamysheva et al. 2010) revealed variation in copy number and the level of homology of repetitive sequences as well as their localization. In the present study overall centromeric heterochromatin variation in size and intensity of bands in autosomes and heterochromosomes in *M. terricolor* populations is also suggestive of quantitative as well as qualitative variation. Chatterjee et al. (2003) have commented on the basis of their southern hybridization experiments that *M. terricolor* types differ in satellite DNA organization from that of *Mus musculus* Linnaeus, 1758, an allied species, and Mus booduga, the sibling species.

It can be concluded that very large to minute C-bands and even absence of C-bands in centromere of autosomes within and between populations of *M. terricolor* indicates presence of differential amount of heterochromatin which might have evolved by nonreciprocal DNA turnover mechanisms in wild populations that has also been suggested by many workers (Dover 1982, Redi et al. 1990), however, this needs more extensive studies.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Department of Zoology, University of North Bengal. The authors gratefully acknowledge Professor Ananda Mukhopadhyay, Department of Zoology, University of North Bengal for his valuable comments and suggestions on the language of manuscript. Authors also acknowledge Mr. Premananda Roy, Head, Chathat High School, Siliguri, District Darjeeling who has kindly read the manuscript and made valuable suggestions.

References

- Akeson EC, Davisson MT (1991) C-band polymorphisms in exotic inbred strains of mice: a method for mapping centromeric ends of chromosomes. Cytogenetic and Genome Research 57: 217–220. doi: 10.1159/000133151
- Bachman J (1839) Description of several new species of American quadrupeds. Journal of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia 8(1): 57–74.
- Bahadur M (1995) Study on evolutionary divergence in the Indian pygmy field mice. Ph.D. Thesis. Department of Zoology. Banaras Hindu University, 1–78.
- Baker RJ, Haiduk MW, Robbins LW, Cad-ena A, Koop BF (1982) Chromosomal studies of South American bats and their systematic implications. In: Mares MA, Genoways HH (Eds) Mammalian Biology in South America. Pymatuning Lab Ecol., Univ. Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, 303–327.
- Balajee AS, Sharma T (1994) The chromosomal distribution of *Mus musculus*-like AT-rich heterochromatin in the *M. dunni* complex as revealed by Alu I digestion of metaphase chromosomes. Cytogenetic and Genome Research 66: 89–92. doi: 10.1159/000133673
- Baverstock PR, Watts CH, Hogarth JT (1976) Heterochromatin variation in the Australian rodent Uromys caudimaculatus. Chromosoma (Berlin) 57: 397–403. doi: 10.1007/BF00332163
- Blyth E (1851) Report on the mammalia and more remarkable birds inhabiting Ceylon. Journal of the Asiatic Society of Bengal 20 (2, NS XLV, Issue II): 20, 153–185.
- Bradshaw WN, Hsu TC (1972) Chromosomes of *Peromyscus* (Rodentia, Cricetidae) III. Polymorphism in *Peromyscus maniculatus*. Cytogenetics 11: 436–451. doi: 10.1159/000130209
- Chatterjee B, Bahadur M, Srivastava S, Sharma T (2003) Differential organization of a LINE– 1 family in Indian pygmy field mice. Indian Journal of Experimental biology 41: 53–57.
- Committee on Standardized Genetic Nomenclature of Mice (1972) Standard Karyotype of the mouse, *Mus musculus*. Journal of Heredity 63: 69-72.
- Davis KM, Smith AS, Greenbaum IF (1985) Evolutionary implications of chromosomal polymorphisms in *Peromyscus boylii* from southwestern Mexico. Evolution 40: 645–649. doi: 10.2307/2408587
- Dev VG, Miller DA, Miller OJ (1973) Chromosome markers in *Mus musculus* strain differences in C-banding. Genetics 75: 663–670.
- Dover G (1982) Molecular drive: a cohesive mode of species evolution. Nature 299: 111–117. doi: 10.1038/299111a0

- Duffey PA (1972) Chromosome variation in *Peromyscus*: a new mechanism. Science 176: 1333–1334. doi: 10.1126/science.176.4041.1333
- Forejt J (1973) Centromeric heterochromatin polymorphism in the house mouse. Chromosoma (Berlin) 43: 187–201. doi: 10.1007/BF00483378
- Gallardo MH (1991) Karyotypic evolution in *Ctenomys* (Rodentia: Ctenomyidae). Journal of Mammalogy 72: 11–21. doi: 10.2307/1381976
- Gallardo MH (1992) Karyotypic evolution in Octodontid rodents based on C-band analysis. Journal of Mammalogy 73: 89–98. doi: 10.2307/1381869
- Gamperl R (1982a) Chromosomal evolution in the genus *Clethrinomys*. Genetica (Neatherlands) 57: 193–197. doi: 10.1007/BF00056482
- Gamperl R (1982b) Die Chromosomen von Microtus arvalis (Rodentia, Microtinae). Zeitschrift fur Saugetierkunde 47: 356–363.
- Gamperl R, Ehmann C, Bachmann K (1982) Genome size and heterochromatin variation in rodents. Genetica 58: 199–212. doi: 10.1007/BF00128014
- Gray JE (1837) Description of some new or little known Mammalia principally in the British Museum collection. Magazine of Natural History 1: 577–587.
- Greenbaum IF, Baker RJ (1978) Determination of primitive karyotype for *Peromyscus*. Journal of Mammalogy 59: 820–834. doi: 10.2307/1380146
- Hirning U, Schulz WA, Just W, Adolph S, Vogel W (1989) A comparative study of the heterochromatin of *Apodemus sylvaticus* and *Apodemus flavicollis*. Chromosoma (Berlin) 98: 450–455. doi: 10.1007/BF00292791
- John B, Miklos GLG (1979) Functional aspects of satellite DNA and heterochromatin. In: Bourne GH, Danielli JF (Eds) International Review of Cytology. Academic Press, 58: 1–114.
- Jones KW (1970) Chromosomal and nuclear location of mouse satellite DNA in individual cells. Nature 225: 912–915. doi: 10.1038/225912a0
- Karamysheva TV, Bogdanov AS, Kartavtseva IV, Likhoshvay TV, Bochkarev MN, Kolcheva NE, Marochkina VV, Rubtsov NB (2010) Comparative FISH analysis of C-positive blocks of centromeric chromosomal regions of Pygmy Wood Mice Sylvaemus uralensis (Rodentia, Muridae). Russian Journal of Genetics 46: 712–724. doi: 10.1134/S1022795410060128
- Lee MR, Elder FFB (1980) Yeast stimulation of bone marrow mitoses for cytogenetic investigations. Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics 26: 36–40. doi: 10.1159/000131419
- Manjunatha KA, Aswathanarayana NV (1979) Studies on the chromosomes of the genus *Mus*: Autosomal polymorphism in the Indian pygmy mouse *Mus dunni* (Wroughton). Current Science 48: 657–659.
- Markvong A, Marshall JT, Pathak S, Hsu TC (1975) Chromosomes and DNA of *Mus*: the karyotype of *M. fulvidiventris* and *Mus dunni*. Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics 14: 116–125. doi: 10.1159/000130331
- Matthey R, Petter F (1968) Existence de deux especes distinctes, l'une chromosomiquement polymorphe chez der *Mus indiens* du groupe *boodug*a. Etude cytogenetique et taxonomique. Reviue Suisse de Zoologie 75: 461–498.
- Merriam CH (1889) Description of a new genus *Phenacomys* and four new species of *Arvicolinae*. North American Fauna 2: 27–45. doi: 10.3996/nafa.2.0009

- Merriam CH (1890) Descriptions of twenty-six new species of North American mammals. North American Fauna 4: 1–60. doi: 10.3996/nafa.4.0001
- Mitsainas GP, Rovatsos MT, Giagia-Athanasopoulou EB (2009) The HSR and heterochromatin distribution in natural populations of *Mus musculus domesticus* (Rodentia: Murinae) from Greece. Caryologia 62: 53–61.
- Modi WS (1987) C-banding analyses and the evolution of heterochromatin among arvicolid rodents. Journal of Mammalogy 68: 704–714. doi: 10.2307/1381612
- Musser GG, Carleton MD (1993) Family Muridae. In: Wilson DE, Reeder DM (Eds) Mammal species of the world: A taxonomic and geographic reference, 2nd edition. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution, 501–756.
- Nadjafova RS (2008) Cytogenetic recognition of the common wood mouse, Sylvaemus sylvaticus s.1. (Mammals: Rodentia: Muridae) in European Russia. Comparative Cytogenetics 2: 1–6.
- Nadjafova RS, Bulatova NSh, Chasovlikarova Z, Gerassimov S (1993) Karyological differences between two *Apodemus* species in Bulgaria. Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde 58: 232–239.
- Orlov VN, Kozlovsky AI, Nadjafova RS, Bulatova NS (1996) Karyological diagnoses, distribution and evolutionary classification of wood mice of the subgenus *Sylvaemus* (Apodemus, Muridae, and Rodentia) in Europe. Zoologichesky Zhurnal 75: 88–102. (In Russian).
- Pardue ML, Gall JG (1970) Chromosomal localization of mouse satellite DNA. Science 168: 1356–1358. doi: 10.1126/science.168.3937.1356
- Peale TR (1848) U.S. exploring expeditions 1838, 1839, 1840, 1841, 1842 under the command of Charles Wilkes, U.S.N. Mammalogy and Ornithology (Asherman and Co., Philadelphia) 8: 1–338.
- Pathak S, Hsu TC, Arrighi FE (1973) Chromosomes of *Peromyscus (Rodentia, Cricetidae*). IV. The role of heterochromatin in karyotypic evolution. Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics 12: 315–326. doi: 10.1159/000130470
- Patton JL, Sherwood SW (1982) Genome evolution in (genus *Thomomys*): I. Heterochromatin variation and speciation potential. Chromosoma 85: 149–162. doi: 10.1007/BF00294962
- Peacock WJ, Dennis ES, Hilliker AJ, Pryor AJ (1981) Differentiation of heterochromatin. In: Atchley WR, Woodruff DS (Eds) Evolution and speciation. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 78–100.
- Piálek J, Hauffe HC, Searle JB (2005) Chromosomal variation in the house mouse: a review. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 84: 535–563. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2005.00454.x
- Qumsiyeh MB, Sanchez-Hernandez C, Davis SK, Patton JC, Baker RJ (1988) Chromosomal evolution in *Geomys* as revealed by G- and C-band analysis. The Southwestern Naturalist. 33: 1–13. doi: 10.2307/3672082
- Redi CA, Garagna S, Zuccotti M (1990) Robertsonian chromosome formation and fixation: The genomic scenario. In: Berry RJ, Corti M (Eds) Inherited Variation and Evolution in the House Mouse. Academic Press, New York-London, 235–255.
- Robbins LW, Baker RJ (1981) An assessment of the nature of chromosomal rearrangements in 18 species of *Peromyscus* (Rodentia: Cricetidae). Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics 31: 194– 202. doi: 10.1159/000131649

- Rubtsov NB, Karamysheva TV, Bogdanov AS, Likhoshvay TV, Kartavtseva IV (2011) Comparative FISH analysis of C_positive regions of chromosomes of Wood Mice (Rodentia, Muridae, *Sylvaemus*). Russian Journal of Genetics 47: 1096–1110. 10.1134/ S1022795411090158
- Sen S, Sharma T (1983) Role of constitutive heterochromatin in evolutionary divergence: results of chromosome banding and condensation inhibition studies in *Mus musculus, Mus booduga* and *Mus dunni*. Evolution 37: 628–636. doi: 10.2307/2408275
- Sharma T (1996) Chromosomal and molecular divergence in the Indian pygmy field mice Mus booduga-terricolor lineage of the subgenus Mus. Genetica 97: 331–338. doi: 10.1007/ BF00055319
- Sharma T, Balajee AS, Cheong N (1990) Chromosomal speciation: constitutive heterochromatin and evolutionary differentiation of the Indian pygmy field mice. In: Sharma T (Eds) Trends in Chromosome Research. Narosa, New Delhi & Springer Verlag, 265–283. doi: 10.1007/978-3-662-10621-1_18
- Sharma T, Cheong N, Sen P, Sen S (1986) Constitutive heterochromatin and evolutionary divergence of *Mus dunni*, *M. booduga* and *M. musculus*. Current topics in Microbiology and Immunology 127: 35–44. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-71304-0_4
- Sharma T, Garg GS (1975) Constitutive heterochromatin and karyotype variation in Indian pygmy mouse, *Mus dunni*. Genetical Research 25: 189–191. doi: 10.1017/ S0016672300015585
- Shaw DD (1994) Centromeres: moving chromosomes through space and time. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 9: 170–175. doi: 10.1016/0169-5347(94)90080-9
- She JX, Bonhomme F, Boursot P, Thaler L, Catzeflis F (1990) Molecular phylogenies in the genus *Mus*: comparative analysis of electrophoretic scnDNA hybridisation and mtDNA RFLP data. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 41: 83–103. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.1990.tb00823.x
- Sumner AT (1972) A simple technique for demonstrating centromeric heterochromatin. Experimental Cell Research 75: 305–306. doi: 10.1016/0014-4827(72)90558-7
- Vorontsov NN, Lyapunova EA, Belianin AN, Kral B, Frisman LV, Ivnitskii SB, Yanina IIu (1984). Sravnitel'no-geneticheskie metody diagnostiki i otsenki stepeni divergentsii vidovdvoinikov obyknovennykh polevok *Microtus arvalis* i *M. epiroticus*. Zoologichesky Zhurnal 63: 1555–1561.
- Zenzes MT, Voiculescu I (1975) Heterochromatin (C-bands) in somatic and male germ cells in three species of *Microtinae*. Genetica 45: 263–272. doi: 10.1007/BF01517202

RESEARCH ARTICLE



The first cytogenetic description of Euleptes europaea (Gené, 1839) from Northern Sardinia reveals the highest diploid chromosome number among sphaerodactylid geckos (Sphaerodactylidae, Squamata)

Ekaterina Gornung¹, Fabio Mosconi², Flavia Annesi¹, Riccardo Castiglia¹

l Dipartimento di Biologia e Biotecnologie "Charles Darwin", Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Via Alfonso Borelli 50 – 00161 – Roma – Italia 2 Cooperativa Myosotis c/o Museo Civico di Zoologia di Roma, Via Aldrovandi 18 – 00197 – Roma – Italia

Corresponding author: Ekaterina Gornung (ekaterina.gornung@gmail.com)

Academic editor: L. Kupriyanova | Received 19 February 2013 | Accepted 27 May 2013 | Published 10 June 2013

Citation: Gornung E, Mosconi F, Annesi F, Castiglia R (2013) The first cytogenetic description of *Euleptes europaea* (Gené, 1839) from Northern Sardinia reveals the highest diploid chromosome number among sphaerodactylid geckos (Sphaerodactylidae, Squamata). Comparative Cytogenetics 7(2): 153–161. doi: 10.3897/CompCytogen.v7i2.4881

Abstract

The karyotype of a sphaerodactylid gecko *Euleptes europaea* (Gené, 1839) was assembled for the first time in this species. It is made of 2n = 42 gradually decreasing in size chromosomes, the highest chromosome number so far acknowledged in the family Sphaerodactylidae. The second chromosome pair of the karyotype appears slightly heteromorphic in the male individual. Accordingly, FISH with a telomeric probe revealed an uneven distribution of telomeric repeats on the two homologues of this pair, which may be indicative of an XY sex-determination system in the species, to be further investigated.

Keywords

Sauria, Gekkota, karyotype, chromosomal evolution, telomeric repeats, XY male heterogamety

Introduction

The Italian Gekkotan fauna includes four species: two gekkonid species – *Mediodactylus kotschyi* (Steindachner, 1870) and *Hemidactylus turcicus* (Linnaeus, 1758), a phyllodactylid gecko *Tarentola mauritanica* (Linnaeus, 1758), and a sphaerodactylid *Eu-* *leptes europaea* (Gené, 1839) (Bauer et al. 2008). *Euleptes europaea*, the focus of the present study, commonly known as the European leaf-toed gecko, the single living species of the genus *Euleptes*, which was recently resurrected from synonymy with of *Phyllodactylus* (Bauer et al. 1997). Moreover, not long ago, this monotypic genus was considered *incertae sedis*, along with few other Afro-Eurasian genera of the same clade (*Pristurus* Rüppell, 1835, *Teratoscincus* Strauch, 1863, *Quedenfeldtia* Boettger, 1883) plus neotropical *Aristelliger* Cope, 1861, because they all fall into an unresolved polytomy (Gamble et al. 2008a, 2008b). The most up to date phylogeny of Gamble et al. (2011), however, places this monotypic genus into Sphaerodactylidae, once again raised to a rank of a family, which is a sister clade to Gekkonidae and Phyllodactylidae and embraces a large range of species from both Old and New World.

In Europe, *Euleptes* Fitzinger, 1843 is described from at least the early Miocene; the single modern species, *E. europaea*, is a relic endemic of the western Mediterranean region which survived during isolation on the Corso-Sardinian microplate (Müller 2001). In contrast with the other three species widespread on the Italian territory, the current geographic range of *E. europaea* is restricted to Sardinia, Corsica, small mainland and insular areas of Liguria and Tuscany, including the isles of Elba, Gorgona, Capraia, Pianosa, Montecristo, Giglio, and Giannutri, and also to small offshore islands of southern France, Sardinia, and Corsica (Sindaco et al. 2006), as well as to three islands of the Tunisian coast (Delaugerre et al. 2011). This peculiar, largely insular, distribution indicates a relatively recent contraction of its range (Arnold and Ovenden 2002).

Euleptes europaea remains the only gecko of the Italian fauna, which has not been characterized cytogenetically. It is not surprising, since of approximately 1,000 species of Geckonids, in the broad sense, karyotypes have been described for less than 10% of them (Olmo and Signorino 2005, Trifonov et al. 2011). Cytogenetic data are very scarce in Sphaerodactylidae, as well: only 3% of approximately 196 species have been karyotyped (Ezaz et al. 2009). Accordingly, we carried out cytogenetic analyses of *E. europaea* performing a karyological description of individuals from Sardinia, supplemented by physical mapping of telomeric repeats. Molecular cytogenetic investigations on reptiles are largely lacking, but they may be beneficial to solving taxonomic problems and phylogenetic uncertainties and to comprehending evolutionary matters, such as the mechanisms of chromosome evolution and emergence of neo-sex chromosomes, especially in geckos, which are characterized by different sex-determination systems even among closely related taxa (Gamble 2010, Kawai et al. 2009).

Materials and methods

We analyzed a limited sample - one male, one female, and one juvenile - from a population of the island of Santa Maria near the north coast of Sardinia. The animals were handled according to the European Code of Practice for the housing and care of animals used in scientific procedures (Council of Europe 1986). Analyzed specimens (voucher numbers: EUL1 male, EUL2 juvenile, EUL3 female) are preserved in 70% ethanol and are housed in the herpetological collection of the Dipartimento di Biologia e Biotecnologie "Charles Darwin" Università di Roma "La Sapienza" (CEAC).

Metaphase plates were prepared from bone marrow, intestinal, and testicular cells using standard air-drying method after injection of 1:1000 solution Vinblastine Sulphate, Velbe[®] (Lilly) as antimitotic solution. The slides were colored with 5% Giemsa solution. For each individual, about 20 metaphase plates were studied and photographed. The telomeric probe was commercially synthesized as two oligonucleotides (GGGTTA)₇ and (TAACCC)₇ both end-labeled with Cy3 (Bio-Fab Research). The oligonucleotides were dissolved (2 ng/µL) in a hybridization mix made up of 5% Dextran sulphate, 2XSSC, and 5 µg/µL sonicated salmon DNA. For FISH, standard procedures for the hybridization of repetitive sequences (Lichter et al. 1992) were carried out, followed by high-stringency post-hybridization washes at 42°C. As a routine, chromosomes were counterstained with DAPI (4',6-diamidin-2-fenilindolo, 1µg/mL) and propidium iodide (0.5 µg/mL). Ten metaphases per individual were analyzed under Zeiss AxioPhot epifluorescence microscope. The photographs were acquired with a SenSys 1400 CCD camera (Photometrics[®]). Images were processed using IP-lab software (Scanalytics[®]) and Adobe[®] Photoshop[®] CS3.

Results and discussion

The karyotype of *E. europaea* is composed of 21 chromosome pairs gradually decreasing in size (Fig. 1a). There is no pronounced subdivision of the chromosome complement into macro- and microchromosomes; 17 chromosome pairs may be considered telocentric chromosomes: tiny short arms, visible in some of more elongated chromosomes, are not taken into account for the fundamental number. The minute chromosomes No 20 and No 21 are telocentric, while the smallest pair of the karyotype is definitely biarmed. The largest chromosomes of the complement (pairs No 1 and No 2) are also biarmed, precisely, subtelocentric. However, both homologues of the chromosomes No 2 had short, similar in size true arms only in the female individual (Fig. 1b). In the male, one of the homologues of chromosomes No 2 showed somewhat greater overall compactness and smaller or more contracted short arms in most metaphases after conventional Giemsa staining (Fig. 1c). The degree of this heteromorphism was relevant enough to be worth noting: the average centromeric index of the two homologues of this pair was 14.7% and 8.3%.

FISH with a telomeric probe detected all ordinary telomeric sites of the chromosomes. The present results are in accordance with previously obtained data in *Gonatodes taniae* Roze, 1963, the only other sphaerodactylid species, in which chromosomal distribution of telomeric sequences has been studied so far (Schmid et al. 1994). Also, amplification of the telomeric signals characterized most of telocentric chromosomes in centromeric regions (Fig. 2). This pattern, together with DAPI counterstaining, allowed to better classify chromosomes and arrange homologues in pairs. In the obtained



Figure 1. Chromosome complement of *E. europaea* from Sardinia. **a** 2n = 42 male karyotype **b** homomorphic chromosomes 2 (female); **c** – examples of heteromorphic chromosomes No2 (male). Bar = 5 μ m.

karyotype, conspicuous interstitial pericentromeric signals are clearly separated from minor regular telomeres in the biarmed chromosomes and in several chromosomes with tiny short arms (e.g., $N_{\rm P}$ 8 and $N_{\rm P}$ 13 in Fig. 2). Furthermore, in all chromosome pairs, interstitial telomeric sites (ITS) are virtually of the same intensity and size in both homologues, whereas the two homologues of the chromosomes $N_{\rm P}2$ of the male differ for the intensity of interstitial signals.

The ITS sites at centromeres have been described in many different taxonomic groups (Meyne et al. 1990). In some lineages, they were shown to result from retaining the ancestor telomeres after, for example, Robertsonian or tandem fusion/fission (Ventura et al. 2006) or more complex (Fagundes et al. 1997) rearrangements. On the other hand, telomere-like sequences are often present in chromosomes as a component of the satellite DNA (Garrido-Ramos et al. 1998). In many species, centromeric regions of chromosomes contain substantial amounts of telomeric repeats, which often constitute a major component of heterochromatin and is supposed to play an important role in evolutionary chromosomal rearrangements (Slijepcevic et al. 1997, Ruiz-Herrera et al. 2008).

In summary, the karyotype of *E. europaea* looks quite unusual if compared with other records available in the family Sphaerodactylidae, and the chromosome number is the highest among all species of the family presently studied. Since the phylogenetic position of *Euleptes* within Sphaerodactylidae is uncertain, we provide a comparative analysis of all-encompassing data. The genus *Euleptes* falls in a poorly supported assemblage of genera without clear relationships with each other, which includes the following species-poor Afro-Asian genera: *Pristurus* Rüppell, 1835, endemic to Middle East and Arabia, the Asiatic *Teratoscincus* Strauch, 1863, and the Moroccan *Quedenfeldtia* Boettger, 1883, as well as the neotropical species-rich *Aristelliger* Cope, 1861 (Gamble



Figure 2. A karyotype of *E. europaea* after FISH with a telomeric probe (upper array) and DAPI-staining (lower array); slightly heteromorphic chromosomes N_{2} are framed; the same chromosome pair of a female is shown in the insert below.

et al. 2011). Among these taxa, *Teratoscincus scincus* (Schlegel, 1858) from several Chinese populations (Zheng et al. 1998) and its two subspecies (*T. s. scincus* and *T. s. rustamowi*) from the Central Asia (Kazakhstan, Tadjikistan, and Turkmenia) (Manilo 1993, Manilo and Pisanets 1984), as well as *T. przewalskii* Strauch, 1887 (Zheng et al. 1998), all have a 2n = 36 karyotype. The results of different authors are in accordance with each other in presenting a karyotype formula of 2n = 36, with 24 macrochromosomes (6 biarmed and 18 telocentric) and 12 microchromosomes, except for a pioneer result of De Smet (1981), who reported a karyotype of 2n = 34 all acrocentric chromosomes in *T. scincus* (Schlegel, 1858). According to Branch (1980), *Pristurus carteri* (Gray, 1863) have similar, 2n = 34 all-acrocentric karyotype.

The family Sphaerodactylidae includes also one well supported major clade, which comprises five genera of the neotropical sphaerodactylid lizards (*Coleodactylus* Parker, 1926; *Gonatodes* Fitzinger, 1843; *Lepidoblepharis* Peracca, 1897; *Pseudogonatodes* Ruthven, 1915, and *Sphaerodactylus* Wagler, 1830) (see dos Santos et al. 2003). The highest diploid number of chromosomes within this cluster is 32. Thus, three species of *Gonatodes* (*G. humeralis* (Guichenot, 1855), *G. hasemani* Griffin, 1917, and *G. vittatus* (Lichtenstein, 1856)) and *Coleodactylus amazonicus* (Andersson, 1918) show 2n = 32, all telocentric karyotypes (McBee et al. 1984, 1987, Rada De Martinez 1980, dos Santos et al. 2003), but some species of *Gonatodes* have lower diploid number (2n = 22 and 26 in *G. ceciliae* Donoso-Barros, 1966) (McBee et al. 1987) or exceptionally low one (2n = 16 in *G. taniae* Roze, 1963), which is thought to be due to a series of centric fusions from an acro(telo)centric ancestral karyotype (Schmid et al. 1994).

Based on its prevalence among the neotropical sphaerodactylid geckos, the 2n = 32 allacrocentric karyotype was proposed as ancestral, while centric fusion was assumed as the main mechanism of chromosome evolution in this latter grouping (Schmid et al. 1994). On the other hand, once, considering the family Gekkonidae, then inclusive of sphaerodactylid lizards, King (1977) suggested as ancestral a 2n = 38 karyotype with exclusively acrocentric chromosomes. Taking in account the present evidence of the 2n = 42 karyotype of *E. europaea* with mainly telo(acro)centric chromosomes, we must agree with dos Santos et al. (2003) that it is still premature to speculate on the ancestral karyotype for Sphaerodactylidae.

Another outcome of the present study is a possible male chromosome heteromorphism in *E. europaea*. However, provided the extremely limited sample presently examined, chromosome polymorphism unrelated to sex is possible, as well. If the present data in *E. europaea* actually reflect the XX/XY sex determination system, which is still to be corroborated, it would be indicative of rather new or undifferentiated sex chromosomes. The available cytogenetic data on sex chromosomes in Sauria are rare, but give an idea of how different may be the morphology and composition of sex chromosomes in different species with male (XX/XY) or female (ZZ/ZW) heterogamety (Ezaz et al. 2009). Among few karyotyped geckos of Spherodactylidae, no female heterogamety has been found yet, while male heterogamety has been reported in only one species - the Venezuelan Gonatodes ceciliae Donoso-Barros, 1966 (McBee et al. 1987). However, in this species, a large metacentric X and a small acrocentric Y chromosome are remarkably heteromorphic. Finally, a genetic sex determination system may be hypothesized in a lizard species, which inhabits particular environment, as very small islets and isolated rocks. Such environment possibly will not provide consistent temperature ranges, which are necessary to assure a balanced sex ratio within population (Pen et al. 2010). In fact, Tarentola mauritanica, which is known to have environmental sex determination, has not been found on islets so small as the ones, where the E. europaea is often observed (Delaugerre et al. 2011).

The main conclusions of the present analysis are: 1) the diploid chromosome number in Sphaerodactylidae may reach 2n = 42, the uppermost value so far observed in the family, as well as one of the highest diploid numbers among all Gekkotan lizards (acknowledged maximum is 2n = 46 in Thailand house gecko, *Cosymbotus platyurus* (Schneider, 1792) (classified also as *Hemidactylus platyurus* (Schneider, 1792)) according to Olmo and Signorino (2005), as well as in *Hemidactylus bowringi* (Gray, 1845) according to Nakamura (1932) and Ota (unpublished) (in Ota et al. 1989)), whereas even higher numbers of chromosomes characterize some unisexual triploid lineages, e.g., the parthenogentic gecko *Hemidactylus stejnegeri* Ota et Hikida, 1989 (3n = 56) or *H. vietnamensis* Darevsky, Kupriyanova et Roshchin, 1984 (3n = 60) or *H. garnotii* Duméril et Bibron, 1836 (3n = 70) (see Ota et al. 1989); 2) centromeric regions of all chromosomes of *E. europaea* are rich in telomeric repeats, which may play an active role in the karyotype evolution of the lineage; 3) on the base of likely heteromorphism of chromosome pair No 2, a male heterogamety may be tentatively hypothesized in *E. europaea*.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by funds "Progetti di Ricerca, Università di Roma "La Sapienza" (grants to R.C.). The text was checked by a professional native English editor.

References

- Arnold N, Ovenden D (2002) Reptiles and Amphibians of Britain and Europe. London, Harper Collins Publishers Ltd, 288 pp.
- Bauer AM, Good DA, Branch WR (1997) The taxonomy of the southern African leaf-toed geckos (Squamata: Gekkonidae), with a review of Old World «*Phyllodactylus*» and the description of five new genera. Proceedings of California Academy of Sciences 49: 447–497.
- Bauer AM, Jackman TR, Greenbaum E, Gamble T (2008) Phylogenetic relationships of the Italian gekkotan fauna. In: Corti C (Ed) Herpetologia Sardiniae. Societas herpetological Italica/ED, Belvedere, Latina, 59–62.
- Branch WR (1980) Chromosome morphology of some reptiles from Oman and adjacent territories. Journal of Oman Studies 2: 333–345.
- Darevsky IS, Kupriyanova LA, Roshchin VV (1984) A new all-female triploid species of gecko and karyological data on the bisexual *Hemidactylus frenatus* from Vietnam. Journal of Herpetology 18: 277–284. doi: 10.2307/1564081
- De Smet WHO (1981) Description of the orsein stained karyotypes of 136 lizard species (Lacertilia, Reptilia) belonging to the families Teiidae, Scincidae, Lacertidae, Cordylidae and Varanidae (Austarchoglossa). Acta Zoologica et Pathologica Antverpiensia 76: 407–420.
- Delaugerre M, Ouni R, Nouira S (2011) Is the European Leaf-toed gecko *Euleptes europaea* also an African? Its occurrence on the Western Mediterranean landbrige islets and its extinction rate. Herpetology Notes 4: 127–137.
- Donoso-Barros R (1966) Dos nuevos *Gonatodes* de Venezuela. Publicación ocasional Museo Nacional de Historia Natural (Santiago de Chile) 11: 1–32.
- dos Santos RML, Bertolotto CEV, Pellegrino KCM, Rodrigues MT, Yonenaga-Yassuda Y (2003) Chromosomal studies on sphaerodactyl lizards of genera *Gonatodes* and *Coleodac-tylus* (Squamata, Gekkonidae) using differential staining and fragile sites analyses. Cytogenetic and Genome Research 103: 128–134. doi: 10.1159/000076300
- Ezaz T, Sarre SD, O'Meally D, Marshall Graves JA, Georges A (2009) Sex chromosome evolution in lizards: independent origins and rapid transitions. Cytogenetic and Genome Research 127: 249–260. doi: 10.1159/000300507
- Fagundes V, Vianna-Morgante AM, Yonenaga-Yassuda Y (1997) Telomeric sequences localization and G-banding patterns in the identification of a polymorphic chromosomal rearrangement in the rodent *Akodon cursor* (2n=14,15 and 16). Chromosome Research 5: 228–232. doi: 10.1023/A:1018463401887
- Gamble T (2010) A review of sex determining mechanisms in geckos (Gekkota: Squamata). Sexual Development 4: 88–103. doi: 10.1159/000289578

- Gamble T, Bauer AM, Greenbaum E, Jackman TR (2008a) Out of the blue: a novel, transatlantic clade of geckos (Gekkota, Squamata). Zoologica Scripta 37: 355–366. doi: 10.1111/j.1463-6409.2008.00330.x
- Gamble T, Bauer AM, Greenbaum E, Jackman TR (2008b) Evidence for Gondwanan vicariance in an ancient clade of gecko lizards. Journal of Biogeography 35: 88–104. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2699.2007.01770.x
- Gamble T, Bauer AM, Colli GR, Greenbaum E, Jackman TR, Vitt LJ, Simons AM (2011) Coming to America: multiple origins of New World geckos. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 24: 231–244. doi: 10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02184.x
- Garrido-Ramos MA, de la Herran R, Rejon CR, Rejon MR (1998) A satellite DNA of the Sparidae family (Pisces, Perciformes) associated with telomeric sequences. Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics 83: 3–9. doi: 10.1159/000015151
- Kawai A, Nishida-Umehara C, Ishijima J, Tsuda Y, Ota H, Matsuda Y (2007) Different origins of bird and reptile sex chromosomes inferred from comparative mapping of chicken Zlinked genes. Cytogenetic and Genome Research 117: 92–102. doi:10.1159/000103169
- Kawai A, Ishijima J, Nishida C, Kosaka A, Ota H, KohnoS-I, Matsuda Y (2009) The ZW sex chromosomes of *Gekko hokouensis* (Gekkonidae, Squamata) represent highly conserved homology with those of avian species. Chromosoma 118: 43–51. doi: 10.1007/s00412-008-0176-2
- King M (1977) Chromosomal and morphometric variation in the gecko *Diplodactylus vittatus* (Gray). Australian Journal of Zoology 25: 43–57. doi: 10.1071/ZO9770043
- Lichter P, Boyle A, Wienberg J, Arnold N, Popp S et al. (1992) *In situ* hybridization to human metaphase chromosomes using DIG- or biotin-labeled DNA probes and detection with fluorochrome conjugates. In: Non-radioactive *in situ* hybridization (Application manual). Boehringer Mannheim Biochemica, 25–27.
- Manilo VV (1993) A karyosystematic study of the plate tailed geckos of the genus *Teratoscincus* (Sauria, Gekkonidae). Asiatic Herpetological Research 5: 109–111.
- Manilo VV, Pisanets YM (1984) Karyotype of the plate-tailed gecko (*Teratoscincus scincus*) from the Turkmenia territory. Vestnik Zoologii 5: 83–84. (In Russian).
- McBee K, Sites J Jr, Engstrom MD, Rivero-Blanco C, Bickham JW (1984) Karyotypes of four species of neotropical gekkos. Journal of Herpetology 18: 83–84. doi: 10.2307/1563677
- McBee K, Bickham JW, Dixon JR (1987) Male heterogamety and chromosomal variation in caribbean geckos. Journal of Herpetology 21: 68–71. doi: 10.2307/1564380
- Meyne J, Baker RJ, Hobart HH, Hsu TC, Ryder OA, Ward OG, Wiley JE, Wurster-Hill DH, Yates TL, Moyzis RK (1990) Distribution of non-telomeric sites of the (TTAGGG)n telomeric sequence in vertebrate chromosomes. Chromosoma 99: 3–10. doi: 10.1007/BF01737283
- Müller J (2001) A new fossil species of *Euleptes* from the early Miocene of Montaigu, France (Reptilia, Gekkonidae). Amphibia-Reptilia 22: 341–348. doi: 10.1163/156853801317050133
- Nakamura K (1932) Studies on reptilian chromosomes. Chromosomes of some geckos. Cytologia 3: 156–168. doi: 10.1508/cytologia.3.156
- Olmo E, Signorino G (2005) Chromorep: a reptile chromosomes database, http://ginux.univpm.it/scienze/chromorep/ [accessed 19 February 2013].

- Ota H, Hikida T (1989) A new triploid *Hemidactylus* (Gekkonidae: Sauria) from Taiwan, with comments onmorphological and karyological variation in the *H. garnotii-vietnamensis* complex. Journal of Herpetology 23: 50–60. doi: 10.1007/BF00121511
- Ota H, Hikida T, Lue K-Y (1989) Polyclony in a triploid gecko, *Hemidactylus stejnegeri*, from Taiwan, with notes on its bearing on the chromosomal diversity of the *H. garnotii-viet-namensis* complex (Sauria: Gekkonidae). Genetica 79: 183–189. doi: 10.2307/1564316
- Pen I, Uller T, Feldmeyer B, Harts A, While GM, Wapstra E (2010) Climate-driven population divergence in sex-determining systems. Nature 468: 436–439. doi: 10.1038/nature09512
- Rada De Martinez R (1980) Cariotipo de *Gonatodes vittatus* (Lichtensein, 1856) (Reptilia: Gekkonidae). Memorias de la Sociedad de Ciencias Naturales "La Salle" (Caracas) 113: 109–113.
- Roze JA (1963) Una nueva especie del género *Gonatodes* (Sauria: Gekkonidae) de Venezuela. Publicaciones Ocasionales del Museo de Ciencias Naturales, 5, four unnumbered pages.
- Ruiz-Herrera A, Nergadze SG, Santagostino M, Giulotto E (2008) Telomeric repeats far from the ends: mechanisms of origin and role in evolution. Cytogenetic and Genome Research 122: 219–228. doi: 10.1159/000167807
- Schmid M, Feichtinger W, Nanda I, Schakowski R, Visbal-Garcia R, Manzanilla Puppo J, Fernández Badillo A (1994) An extraordinarily low diploid chromosome number in the reptile *Gonatodes taniae*(Squamata, Gekkonidae). Journal of Heredity 85: 255–260.
- Sindaco R, Doria G, Razzetti E, Bernini F (2006) Atlante degli Anfibi e dei Rettili d'Italia. Società Herpetologica Italica, Edizioni Polistampa, Firenze, 792 pp.
- Slijepcevic P, Hande MP, Bouffler SD, Lansdorp P, Bryant PE (1997) Telomere length, chromatin structure and chromosome fusigenic potential. Chromosoma 106: 413–421. doi: 10.1007/s004120050263
- Trifonov VA, Giovannotti M, O'Brien PCM, Wallduck M, Lovell F, Rens W, Parise-Maltempi PP, Caputo V, Ferguson-Smith MA (2011) Chromosomal evolution in Gekkonidae. I. Chromosome painting between *Gekko* and *Hemidactylus* species reveals phylogenetic relationships within the group. Chromosome Research 19: 843–855. doi: 10.1007/s10577-011-9241-4
- Ventura K, Silva MJ, Fagundes V, Christoff AU, Yonenaga-Yassuda Y (2006) Non-telomeric sites as evidence of chromosomal rearrangement and repetitive (TTAGGG)n arrays in heterochromatic and euchromatic regions in four species of *Akodon* (Rodentia, Muridae). Cytogenetic and Genome Research 115: 169–175. doi: 10.1159/000095238
- Zheng XM, Wang YZ, Liu ZJ, Fang ZL, Wu GF, (1998) Karyotypes of Chinese species of the genus *Teratoscincus* (Gekkonidae). Japanese Journal of Herpetology 17: 139–144.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



A new form of the mole vole *Ellobius tancrei* Blasius, 1884 (Mammalia, Rodentia) with the lowest chromosome number

Irina Bakloushinskaya¹, Svetlana A. Romanenko², Natalia A. Serdukova², Alexander S. Graphodatsky², Elena A. Lyapunova¹

I Koltzov Institute of Developmental Biology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia, 26 Vavilov str. Moscow, 119334, Russia 2 Institute of Molecular and Cellular Biology Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, 8/2 Av. Acad. Lavrent'ev, Novosibirsk, 630090, Russia

Corresponding author: Irina Bakloushinskaya (irina.bakl@gmail.com)

Academic editor: E. Gornung | Received 18 April 2013 | Accepted 23 May 2013 | Published 11 June 2013

Citation: Bakloushinskaya I, Romanenko SA, Serdukova NA, Graphodatsky AS, Lyapunova EA (2013) A new form of the mole vole *Ellobius tancrei* Blasius, 1884 (Mammalia, Rodentia) with the lowest chromosome number. Comparative Cytogenetics 7(2): 163–169. doi: 10.3897/CompCytogen.v7i2.5350

Abstract

The subterranean mole vole, *Ellobius tancrei*, with a specific variability in autosomes (2n = 31-54) and unusual sex chromosomes (XX in males and females), represents an amazing model for studying the role of chromosome changes in speciation. New materials from the upper reaches of the Surkhob River in the Pamiro-Alay mountains resulted in the discovery of a new form with 2n = 30. The application of Zoo-FISH and G-banding methods allowed the detection of 13 pairs of autosomes as Robertsonian metacentrics originated after fusions of acrocentrics of an assumed ancestral karyotype of *E. tancrei* with 2n = 54. The sex chromosomes (XX, in both sexes) and one pair of acrocentric autosomes are the only acrocentrics in this karyotype, and the set with 2n = 30 possesses the lowest possible chromosome number among populations of *E. tancrei*.

Keywords

speciation, mole voles, Ellobius, Robertsonian rearrangements, chromosome painting, Cricetidae

Introduction

Naturally occurring chromosome variability is essential for understanding the disputed role of chromosome changes in speciation (White 1978, King 1993). A Robertsonian (Rb) translocation is a chromosome rearrangement involving the centric fusion of two acrocentric chromosomes to form a single metacentric chromosome, and it is one of the most frequent events in mammalian karyotype evolution (Slijepcevic 1998, Ruiz-Herrera et al. 2012). Well-studied cases of extensive Robertsonian variation in species such as the house mouse, Mus musculus domesticus Schwarz et Schwarz, 1943 (Gropp et al. 1969, Piálek et al. 2005), the common shrew, Sorex araneus Linnaeus, 1758 (Ford et al. 1957, Wójcik et al. 2003), or the mole vole Ellobius tancrei (Lyapunova et al. 1980, 2010) have their own specific features. All these species are widely distributed; for example, house mice are distributed all over the globe due to human activity. Mice and shrews include a lot of races within their ranges, but *E. tancrei* has 2n = 54 over most of the distribution range and a great variety in chromosome numbers (2n from 53 to 31), which is restricted to a limited area in the Pamiro-Alay, with only single non-homologous translocations (2n = 53) recorded outside, in the Tien Shan mountains (Lyapunova et al. 1985). An amazing feature of mole voles is their subterranean way of life. Living underground preserves mole voles from predators, restricts spreading, and promotes their sociality. The last two factors may contribute to a rapid fixation of chromosome translocations due to more or less permanent monogamous bonds (our unpublished data).

Thorough analysis based on G-banding revealed a complicated structure of chromosome variability in the Surkhob River valley (Pamiro-Alay), where forms with the same chromosome numbers have different sets of Rb metacentrics. It was concluded that the variability was produced by hybridisation, as well as chain fusions (Bakloushinskaya, Lyapunova 2003). New field explorations and applying a fluorescence *in situ* hybridisation (Zoo-FISH) approach have revealed a case of monobrachial homology in this region too (Bakloushinskaya et al. 2010), and raised a question of natural limits for fusions. In numerous field explorations, we were unable to find additional animals at the area where a single specimen with 2n = 31 was collected (Lyapunova et al. 1980). The surrounding areas are inhabited by the form with 2n = 32, and that is why the specimen was considered by us, for a long time, as a case of a single mutation. The main aim of this study was to investigate suitable mole vole areas in the upper reaches of the Surkhob River and determine the structure of karyotypes for discovered animals.

Material and methods

Five animals (two females and three males) from two colonies were captured by live traps (Golov 1954) on the northern bank of the Surkhob River in Pamiro-Alay (39°15.37'N, 71°20.59'E, 900 m above sea level) in April, 2010.

Chromosomes from bone marrow (Ford, Hamerton 1956) were prepared from all animals; tissues of three specimens were used for tissue culturing. Fibroblast cell lines were prepared as previously described (Sitnikova et al. 2007). Full sets of paints derived from flow-sorted chromosomes of the field vole *Microtus agrestis* Linnaeus, 1761 (Sitnikova et al. 2007) were used. FISH was performed according to previously published protocols (Yang et al. 1999; Graphodatsky et al. 2000). G-banding was carried out for all metaphase chromosomes prior to FISH using trypsin treatments (Seabright 1971).

Images were captured using VideoTesT-FISH 2.0. and VideoTesT-Karyo 3.1. (VideoTesT) or Case Data Manager 6.0 (Applied Spectral Imaging Inc., ASI) software with either a ProgRes CCD (Jenoptik) or ASI CCD camera, respectively, mounted on an Axioskop 2 plus (Zeiss) microscope with filter sets for DAPI, FITC, and rhodamine. Hybridisation signals were assigned to specific chromosome regions defined by GTG-banding patterns previously photographed and captured with the CCD camera. All images were processed using PaintShop Photo Pro X2 (Corel).

Results

We analysed the structure of karyotypes obtained by direct methods from bone marrow and from cultures. It is known that spontaneous chromosome aberrations may appear in cell cultures (Clare 2012), so it was necessary to control the karyotype structure by a direct method. Karyotypes of all animals contained 30 chromosomes (Fig. 1), and at least 30 plates were counted for each specimen. One pair of submetacentric chromosomes (N 7) is typical for *E. tancrei* and distinguishes it from the chromosomally stable sibling species *E. talpinus* Pallas, 1770. As we reported recently, these chromosomes obtained evolutionary new centromeres (Bakloushinskaya et al. 2012). There are also 12 pairs of Rb metacentrics, one pair of acrocentric chromosomes, and the sex chromosomes XX, which are acrocentric in both sexes. Each of the fifteen chromosome painting probes of the field vole, Microtus agrestis, (MAG 2, 4, 6, 10-13, 15, 16, 18-24) delineated one region in the E. tancrei, 2n = 30 karyoform; eight probes (MAG 3, 5, 7-9, 13, 14, 17) each delineated two chromosome segments; and the MAG 1 probe delineated four chromosome segments. The only MAG X probe showed signals on both male and female X chromosomes; the MAG Y probes has not produced any specific signal. In total, the 21 MAG autosomal probes revealed 35 conserved segments in the genome, which corresponds to the genome composition of typical *E. tancrei*, 2n = 54 (Bakloushinskaya et al. 2012). The comparison revealed a complete homology between acrocentrics and corresponding Rb metacentrics. The smallest Rb metacentric [Rb(24.26), Fig. 1] has never been detected in karyotypes of other chromosomal forms, including the form with the low chromosome number, 2n = 32, which inhabits the northern bank of the Surkhob River (Bakloushinskaya, Lyapunova 1990). Acrocentric chromosomes involved in the translocation were determined by G-banding as chromosomes number 24 and 26, according to the new nomenclature developed for E. tancrei, 2n = 54 (Bakloushinskaya et al. 2012), and confirmed by applying Zoo-FISH probes MAG18 and MAG24 (Fig. 2).



Figure 1. G-banded karyotype of *E. tancrei*, 2n = 30 (25618 \Diamond). The chromosome nomenclature follows Bakloushinskaya et al. (2012). Black squares mark the positions of centromeres. Vertical black bars and the numbers beside them mark the localisation of *M. agrestis* (MAG) chromosome segments. Bar = 10 µm.



Figure 2. a G-banded *E. tancrei*, 2n = 30 (25601 \bigcirc) metaphase spread. **b** the same spread, fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation (Zoo-FISH) of *M. agrestis* (MAG) chromosome 18 (red) and 24 (green) on Rb metacentrics (red and green arrows). Bar = 10 µm.

Discussion

The analysis of the spatio-temporal variation in the structure of a chromosomal polymorphism zone in *E. tancrei* with an interval of 25 years showed that the distribution of chromosomal forms within the area has not changed during this period, except for a small range expansion of a low-chromosomal form (2n = 32) at the western boundary (Lyapunova et al. 2010). Discovery of the chromosome form with 2n = 30 expanded the limits for chromosome rearrangements to the maximal number of fusions in *E. tancrei*, and also moved a border of the chromosomal polymorphism zone to the East, closer to the *E. alaicus* Vorontsov et al., 1969 range. The form with 2n = 30 may be considered as the lowest possible chromosome number for *E. tancrei*, because in such a karyotype only the sex chromosomes (XX, in both sexes) and one pair of acrocentric autosomes remain not rearranged. To date, whole-arm reciprocal translocations (WARTs) have not been recorded in *E. tancrei*. The X chromosomes clearly do not tend to be involved in Rb translocations; a heterozygous 2n = 53 karyotype with an X-autosome Rb-translocation was detected only once in a single female of *E. tancrei* from the Tien-Shan (Lyapunova et al. 1985).

Applying the Zoo-FISH method allows the detection of the homology of translocations, which are only estimated by G-banding. The new karyotype with 2n = 30contains three Rb translocations (metacentrics 2, 3, 4, Fig. 1) that are homologous to fusions recently described for the 2n = 48 populations inhabiting the northern bank of the Surkhob River, approximately 100 km to the west. Based on these data, we suggest a common origin for these populations. Independent origin may be suggested for a population from the southern bank of the Surkhob River (2n = 50), which shares monobrachial homology with the 2n = 48 form. Furthermore, a partial homology was revealed by Zoo-FISH in spite of their similar G-banding picture (Bakloushinskaya et al. 2010). This case of hidden variability requires a re-investigation of other known chromosomal forms of *E. tancrei*. The Pamiro-Alay is a mountain system with deep valleys and large rivers; mole voles have a mosaic pattern of distribution there. An existence in small demes with limited possibilities for spreading may provoke inbreeding and fast fixing of chromosome rearrangements (Bush et al. 1977). A subterranean way of living may enhance such a process. Speciation by monobrachial centric fusions is one of the well-documented models for house mice (Baker, Bickham 1986, Nunes et al. 2011). However, in the common shrew, the gene flow may be not affected by even extensive monobrachial homology in a hybrid zone between karyotypic races (Basset et al. 2006, Horn et al. 2012). Additional molecular cytogenetic studies are needed to clarify the homology of different chromosomal forms of E. tancrei and determine the role of different chromosome rearrangements in species evolution.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded in part by research grants from the Russian Foundation for Basic Research and Programs of the Russian Academy of Sciences (MCB and Dynamics and Conservation of Genofonds). We thank the Director of the Institute of Zoology and Parasitology of the Academy of Sciences of the Tadjik Republik, Dr. A.S. Saidov, for help in organizing the field research.

References

- Baker RJ, Bickham JW (1986) Speciation by monobrachial centric fusions. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 83: 8245–8248. doi: 10.1073/pnas.83.21.8245
- Bakloushinskaya IY, Lyapunova EA (1990) Nomenclature of chromosomes of eastern mole vole *Ellobius tancrei*. Tsitologia 32: 378–383. [In Russian]
- Bakloushinskaya IY, Lyapunova EA (2003) History of study and evolutionary significance of wide Robertsonian variability in mole voles *Ellobius tancrei* s.l. (Mammalia, Rodentia) In: Kryukov AP (Ed) Problems of Evolution (5). Vladivostok, Dalnauka, 114–126.
- Bakloushinskaya IYu, Romanenko SA, Graphodatsky AS, Matveevsky SN, Lyapunova EA, Kolomiets OL (2010) The role of chromosome rearrangements in the evolution of mole voles of the genus *Ellobius* (Rodentia, Mammalia). Russian Journal of Genetics 46 (9): 1143–1145. doi: 10.1134/S1022795410090346
- Bakloushinskaya IYu, Matveevsky SN, Romanenko SA, Serdukova NA, Kolomiets OL, Spangenberg VE, Lyapunova EA, Graphodatsky AS (2012) A comparative analysis of the mole vole sibling species *Ellobius tancrei* and *E. talpinus* (Cricetidae, Rodentia) through chromosome painting and examination of synaptonemal complex structures in hybrids. Cytogenetic and Genome Research 136: 199–207. doi: 10.1159/000336459
- Basset P, Yannic G, Brünner H, Hausser J (2006) Restricted gene flow at specific parts of the shrew genome in chromosomal hybrid zones. Evolution 60: 1718–1730. doi: 10.1111/ j.0014-3820.2006.tb00515.x
- Bush GL, Case SM, Wilson AS, Patton JL (1977) Rapid speciation and chromosomal evolution in mammals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA 74: 3942–3946. doi: 10.1073/pnas.74.9.3942
- Clare G (2012) The in vitro mammalian chromosome aberration test. Methods in Molecular Biology 817: 69–91. doi: 10.1007/978-1-61779-421-6_5
- Ford CE, Hamerton JL (1956) A colchicine hypotonic citrate, squash sequence for mammalian chromosomes. Stain Technology 31: 247–251.
- Ford CE, Hamerton JL, Sharman GB (1957) Chromosome polymorphism in the common shrew. Nature 180: 392–393. doi: 10.1038/180392a0
- Golov BA (1954) A live trap for mole vole. Byulletin Moskovskogo Obschestva Ispytateley Prirody, Otdel Biologicheskii 59: 95–96. [In Russian]
- Graphodatsky AS, Yang F, O'Brien PCM, Serdukova N, Milne BS, Trifonov V, Ferguson-Smith MA (2000) A comparative chromosome map of the Arctic fox, red fox and dog defined by chromosome painting and high resolution G-banding. Chromosome Research 8: 253–263. doi: 10.1023/A:1009217400140
- Gropp A, Tettenborn U, von Lehmann E (1969) Chromosomenuntersuchungen bei der Tabakmaus (*M. poschiavinus*) und bei Tabakmaus-Hybriden. Experientia 25(8): 875–876. doi: 10.1007/BF01897931
- Horn A, Basset P, Yannic G, Banaszek A, Borodin PM, Bulatova NS, Jadwiszczak K, Jones RM, Polyakov AV, Ratkiewicz M, Searle JB, Shchipanov NA, Zima J, Hausser J (2012) Chromosomal rearrangements do not seem to affect the gene flow in hybrid zones between karyotypic races of the common shrew (*Sorex araneus*). Evolution 66: 882-889. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01478.x

- King M (1993) Species Evolution. The Role of Chromosome Change. Cambridge University Press, New York. 336 pp.
- Lyapunova EA, Vorontsov NN, Korobitsina KV, Ivanitskaya EYu, Borisov YuM, Yakimenko LV, Dovgal VYe (1980) A Robertsonian fan in *Ellobius talpinus*. Genetica 52/53: 239–247. doi: 10.1007/BF00121833
- Lyapunova EA, Ivnitskii SB, Korablev VP, Yanina IYu (1984) Complete Robertsonian fan of the chromosomal forms in the mole-vole superspecies *Ellobius talpinus*. Doklady Akademii Nauk SSSR 274: 1209–1213. [In Russian]
- Lyapunova EA, Yadav D, Yanina IYu, Ivnitskii SB (1985) Genetics of mole voles (*Ellobius*, Rodentia): III. Independent occurrence of Robertsonian translocations of chromosomes in different populations of the superspecies *Ellobius talpinus*. Genetika (Moscow) 21 (9): 1503–1506. [In Russian]
- Lyapunova EA, Bakloushinskaya IYu, Saidov AS, Saidov KKh (2010) Dynamics of chromosome variation in mole voles *Ellobius tancrei* (Mammalia, Rodentia) in Pamiro-Alay in the period from 1982 to 2008. Russian Journal of Genetics 45 (5): 566–571. doi: 10.1134/ S1022795410050091
- Nunes AC, Catalan J, Lopez J, da Graça Ramalhinho M, da Luz Mathias M, Britton-Davidian J (2011) Fertility assessment in hybrids between monobrachially homologous Rb races of the house mouse from the island of Madeira: implications for modes of chromosomal evolution. Heredity 106(2): 348–356. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2010.74
- Piálek J, Hauffe HC, Searle JB (2005) Chromosomal variation in the house mouse. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 84: 535–563. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.2005.00454.x
- Ruiz-Herrera A, Farré M, Robinson TJ (2012) Molecular cytogenetic and genomic insights into chromosomal evolution. Heredity 108: 28–36. doi: 10.1038/hdy.2011.102
- Seabright M (1971) A rapid banding technique for human chromosomes. Lancet 2: 971–972. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(71)90287-X
- Sitnikova NA, Romanenko SA, O'Brien PCM, Perelman PL, Fu B, Rubtsova NV, Serdukova NA, Golenishchev FN, Trifonov VA, Ferguson-Smith MA, Yang F, Graphodatsky AS (2007) Chromosomal evolution of Arvicolinae (Cricetidae, Rodentia). I. The genome homology of tundra vole, field vole, mouse and golden hamster revealed by comparative chromosome painting. Chromosome Research 15: 447–456. doi: 10.1007/s10577-007-1137-y
- Slijepcevic P (1998) Telomeres and mechanisms of Robertsonian fusions. Chromosoma 107: 136-140. doi: 10.1007/s004120050289
- Vorontsov NN, Lyapunova EA, Zakarjan GG, Ivanov VG (1969) The karyology and taxonomy of the genus Ellobius (Microtinae, Rodentia). In: Vorontsov NN (Ed) The Mammals: Evolution, Karyology, Faunistics, Systematics. Nauka, Novosibirsk. 127–129. [in Russian]
 White MJD (1978) Modes of speciation. San Francisco: Freeman & Co. 455 p.
- Wójcik JM, Borodin PM, Fedyk S, Fredga K, Hausser J, Mishta A, Orlov VN, Searle JB, Volobuev VT, Zima J (2003) The list of the chromosome races of the common shrew *Sorex araneus* (updated 2002). Mammalia 62: 169–179. doi: 10.1515/mamm.2003.67.2.169
- Yang F, O'Brien PCM, Milne BS, Graphodatsky AS, Solanky N, Trifonov V, Rens W, Sargan D, Ferguson-Smith MA (1999) A complete comparative chromosome map for the dog, red fox, and human and its integration with canine genetic maps. Genomics 62: 189–202. doi: 10.1006/geno.1999.5989

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Karyotypes of some medium-sized Dytiscidae (Agabinae and Colymbetinae) (Coleoptera)

Robert B. Angus^{1,2}, Molly J. Clery¹, Jodie C. Carter¹, Daniel E. Wenczek¹

I School of Biological Sciences, Royal Holloway, University of London, Egham Hill, Egham, Surrey TW20 0EX, UK 2 Department of Life Sciences (Entomology), The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK

Corresponding author: Robert B. Angus (r.angus@rhul.ac.uk)

Academic editor: Natalia Golub | Received 28 March 2013 | Accepted 29 May 2013 | Published 13 June 2013

Citation: Angus RB, Clery MJ, Carter JC, Wenczek DE (2013) Karyotypes of some medium-sized Dytiscidae (Agabinae and Colymbetinae) (Coleoptera). Comparative Cytogenetics 7(2): 171–190. doi: 10.3897/CompCytogen.v7i2.5223

Abstract

An account is given of the karyotypes of 29 species of medium sized Dytiscidae (Coleoptera). Of the 20 species of Agabus Leach, 1817, 18 have karyotypes comprising 21 pairs of autosomes and sex chromosomes which are either X0($\vec{\alpha}$) or XX (\mathcal{Q}). These species are A. serricornis (Paykull, 1799), A. labiatus (Brahm, 1791), A. congener (Thunberg, 1794), A. lapponicus (Thomson, 1867), A. thomsoni (J. Sahlberg, 1871), A. confinis (Gyllenhal, 1808), A. sturmii (Gyllenhal, 1808), A. bipustulatus (Linnaeus, 1767), A. nevadensis Håkan Lindberg, 1939, A. wollastoni Sharp, 1882, A. melanarius Aubé, 1837, A. biguttatus (Olivier, 1795), A. binotatus Aubé, 1837, A. affinis (Paykull, 1798), A. unguicularis (Thomson, 1867), A. ramblae Millan & Ribera, 2001, A. conspersus (Marsham, 1802) and A. nebulosus (Forster, 1771). However two species, A. infuscatus Aubé, 1838 and A. adpressus Aubé, 1837, have developed a neo-XY system, with karyotypes comprising 21 pairs of autosomes and XY sex chromosomes (δ). No chromosomal differences have been detected between typical *A. bipustulatus* and A. bipustulatus var. solieri Aubé, 1837, nor have any been found between the three species of the A. bipustulatus complex (A. bipustulatus, A. nevadensis and A. wollastoni). The four species of Colymbetes Clairville, 1806, C. fuscus (Linnaeus, 1758), C. paykulli Erichson, 1837, C. piceus Klug, 1834 and C. striatus (Linnaeus, 1758) have karyotypes comprising 20 pairs of autosomes and sex chromosomes which are X0 (\mathcal{J}), XX (\mathcal{Q}). Two of the species of Rhantus Dejean, 1833, R. exsoletus (Forster, 1771) and R. suturellus (Harris, 1828) have karyotypes comprising 20 pairs of autosomes and X0/XX sex chromosomes, but the other three species, R. grapii (Gyllenhal, 1808), R. frontalis (Marsham, 1802) and R. suturalis (Macleay, 1825) have 22 pairs of autosomes and X0/ XX sex chromosomes. Agabus congener and Rhantus suturellus may have one B-chromosome. Nine of the species have previously published karyotype data but for seven of these the data are wrong and are here corrected.

Keywords

Chromosomes, karyotypes, sex chromosome systems, Dytiscidae, Agabus, Colymbetes, Rhantus

Copyright Robert B. Angus et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 3.0 (CC-BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Introduction

When Smith and Virkki (1976) compiled their list of beetles whose chromosome numbers were known, they gave data for 2120 species, including 138 named species belonging to the suborder Adephaga. Of these 110 were Carabidae, 21 Dytiscidae and 7 Gyrinidae. By 1984 the number of carabid species whose chromosome numbers were known had increased to 426 (Serrano and Yadav 1984) and the number of Dytiscidae had reached 32, though five of these were unidentified (Yadav et al., 1984). Interestingly, the total number of world species of Carabidae is given as "more than 40,000" (Wikipedia) while the number for Dytiscidae is about 4080 (Nilsson-Örtmann and Nilsson, 2010), so at this stage the proportion of species for which chromosome numbers are listed in the two families is about the same. Data have continued to accumulate, so that Galian and Moore (1994) give the number of carabid species whose chromosome numbers are known as "more than 800". Numbers for Dytiscidae have also continued to increase. Saleh Ahmed et al. (2000) gave data on 1 species of Hydrovatus Motschulsky, 1 Hydroporus Clairville and 3 Nebrioporus Régimbart (Hydroporinae), 1 Agabus Leach (Agabinae), 1 Colymbetes Clairville (Colymbetinae) and 1 Eretes Laporte and 1 Hydaticus Leach (Dytiscinae). Aradottir and Angus (2004) gave information on 7 species of Ilybius Erichson (Agabinae), Dutton and Angus (2007) described the karyotypes of 7 species of the "Stictotarsus griseostriatus (De Geer) group" (now in the genus *Boreonectes* Angus) (Hydroporinae), and Tatton and Angus (2011) reported on 30 species related to Deronectes Sharp (Hydroporinae), of which 27 had no previously published data, bringing to total number of dytiscid species with known chromosome numbers to about 82. This gives both the Carabidae and the Dytiscidae as having about 2% of their species with known chromosome numbers.

The present paper reports on 20 *Agabus* species, of which only four had previously published chromosome data (wrong for three of the species), 4 *Colymbetes*, all of which have previously published data, though for three of the species these data were wrong, and 5 *Rhantus* of which one species had published data, again wrong. This gives a net increase to over 100 in the number of dytiscid species for which information on chromosome numbers are available. The data have been gathered over more than 25 years, and include the results of research projects by three undergraduate students of Royal Holloway, University of London, supervised by R. B. Angus. D. E. Wenczek (1994) studied *Rhantus* Dejean, J. C. Carter (2001) *Rhantus* and *Colymbetes*, and M. J. Clery (2009) made a special study of the *Agabus bipustulatus* (Linnaeus) species group.

Material and Methods

The species studied, with their localities of origin, collectors and dates, as well as the number of specimens yielding successful preparations, is given in Table 1. Nomenclature and classification follow Nilsson and Hájek (2013, internet version). Where there is more than one locality for a given species the localities from which various prepara-

Table I. Material studied.

Species	Locality	Collector, date	Material		
	Genus Agabus Leach, 1817				
Subgenus Agabus s. str.					
A. serricornis (Paykull, 1799)	SWEDEN: Västerbotten, Åmsele.	A. N. Nilsson, 1990	18		
A. labiatus (Brahm, 1791)	FINLAND: Lapponia Inarensis, Inari	R. B. Angus, 2008	1♂,1♀		
Subg	enus Acatodes C. G. Thomson, 1859				
4 congener (Thunhara 1794)	SCOTLAND: Ayrshire, Knockewart Moss	G. N. Foster, 1986	2♂♂, 1♀		
A. tongener (Indiberg, 1/94)	SWEDEN: Västerbotten, Sirapsbaken	A. N. Nilsson, 1986	2♂♂,1♀		
A. lapponicus (Thomson, 1867)	SWEDEN: Västerbotten, Skörträskberget	A. N. Nilsson, 1986	388,19		
<i>A. thomsoni</i> (J. Sahlberg, 1871)	NORWAY: Finnmark east, Bugøynes	R. B. Angus, 2008	18		
A. confinis (Gyllenhal, 1808)	SWEDEN: Västerbotten, Vindeln, Strycksele	A. N. Nilsson, 1991	3♀♀		
A. sturmii (Gyllenhal, 1808)	ENGLAND: Surrey, Chobham Common	R. B. Angus, 1991	1♂,1♀		
A. infuscatus Aubé, 1838	NORWAY: Finnmark east, Bugøynes	R. B. Angus, 2008	1 👌		
Subgenus Gaurodytes C. G. Thomson, 1859					
	ENGLAND: Surrey, Wisley Common	R. B. Angus & M. J. Clery, 2008	388		
	Hampshire, Woolmer Bog	R. B. Angus, 2008	3∂∂,1♀		
<i>A. bipustulatus</i> (Linnaeus, 1767)	Worcestershire, Wyre Forest	R. B. Angus & M. J. Clery, 2008	3 8 8		
	FINLAND: Lapponia Inarensis, Inari	R. B. Angus, 2008	1 👌		
	SWEDEN: Norbotten, near Umeå	M. Drotz, 1996	1 8		
A hipustulatus var solieri	SWITZERLAND, VALAIS, small lake S of Illsee	R. B. Angus, 2008	3∂∂,1♀		
Aubé, 1837	VALAIS, ditch near the Moiry glacier	R. B. Angus, 2008	2 ් ්		
	FRANCE: HAUTES-ALPES, Guillestre	M. Drotz, 1998	288		
<i>A. nevadensis</i> Håkan Lindberg, 1939	SPAIN: Granada, Sierra Nevada	M. Drotz, 1999	1♂,1♀		
A. wollastoni Sharp, 1882	MADEIRA: Pico Arieño	A. N. Nilsson, 1998	2 ♂♂, 1 ♀		
A. melanarius Aubé, 1837	ENGLAND: EAST SUSSEX, Hindleap Warren	R. B. Angus & M. J. Clery, 2008	1♂,1♀		
A highttatus (Olivier 1795)	EGYPT (Saleh Ahmed et al., 2000): El Noqra	R. Saleh Ahmed & R. B. Angus, 1994	1 👌		
A. orguttatus (Olivier, 1/95)	SARDINIA: MEDIO CAMPIDANO, Giara di Gesturi	R. B. Angus, 1994	18		
A. binotatus Aubé, 1837	CORSICA: Corse-du-Sud, Col de Vizzavona.	R. B. Angus, 1993	1 8		

Species	Locality	Collector, date	Material		
A. affinis (Paykull, 1798)	ENGLAND: Hampshire, New Forest	R. B. Angus, 1987	1 👌		
A. unguicularis (Thomson, 1867)	ENGLAND: NORFOLK, East Walton Common	R. B. Angus, 1987	2 රී රී		
A. ramblae Millan &	SPAIN: HUESCA, Villanueva de Sigena, Barranco del Hospital	I. Ribera, G.N. Foster, D. Lott & P. Aguilera, 1995	2්ථ		
Kibera, 2001	Murcia, Rambla de Majada en El Pilón	A. Millan,1995	1 ♀		
A. conspersus (Marsham, 1802)	ENGLAND: HAMPSHIRE, Keyhaven	R. B. Angus, 1993	1 👌		
A. nebulosus (Forster, 1771)	ENGLAND: EAST SUSSEX, Cuckmere Haven	R. B. Angus, 1993	1		
	CANARY ISLANDS: Tenerife	A. N. Nilsson, 1994	1♂,2♀♀		
A. adpressus Aubé, 1837	NORWAY: Finnmark east, Bugøynes	R.B. Angus, 2008	1 👌		
	Genus Colymbetes Clairville, 1806				
C. fuscus (Linnaeus, 1758)	ENGLAND: Surrey, Wisley Common	R. B. Angus, 2000	1 👌		
	FRANCE: Indre, Pinail	R. B. Angus, 2000	1 8		
C. paykulli Erichson, 1837	SWEDEN: Ångermanland, Hörnsjö, lake Uthörnsjön	A. N. Nilsson, 2000	1 👌		
	Ångermanland, Mullsjö	A. N. Nilsson, 2000	1 🖒		
C. piceus Klug, 1834	EGYPT (Saleh Ahmed et al., 2000): El Noqra	R. Saleh Ahmed & R. B. Angus, 1994	1 👌		
C. striatus (Linnaeus, 1758)	SWEDEN: Ångermanland, Hörnsjö, lake Uthörnsjön	A. N. Nilsson, 2000	1 👌		
Genus <i>Rhantus</i> Dejean, 1833					
Subgenus Nartus Zaitsev, 1907					
<i>R. grapii</i> (Gyllenhal, 1808)	ENGLAND: DORSET, Studland Heath	R. B. Angus, 1993	288		
Subgenus Rhantus s. str.					
<i>R. exsoletus</i> (Forster, 1771)	ENGLAND: DORSET, Studland Heath	R. B. Angus, 1993	1 👌		
	Norfolk, Gayton Thorpe Common	R. B. Angus, 1993	1 8		
<i>R. frontalis</i> (Marsham, 1802)	ENGLAND: Norfolk, Gayton Thorpe Common	R. B. Angus, 1993	1 👌		
	Norfolk, Thompson Common	R. B. Angus, 1993	1 8		
D	ENGLAND: DORSET, Studland Heath	R. B. Angus, 2000	1 👌		
R. suturaus (Macleay, 1825)	MIDDLESEX, Staines Moor	R. B. Angus, 2000	1 👌		
	KUWAIT: Ras Az Zawr	R. B. Angus, 1996	1 🗸		
R. suturellus (Harris, 1828)	FRANCE: Indre, Pinail	R. B. Angus, 2000	1 👌		
	ENGLAND: Dorset, Studland Heath	R. B. Angus, 1993, 2000	1♂,2♀♀		
tions came are given in the figure captions. Otherwise localities are not given apart from in the table.

Preparations were made from adult beetles, using mid-gut, testis and ovary, following the protocol given by Shaarawi and Angus (1991) and Dutton and Angus (2007). Treatment with colchicine and hypotonic KCl was for 12.5 min in each solution. C-banding was obtained using saturated $Ba(OH)_2$ at room temperature, followed by incubation in salt-sodium citrate (2 X SSC) at 60° C. Treatment times varied, and the technique evolved over the more than 25 years of the study. If a treatment has been insufficient to produce C-banding, it may be repeated. Initially Angus used to clear the stain with a short immersion in 2X SSC at 60° C, but later found this unnecessary. One set of early experiments with *Agabus congener* and *A. lapponicus* was particularly interesting: an initial treatment of 5 min in $Ba(OH)_2$ proved inadequate. A repeat treatment with 5 min in $Ba(OH)_2$ produced good centromeric C-bands, but if the second treatment was for 3 min the secondary constrictions were also stained (Fig 1 f, g, and k with the secondary constrictions, Fig. 1 j with just the centromeric C-bands).

Chromosome measurements were made on screen and were used for calculating Relative Chromosome Length (RCL), the length of each chromosome expressed as a percentage of the total haploid autosome length in the nucleus. This compensates for differing degrees of chromosome contraction shown in different nuclei. For the *Agabus bipustulatus* group the RCL data were subjected to statistical analysis using Student's t-test, but otherwise they are given as approximate values only, to indicate the size relationships of the different pairs of autosomes. Centromere Indices (CI) are not given in detail, but are assigned to their conventional categories. Based on Sumner (2003) the categories are: metacentric–CI 46–50; submetacentric–CI 26–45; subacrocentric–CI 16–25; acrocentric–CI 3–15.

Results

Agabinae Thomson, 1867 *Agabus* Leach, 1817

Subgenus Agabus s. str.

A. serricornis (Paykull, 1799). Fig. 1 a. Published information: none. 2n = 42 + X0 (\mathcal{C}). The RCLs of the autosomes range from about 7.6–2.5, with sharp decreases between pairs 5 (RCL about 6.4) and 6 (RCL about 5), 15 (RCL about 4.5) and 16 (RCL about 3.4), and 20 (RCL about 3.1) and 21 (RCL about 2.5). The X chromosome (RCL about 6.4) is similar in size to pairs 4 and 5. Most of the chromosomes are metacentric to submetacentric, with pairs 8–11 subacrocentric and pairs 15 and 20 more or less acrocentric. Pair 12 has a distinct secondary constriction at the base of its short arm. The X chromosome is subacrocentric, with the centromere clearly nearer the end than in autosomes 4 and 5. No C-banded material is available.



Figure 1. Agabus s. str. (**a–e**) and A. (Acatodes) (**f–q**), mitotic chromosomes arranged as karyotypes. **a** A. serricornis, \mathcal{F} , mid-gut, plain **b**, **c** A. labiatus, \mathcal{F} , mid-gut **b** plain **c** C-banded **d**, **e** A. labiatus, \mathcal{F} , mid-gut **d** plain, **e** C-banded **f**, **g** A. congener, \mathcal{F} , Scotland, testis, C-banded **h** A. congener, \mathcal{F} , Sweden, mid-gut, C-banded, with 1 B-chromosome **i–k** A. lapponicus, \mathcal{F} , Sweden, testis **i** plain **j**, **k** C-banded **l**, **m** A. thomsoni, \mathcal{F} , mid-gut **l** plain **m** the same nucleus C-banded **n**, **o** A. confinis, \mathcal{F} , mid-gut, plain **n** lacking one X chromosome **o** lacking one replicate each of autosomes 1 and 2 **p** A. sturmii, \mathcal{F} , mid-gut, plain. Bar = 5µm.

A. labiatus (Brahm, 1791). Fig. 1 b, c (\Im), Fig. 1 d, e (\Im). Published information: none. 2 n = 42 + X0 (\Im), 42 + XX (\Im). The autosomes, all either metacentric or submetacentric, have RCLs ranging from about 7.8–2.7, with a fairly gradual decrease along the

karyotype, though this is slightly sharper between pairs 5 (RCL about 7.1) and 6 (RCL about 5.9) and 11 (RCL about 4.3) and 12 (RCL about 3.8). The X chromosome is submetacentric and the largest in the nucleus (RCL about 9). Pair 5 have secondary constrictions on the long arm and pair 13 on the short arm. C-banding (Fig. 1 c, e) shows a limited development of centromeric C-bands. These are present on autosomes 1, 3–6, 12, 14 and 17–20. The remaining autosomes, and the X chromosome, lack C-bands. Many of the C-bands are very weak, with the strongest bands present on autosomes 5 and 12.

Subgenus Acatodes C. G. Thomson, 1859

A. congener (Thunberg, 1794). Fig. 1 f, g (\mathcal{C}), Fig. 1 h (\mathcal{Q}). Published information: none. 2 n = 42 + X0 (\mathcal{C}), 42 + XX (\mathcal{Q}), 1 B-chromosome. The autosomes, all more or less metacentric, have RCLs ranging from about 7–4, with an even size decrease along the karyotype. The submetacentric X chromosome, RCL about 9, is clearly the longest in the nucleus. All the chromosomes have distinct centromeric C-bands, with some variation in strength between pairs, and autosomes 1 and 8 have secondary constrictions which may C-band, especially that on autosome 1. The C-banding reaction of the secondary constriction of autosome 8 is less pronounced, and the constriction may be apparent in only one of the replicates. The Swedish female (Fig. 1 h) has one B-chromosome, about as long as autosome 1 and appearing uniformly partly heterochromatic.

A. lapponicus (Thomson, 1867). Fig. 1 i–k (♂). Published information: none. 2n = 42 + X0 (♂). The karyotype of this species appears indistinguishable from that of *A. congener*. *A. thomsoni* (J. Sahlberg, 1871). Fig. 1 l, m (♂). Published information: none. 2n = 42 + X0 (♂). The karyotype of this species is very similar to those of *A. congener* and *A. lapponicus*, but the longest autosome with a secondary constriction is placed as no. 2 as in this material it appears distinctly shorter than the longest autosome (pair 1). It is possible that additional material would show this not to be the case. As in the preceding two species, the secondary constriction on autosome 8 is more conspicuous in one of the replicates.

A. confinis (Gyllenhal, 1808). Fig. 1 n, o (\mathcal{Q}). Published information: 2n = 40 + "XY" (sex chromosomes not identified) (Smith, 1953). 2n = 44 (\mathcal{Q}), probably 42 + XX. The material available for study was three females, and although no intact chromosomal complement was obtained, the 43 chromosomes shown in Fig. 1 n exceed the number given by Smith. The suggestion that the X chromosome is the largest in the nucleus is based on comparison with the karyotypes of the three preceding species, all, like *A. confinis*, members of the *A. congener* group. In the interpretation given here, Fig. 1 n lacks one X chromosome while Fig. 1 o, from a different specimen, has both X chromosomes but lacks one replicate each of autosomes 1 and 2.

A. sturmii (Gyllenhal, 1808). Fig. 1 p (\mathcal{O}). Published information: $2n = 40 + Xy_p$ (Suortti, 1971). 2n = 42 + X0 (\mathcal{O}), 42 + XX (\mathcal{Q}). The autosomes, all either metacentric or submetacentric, have RCLs ranging from about 6.8–2.7. There is a fairly even decrease in length to pair 16 (RCL about 4.8), then a more abrupt decrease to pairs 17–20 (RCL about 3.4) and a further drop to pair 21 (RCL about 2.7). The X metacentric chromosome,



Figure 2. a, b A. infuscatus testis, first metaphase of meiosis. Bar = 5 µm.

RCL about 11.5, is by far the longest in the nucleus, almost twice as long as autosome 1. Suortti's (1971) material consists of first meiotic metaphases obtained by either sectioning or squashing, and is not clear enough to give an accurate assessment of the karyotype.

A. infuscatus Aubé, 1838. Figs 1q, 2 (\mathcal{C}). Published information: none. 2n = 42 + neo XY. The autosomes are nearly all either metacentric or submetacentric, but pairs 3 and 17 are subacrocentric. The RCLs of the autosomes range from about 7.9–2.9, and there is a fairly even size decrease along the karyotype, though with slightly sharper decreases between pairs 1 (RCL about 7.9) and 2 (RCL about 6.9), 11 (RCL about 4.3) and 12 (RCL about 3.6), and pairs 18 (RCL about 3.6) and 19 (RCL about 2.9). The subacrocentric X-chromosome (RCL about 7.2) has a distinct gap in its long arm and the Y chromosome, also subacrocentric, is smaller, RCL about 4.6, and matches the X chromosome minus the terminal section of its long arm. This is typical of a neo-XY system where the X chromosome fuses with an autosome to give neo-X, and the same autosome without the X fused to it becomes the neo-Y chromosome. First metaphase of meiosis (Fig. 2) shows 22 bivalents with no suggestion of a B-chromosome behaving differently from the others. Although it is not possible to identify the neo-XY the behaviour of the chromosomes is entirely consistent with a neo-XY system.

Subgenus Gaurodytes C. G. Thomson, 1859

The A. bipustulatus group

RCL data for this group are given in Table 2.

A. bipustulatus (Linnaeus, 1767). Fig. 3 a–f. Published information: $2n = 40 + Xy_p$ (Suortti, 1971). (See comment on Suortti's work under *A. sturmii*.) 2n = 42 + X0 (\Diamond),

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	х
a 📢	X	X	k	"	"	K	31)1	31	K	11	11	\$1	K	38	41	1(81	16	83)
b 📢	Н	н	ł	1	if	к	jł.	H	78	¥	ŧſ	5÷	89	K	34	81	88	=(H	98)
ر،	>>	χ	()	Ð)	0	ĸ	31	21	21	11	35))	85	0	10	36	1¢	35	35	Ł
) {	>>	Н	ťδ	83	27	ē8	94	99	3 8	36	98	48	99	85	93	đĘ	78	4e	89	81	ŝ
e ((21	3ł	11	ĸ	11	(۵	К	Ħ	涯	18	41	Σŝ	٢8	şe	38	ξ¢	¢	78	98	8.8	Ł
=\$f	7)	Ĵĺ	<u>}</u> 8	Ħ	73	٢e	N	ΥĒ	31	88	ł	>ł	1 8	\$4.	38	ξe	26	98		88	ł
g 🖗	38	\$3	11	12	R	81	83	41	38	1 8	24	88	88	==	82	81	28	85	жz	ww.	1
h (e	86	83	Ħ	58	81	84	63	88	8 4	**	84	* 5	**	**	88	84	88	8.9		* W	8
11	11	83	88	11	11	82	81	85	46	82	22	33	zr	83		#5	#3	88	52	22	
j 88	68	88	88	88	88	88			16	89	۰e	**	86	e e		88	8 P	••	**	48	8
, ¥	łł	H	81	1)	11	38	R	ţ٤	81	{€	38	52	} }	{A	ŧŧ	58	ét	8 H	65	8,9	į.
X	11	K	ы	к	К	81	28	ж	11	gn,	n	85	3 ¥	X .8	>>	Ħ	12	87	88	83	>
" ? ?	[]	11	11	н	41	X))	41	88	10	H	24	81	88	02		89	24	48	**	ij
n 🕻	14	11	88	11	н	80	4.	84	23	18	83		85	45	\$ 2	36	**	8*	•*	**	1
، ۱ ۲))	u	48	26	81	13	11	32	*1	48	lr	13.	¥Ł	87	84	38	83	45	**	**	X
,) [11	И	Ð	Ð	11	1(11	11	11	33	\$1	\$3	1)	11	88	34	16	81	#1	**	3
q 🅅	11)!	13	63	ā k	11	0 Å	ţt	**	83	84	8.0	43	64	8.8	**	84		#h	**	1
ĸ	ų	łí	ĥ	ĸ	le	ī,	£	{ {	#	IJ	¥	7	i,	ï	ц	11	ų	11	13	87	ii
s 🊺	시	K	۶ŝ	14	łe	Ð	£ł	44	Ħ	1) 5 μ)1 m	78	46	÷8	58	45	44	8+	48	<i>e</i> *	11

Figure 3. Agabus (Gaurodytes) part 1, the A. bipustulatus group, mitotic chromosomes arranged as karyotypes. **a**–**f** A. bipustulatus: **a**–**d** \mathcal{F} , Inari, testis **a** plain **b** the same nucleus C-banded **c** plain **d** the same nucleus C-banded **e**, **f** \mathcal{F} , Woolmer, mid-gut **e** plain **f** the same nucleus C-banded **g**–**k** A. bipustulatus var. solieri: **g**, **h** \mathcal{F} , Moiry, testis **g** plain **h** the same nucleus C-banded **i**–**j**, **k** Illsee, \mathcal{F} , testis **i** plain **j** the same nucleus C-banded **k** a different nucleus C-banded **I**, **m** A. nevadensis, Sierra Nevada, mid-gut, plain, **l** \mathcal{F} , **m** \mathcal{F} **n**, **o** A. wollastoni, \mathcal{F} , Madeira, testis, plain; **p–s** A. melanarius: **p**, **q** \mathcal{F} , testis **p** plain **q** the same nucleus C-banded **r**, **s** \mathcal{G} , ovary, **r** plain, **s** the same nucleus C-banded. Bar = 5 µm.

42 + XX (\mathcal{Q}). The X chromosome is the longest in the nucleus, though its RCL value can overlap that of autosome 1 (Table 2). Autosome 1 is characterised by a secondary constriction in its long arm, frequently picked out by C-banding (Fig. 3 b, d, f). The

Chromosome	A. bipustulatus	A. solieri	A. nevadensis	A. wollastoni	A. melanarius
	9.11	8.86	8.25	8.56	9.90
1	8.07-10.14	6.69–11.03	6.41-10.09	6.66-10.46	8.05-10.95
	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
	9.07	8.11	7.20	7.81	8.75
2	8.15-9.99	6.93–9.28	6.32-8.08	5.61-10.01	7.23–10.27
	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
	8.89	8.00	6.85	7.25	8.75
3	8.09-9.70	6.37–9.63	6.13-7.57	4.99-9.51	7.23-10.27
	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
	8.29	7.29	6.50	6.63	7.87
4	7.33-9.24	6.16-8.42	5.87-7.13	5.20-8.05	7.11-8.64
	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
	7.93	7.00	5.75	6.56	7.50
5	7.20-8.65	5.83-8.17	4.89-6.61	4.88-8.24	6.58-8.42
	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
	7.54	6.71	5.40	6.19	6.50
6	6.82-8.26	5.54-7.88	4.59-6.21	4.92-7.45	5.58-7.42
-	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
	6.79	6.07	5.15	6.00	7 25
7	5 86-7 71	4 73-7 42	4 29-6 01	4 14-7 86	6 22-8 28
,	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
	6.54	5.96	4 90	5 50	6.50
8	5 63_7 44	4 75_7 18	4 19-5 61	4 03-6 97	5 58_7 42
0	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
	6.25	5.68	4 70	5 4 4	6.88
9	5 66-6 84	4 64-6 71	3 94-5 46	3 87-7 01	6 48-7 27
<i>,</i>	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
	6.25	5 39	4 35	4 94	6.63
10	5 68-6 82	4 40-6 38	3 60-5 11	3 50-6 38	5 86-7 39
10	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
	5 71	5.25	4 20	4.63	6.63
11	5 21-6 22	4 25-6 25	3 66-4 74	3 35-5 90	5 86-7 39
11	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
	5 75	5 29	3.85	4 69	6.38
12	5 23_6 27	4 39_6 18	3 26_4 44	3 51-5 87	5 18_7 57
12	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
	5 71	4 93	3 95	4 31	
13	5 28-6 15	4 05-5 81	3 64-4 26	3 11-5 51	6.00
15	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	4.16–7.84
	5.86	4 89		3.94	6.38
14	5 31-6 41	4 07_5 71	3.75	2 79_5 09	5 37_7 38
11	N = 14	N = 14	3.36-4.14	N = 8	N = 4
	5 30	5.07	3.45	3 75	6.00
15	4.91-5.88	4.18-5.96	2.96-3.94	2.83-4.67	5.74-6.26
- /	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
	5.04	4 54	3 55	3 44	5 63
16	4.34-5.73	3.66-5.41	3.09-4.01	2.59-4.29	4.86-6.39
	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
			1		L

Table 2. A. bipustulatus group species, Relative Chromosome Length. Mean, 95% confidence intervals, number of chromosomes measured.

Chromosome	A. bipustulatus	A. solieri	A. nevadensis	A. wollastoni	A. melanarius
	4.79	4.29	3.33	3.25	5.00
17	4.22-5.35	3.46-5.11	3.00-3.67	2.28-4.22	4.74-5.26
	N = 14	N = 14	N = 9	N = 8	N = 4
	4.25	4.04	3.05	3.13	5.50
18	3.77-4.73	3.21-4.86	2.62-3.48	2.11-4.14	4.58-6.42
	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
	4.21	3.93	2.70	2.63	4 99
19	3.84-4.58	3.26-4.60	2.19-3.21	1.89-3.36	4.00
	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	4.48-3.27
	3.75	3.36	2.50	2.38	4.13
20	3.36-4.14	2.71-4.00	2.16-2.84	1.41-2.54	3.72-4.52
	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
	3.25	2.54	2.05	1.87	2.75
21	2.77-3.73	2.04-3.04	1.62-2.48	1.21-2.54	1.95-3.55
	N = 14	N = 14	N = 10	N = 8	N = 4
	11.43	8.86	7.83	8.25	8.33
Х	8.95-13.91	5.69-12.02	6.40-9.27	5.75-10.75	3.16-13.50
	N = 7	N = 7	N = 5	N = 6	N = 3

expansion or contraction of this constriction can drastically alter the apparent size of the chromosome (Fig. 3 a, b). The longer chromosomes (pairs 1-10) are submetacentric, while the smaller ones are more or less metacentric. The X chromosome is submetacentric to subacrocentric. The variation in the apparent size of this chromosome in different nuclei can be striking—it is about twice as long as autosome 1 in Fig. 3 a, b, but only slightly longer that autosome 1 in Fig. 3 c, d. Since these nuclei are from the same beetle the difference must be the result of different degrees of condensation of the chromosome.

A. bipustulatus var. solieri Aubé, 1837. Fig. 3 g–k. Published information: none. 2n = 42 + X0 (Å), 42 + XX (\mathcal{Q}). All the preparations illustrated are from the Swiss Alps, and are chosen because good plain and C-banded preparations were obtained from the same nuclei. The nuclei shown in Fig. 3 g–j are more condensed than the typical *A. bipustulatus* shown, but the one in Fig. 3 k shows a comparable degree of condensation. These karyotypes show no obvious difference from those of typical *A. bipustulatus*. The dark area at the end of the X chromosome in Fig. 3 k is where it overlapped one of the autosomes in the preparation. The extreme size difference between the two replicates of autosome 1 in Fig. 3 g, h is very striking, but C-banding (Fig. 3 h) shows that this size difference is entirely due to the degree of expansion of the secondary constriction.

A. nevadensis Håkan Lindberg, 1939. Fig. 3 l, m. Published information: none. 2n = 42 + X0 (\bigcirc), 42 + XX (\bigcirc) The preparations are from old material in R. B. Angus' archive, and no C-banding is available. The heavy short arm of one replicate of autosome 1 in Fig. 3 m is the result of its lying on top of dark material. The sizes and shapes of these chromosomes show no detectable differences from those of *A. bipustulatus* and *A. bipustulatus* var. *solieri*.

A. wollastoni Sharp, 1882. Fig. 1 n, o. Published information: none. 2n = 42 + X0 (\mathcal{E}). As with *A. nevadensis*, this is archive material and no C-banding is available. Only two karyotypes could be obtained, both from rather condensed nuclei, but the general arrangement of the chromosomes is very similar to, if not identical with, those of the species already discussed.

A. melanarius Aubé, 1837. Fig. 3 p–s. Published information: none. 2n = 42 + X0 (\bigcirc), 42 + XX (\bigcirc). The general layout of the karyotype is very similar to those of the *A. bipustulatus* complex described above, but there appear to be more secondary constrictions. Thus in the female (Fig. 3 s), where the C-banding is better displayed, secondary C-bands are clear in autosomes 1, 3, 6, 7 and 14, and even in the male (Fig. 3 q) the secondary C-bands are clear in autosomes 1, 6 and 14.

Other Gaurodytes species

A. biguttatus (Olivier, 1795). Fig. 4 a, b. Published information: 2n = 42 + X0 (\mathcal{C}), 22 + XX (\mathcal{Q}) (Saleh Ahmed et al., 2000). The present material, from both Egypt and Sardinia, confirms the data of Saleh Ahmed et al. We have altered the position of the long chromosome with the secondary constriction from pair No. 3 to pair No. 1 as this matches the Sardinian specimen better, and there is sufficient variation in the RCL of this chromosome, due to opening of the secondary constriction to justify this move. The autosomes are all either metacentric or submetacentric with an even size decrease along the karyotype from RCL about 6 to about 3. The X chromosome has RCL about 6 and is more distinctly submetacentric than the larger autosomes, except of autosome 1 which has the secondary constriction. No C-banded preparation is available.

A. binotatus Aubé, 1837. Fig. 4 c. Published information: none. 2n = 42 + X0 (\mathcal{C}). The karyotype of this species appears very similar to that of *A. biguttatus*, with a similar spread of RCLs. However, autosomes 14–21 are clearly less metacentric than in *A. biguttatus*, in some cases approaching subacrocentric. The X chromosome, RCL about 8.5, is clearly the largest in the nucleus, thus distinctly larger than in *A. biguttatus*.

A. affinis (Paykull, 1798). Fig. 4 d. Published information: none. 2n = 42 + X0 (Å). The RCLs of the autosomes range from about 8–2.7, with an abrupt size decrease between pair 4 (RCL about 7.4) and pair 5 (RCL about 5.4), but otherwise with a gradual decrease. Most to the autosomes are either metacentric or submetacentric, but autosomes 12, 17, 20 and 21 are subacrocentric. The X chromosome is submetacentric, RCL about 6. No C-banded material is available.

A. unguicularis (Thomson, 1867). Fig. 4 e. Published information: none. 2n = 42 + X0 (\eth). The RCLs of the autosomes range from about 10–2.4. There is an abrupt size decrease between pairs 2 and 3 (RCLs about 9.4 and 7.6) and pairs 3 and 4 (RCL of pair 4 about 6.5), but apart from that the size decrease is fairly even. Most of the autosomes are metacentric or almost so, but a few are clearly submetacentric. The X chromosome, RCL about 6.5, is similar in size to autosome pair 4, but much more clearly submetacentric. No C-banded material is available.

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	х
а	X	K	71	\$3	\$ ₹	7:	53	11	:8	33	38	25	36	\$4	10	15	18	22	# S	\$8	25	ţ
b	17	N	ĒĽ	\$\$	11	33	33	11	23	16	36	28	51	88	22	Ξ£.	82	83	22	88	R.e.s	8
с	뱱	R		28	85	32	23	52	86	82	\$X	22	22	яx	XX.	5 R	21	12	88	84	18.55	1
d	Я	11	68	11	<u>ة</u> د	36	88	33	84	88	88	66	25	88	88	a#	ā à	8A	28	88	8.6	R
e	X	=	§ 8	71	18	83	58	85	37	38	89	33	{ 6	58	88	32	6B	\$ \$	88	52	u 6	٢
f	12	k	is	14	36	32	àş	72	38	11	٥ğ	Þi	52	22	эă,	26	65	\$5	g:	23	**	}
g	AP.	۶ñ	98	74	88	31	Rr	78	11	Хğ	Xg	KR.	ķγ	38	蒸煮	3¢	₫¢	88	ΰÅ.	38	SR.	ħ
h	11	Ri	12	82	**	28	32	밝혔	24	22	82	5.4	58	#2	23	22	2,5	68	12.11	83	81	8
i	I	81	15	38	88	98	37	53	36	22	¥8	66	23	44	88	52	33	82	\$ JL	2.5	Rą	8
j	Xí	11	21	25	38	10 11 11	Ĭŭ	÷.	10	μų.	88	$\stackrel{H}{\otimes} \stackrel{B}{B}$	81	89	28	XX	11	27. 10	ză	85	зź	ſ
k	35	78	11	치플	5€	z z	38	A R	53	美英	8 8	¥2	82	¥ 8	菌鸟	# 2	用用	35. II.	81	$\lambda\%$	34 B	29
I	11	38	Ξŧ	自然	۶Å	86	мŊ	Hg	Ħø	n p	80	$A_{\rm R}$	8 11	£9	j≣ t	8 1	8.4	8 B.	ja li	=	84	Ę٩

Figure 4. *Agabus (Gaurodytes)* part 2, mitotic chromosomes arranged as karyotypes. **a**, **b** *A. biguttatus*, δ , mid-gut, plain: **a** El Noqra **b** Giara di Gesturi; **c** *A. binotatus*, δ , mid-gut, plain **d** *A. affinis*, δ , mid-gut, plain **e** *A. unguicularis*, δ , mid-gut, plain **f** *A. ramblae*, δ , Murcia, testis, plain **g** *A. conspersus*, δ , mid-gut, plain **h**, **i** *A. nebulosus*, δ , mid-gut, plain **h** Cuckmere **i** Tenerife **j–l** *A. adpressus*, δ , mid-gut **j** plain **k**, **l** the same nucleus **k** plain **l** C-banded. Bar = 5 µm.

A. ramblae Millan et Ribera, 2001. Fig. 4 f. Published information: none. 2n = 42 + X0 (\bigcirc), 42 + XX (\bigcirc). The RCLs of the autosomes range from about 7–2.9, with a fairly even decrease in length along the karyotype. The autosomes are a mixture of metacentrics and submetacentrics (some at the extreme end of the range), with autosomes 10–12, 15, 16 and 20 subacrocentric. The X chromosome is about the same size as autosome 1, but more clearly submetacentric. No C-banded material is available.

A. conspersus (Marsham, 1802). Fig. 4 g. Published information: 2n = 38 + XY (Yadav et al., 1984). 2n = 42 + X0 (\bigcirc). The RCLs of the autosomes range from about 6.1– 3.6, with an even decrease in chromosome size along the karyotype. The autosomes are all either metacentric or submetacentric, and autosome 3 has a prominent secondary constriction in its long arm and autosome 15 has what appears to be a terminal NOR at the end of its short arm. The X chromosome, RCL about 5.6, is submetacentric and similar in size to autosomes 4–6. No C-banded material is available. This karyotype is clearly very different from that reported by Yadav et al. (1984). They report a number of nuclei supporting their conclusions, so the most likely explanation is that they were working with a different species. It may be noted that Marsham (1802) described *A*. *conspersus* from England so the material here may be regarded as true *A. conspersus*. Yadav et al. worked with Indian material.

A. nebulosus (Forster, 1771). Fig. 4 h, i. Published information: none. 2n = 42 + X0 (\bigcirc), 42 + XX (\bigcirc). The general layout of the karyotype in terms of RCLs of the autosomes is very similar to that of *A. conspersus*. Autosome 3 has a similar secondary constriction in its long arm, but the small chromosome with the terminal apparent NOR is relatively larger than in *A. conspersus*, and is placed as pair 12 as against 15. The X chromosome, RCL about 7.3, appears relatively larger than that of *A. conspersus*, and is metacentric. The Tenerife specimen whose chromosomes are shown in Fig. 4 i is of a form whose dark pronotal spots are absent or scarcely apparent, but the chromosomes clearly associate it with the British well-spotted *A. nebulosus* rather than *A. conspersus* which lacks the pronotal spots.

A. adpressus Aubé, 1837. Fig. 4 j-l. Published information: none. 2n = 42 + XY (\mathcal{O}) . The autosomes are all either metacentric or submetacentric, with RCLs ranging from about 7.2-3.1 and with an even decrease in size along the karyotype. Autosome 2 has a secondary constriction in its long arm and autosome 8 has one in its short arm. The X chromosome is submetacentric (almost metacentric), about as long as autosome 1. The Y chromosome, RCL about 5, looks like the X chromosome with most of one arm missing. C-banding (Fig. 4 l) shows considerable variation in the centromeric Cbands of the autosomes. Autosome 1 lacks any C-band, 2 and 3 have strong C-bands and 4 has a weak one. Autosome 5 lacks a C-band and that on autosome 6 is very weak. Autosomes 7-9 have strong centromeric C-bands and 10-13 have weaker ones. Pair 14 has very weak bands. Pairs 15–21 have strong C-bands. The secondary constriction of autosome 2 shows as a C-band, but that of autosome 8 appears to be merged with the strong centromeric C-band. The sex chromosomes both have very large strong centromeric C-bands, which is a powerful piece of evidence that this is a neo-XY system rather than an X0 system and a B-chromosome. Unfortunately no meiotic preparation is available.

Colymbetinae Erichson, 1837

Colymbetes Clairville, 1806

C. fuscus (Linnaeus, 1758). Fig. 5 a, b. Published information: 2n = 35-37 (Q) (Günthert, 1910). 2n = 40 + X0 (d). The RCLs of the autosomes range from about 7.8–2.1, with an even decrease in chromosome size along the karyotype. Autosomes 2, 9, 11, 12, 14 and 15 are subacrocentric, while the remainder are more or less metacentric. The X chromosome, RCL about 5.7, is metacentric, similar in size to autosome 8. All the chromosomes have distinct centromeric C-bands and autosome 4 has a fainter band, possibly a secondary constriction, in its short arm.

C. paykulli Erichson, 1837. Fig. 5 c, d. Published information: 18 pairs including Xy_p ? (Suortti, 1971). 2n = 40 + X0 (\Diamond). The RCLs of the autosomes range from

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	х	В
а	K	Ы	35	И	95	22	>(36	88	14	55	ě i	3 2	82	88	8	25	27	8.4	55			2	
b	ζ<	11	<<	ŧi.		К	K	Эï	2H	Я	88	11	83	t t	23	35	ΧK	88	95	**			f	
с	K	ΪŪ.	17	27	70	32	26	88	28	52	68	66	<u>5</u> 6	68	68	22	Ξŝ	ąκ.	45	調察			8	
d	ή	N	1	•{	Ж	78	{ }	71	3{	88	98	11	88	f t	11	ħf	17	9 K	85	₿ <i>Ħ</i>			e	
е	K	Я	{{	11	R	11	11	н	Į1	11	10	53	11	44	п	88	88	18	8.6	**			(
f	1	87	\$5	11	ê ŝ	81	4à	11	1 g	32	15	11	88	88	8.5	88	XX	87	84	85			3	
g	1	55	15	11	36	12	84	82	£ 1.	11	51	38	8.8	53,	34	15	84	я а	8 X	<i>8</i> N			n.	
3	门	35	81	3:	**	:	31	35	22	38	53	::	22	32	**	33	ar	35	26	66	42	* 4	ì	
h	3);	거		9 0 0 0 0	Nº Pa	a e	Ää	51	ŝā	83	51	81	63	불법	ž.	1A	AB	81	8 H	85	46.64	55	
í.	10	3.5																					1	
jl	N	11	13	И	11	66	11	11	11	11	39		11	41	11	28	\$1	11	84	8.4			1	
j k	11 []	88 21	83	н н)()(46] (13 11	15 32	51 6.8	59 8.8	39 98	68 88	48 52	8 U 2 U	83 88	84 84	83 83	22 #A	84 28	4.4			1	
j k I		71 88 76	83 83 33	И 88 85	72 76 76	44 11 21	88 88 53	88 38 25	32 8.8 2.5	39 88 38	39 98 115	68 88 87 87	25 88 25	8 8 8 8 8 8	83 88 84	88 88 85	#3 #3 21	88 #A 71 B	¥6 HR RR	8.6 4.4 25.6	4.5	824		
j k I m); 11 11 12	83 83 83 83	》 和 秋 75	32 32 33	66 31 22 22 22	41 41 53 75	15 38 25 21	24 99 81 81	59 8.8 3.2 11	39 38 35 35	88 88 79 76	25 48 25 25	88 88 23 23	22 27 28 28	88 88 38 88	83 83 21 25	88 ## 7.2 50	96 28 28 28 28	8.6 // # /5 E 28	4.5 2.5	23 23	1 1 2 5	
j k I m n		11 12 13 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	83 83 83 83 83 81	N 88 84 75 21	11 11 11 11	66 35 25 25 25 25 25 25	44 48 53 75 75	88 38 25 25 23	83 84 85 85 85	59 8.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6 3.6	39 98 86 82 23	88 88 79 76 13	25 48 25 25 13	\$ 5 22 23 23 23 24 24	88 88 55 53 88	88 88 38 82 88	53 32 21 25 57	88 #A 712 52 52	94 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	84 25 L 28 5 L	4.5 1.5 1.6	23 23 88	1 1 2 5 1	
j k I m n o		計算にしてい	お ま3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	66 35 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	44 48 53 53 75 75 75 75	88 38 25 25 33 33	95 98 98 98 98 98 98	57 88 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	39 98 25 22 33 5%	> 6 88 22 24 88 88	25 48 27 26 38 38	88 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	83 84 55 53 55 55	88 84 38 86 88 88 88	53 33 21 35 51 55 55	88 #A 713 52 80 88	96 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	184 15 E 28 88 88	4.5 2.5 3.8 8.6	21 21 88 88		
j k I m n o p		対部ななが能能	23 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 3	利用なたのの目	82 27 27 27 27 27 27 27 26 23	ちろ おお なお なな	44 48 53 53 53 75 53 75 53 75 53 75 53 75 53 75 53 75 53 75 53 75 53 75 53 75 53 75 53 75 53 75 53 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	第第 318 215 215 215 215 215 215 215 215 215	21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	57 88 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	39 88 86 82 33 8≪ 38≪	88 88 72 74 75 75 76 75 76	25 43 35 35 35 35 35	88 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 2	83 84 55 53 35 55 85	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	53 32 21 25 57 53 58	88 #A 7.2 50 88 88 88	96 88 111 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	84 16.4 15 E 18 8.5 18 8.5 18 8.5 18 8.5 18 8.5 18 18 18 18 18 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	44 44 88 88	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11		
j k I m n o p q		計解してい意義	「「「「「」」」の「「」」の「「」」の「「」」の「「」」の「」」の「」」の「	第二日 日本	2C 32 32 32 33 33 35 34 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	ちち おち たち	14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	48 38 25 25 25 38 38 46 46	51 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 52 55 55 55	88 88 11 11 15 85 55	39 38 36 22 35 55 23 55 28	88 88 28 26 27 28 28 28 28 28	84 48 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	44 28 25 25 26 26 28 28 28 28	83 84 55 53 55 80 83	44 45 55 44 44 55 55 55	53 343 24 24 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	88 #A 713 92 85 88 88 88 88 88	34 88 100 11 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	84 14 A 15 E 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	44 14 18	11 11 11 11 11 11		
j k I m o p q r		N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	料料 秋戸2000 町町 約	15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	44 まま れた こ 11 5 - 11	14 日本11 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 1	38 38 25 55 38 58 58 58 58 58 58	第1 日本 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	39 88 86 82 23 84 23 84 28 28 28 28 28	22 22 22 22 22 22 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 2	82 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 84	85 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	88 86 55 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	44 44 55 56 36 36 36 36 36 56 56 56 56 56 56	53 34 34 34 54 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	88 88 73 73 75 75 75 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	94 88 88 82 85 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	84 252 28 88 88 48 26 28	44 44 88	新 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	「 ち ち ち ち ち ち ち	
j k I m o p q r s		計算 あるの記録経済語	14 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	新 新 和 て 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	11 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 1	いたい いいの いち	日本にていた時にない	(1) 日本 (1) 10 (1)	第二日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日本 日	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	39 98 06 12 23 55 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	88 88 88 26 26 26 28 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	12 14 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	44 34 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35	83 84 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	44 44 35 35 36 36 35 35 56 55	43 44 51 52 52 52 52 53 54 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55	88 84 75 75 75 75 75 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	94 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	8.6 17.2 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	44 14 88	27 28 88	「 ち ち い ち ち ち ち ち ち ち ち ち ち ち ち ち ち ち ち	3

Figure 5. *Colymbetes* (**a–g**) and *Rhantus* (**h–t**), mitotic chromosomes arranged as karyotypes. **a**, **b** *C*. *fuscus*, \mathcal{J} , mid-gut **a** Pinail, plain **b** Wisley, C-banded **c**, **d** *C*. *paykulli*, \mathcal{J} , midgut, Mullsjö **c** plain **d** C-banded **e** *C*. *piceus*, \mathcal{J} , Egypt, mid-gut, plain **f**, **g** *C*. *striatus*, \mathcal{J} , mid-gut, plain **h**, **i** *R*. *grapii*, \mathcal{J} , mid-gut, plain **j**, **k** *R*. *exsoletus*, \mathcal{J} , mid-gut, plain **j** Studland Heath **k** Gayton Thorpe Common **I**, **m** *R*. *frontalis*, \mathcal{J} , plain **I** mid-gut, Gayton Thorpe Common **m** testis, Thompson Common **n–p** *R*. *suturalis*, \mathcal{J} **n**, **o** mid-gut, Staines Moor **n** plain **o** C-banded **p** testis, Ras Az Zawr, Kuwait, plain **q–t** *R*. *suturellus*, plain **q** \mathcal{J} , testis, Pinail **r–t** Studland Heath **r** \mathcal{Q} mid-gut **s** \mathcal{J} mid-gut with 1 Bchromosome **t** \mathcal{Q} mid-gut with 1 B-chromosome. Bar = 5 µm.

about 8.4–3.2, with an even decrease in chromosome size along the karyotype. The X chromosome, RCL about 6.8, is metacentric. Autosomes 2 and 12–16 are borderline submetacentric-subacrocentric, while autosome 17 is more clearly submetacentric. The general arrangement appears very similar to that of *C. fuscus* but the centromeric C-

bands appear less bold (perhaps a preparation artefact). The unreliability of Suortti's data has been mentioned under *Agabus sturmii* and *A. bipustulatus*.

C. piceus Klug, 1834. Fig. 5 e. Published information: 2n = 40 + X0 (\mathcal{O}), 40 + XX (\mathcal{Q}) (Saleh Ahmed et al., 2000). The karyotype shown in Fig. 5 e is the one published by Saleh Ahmed et al. and is included for comparison with the other species. The RCLs of the autosomes range from about 8.2–1.9, with a fairly even decrease in chromosome size along the karyotype. Autosomes 2, 8, 12, 14 -17 and 19 are submetacentric, pair 9 is subacrocentric, and the remainder are metacentric. Autosomes 6 and 7 have secondary constrictions in their short arms. The X-chromosome, RCL about 8.2, is similar in size to autosome 1, but is less evenly metacentric. No C-banded material is available.

C. striatus (Linnaeus, 1758). Fig. 5 f, g. Published information: 19 - 21 pairs + Xy_p? (Suortti, 1971). 2n = 40 + X0 (3). The RCLs of the autosomes range from about 8–2.7, with a more noticeable decrease in length between autosomes 1 and 2 (RCL about 6.1) than in the other species, but otherwise with a fairly even decrease in chromosome length along the karyotype. Autosomes 2, 3, 5, 7 and 9 are submetacentric, but the others are more or less metacentric. Autosomes 4, 8 and 9 have secondary constriction in their short arms. The X chromosome, RCL about 9, is similar in length to autosomes 2–4, more nearly metacentric than pairs 2 and 3, but less so than pair 4. No C-banded material is available. For Suortti's data, see comment under *C. paykulli*.

Rhantus Dejean, 1833

Subgenus Nartus Zaitsev, 1907

R. grapii (Gyllenhal, 1808). Fig 5. h, i. Published information: none. 2n = 44 + X0 (\bigcirc), 44 + XX (\bigcirc). The RCLs of the autosomes range from about 9.6–2.5, with an even decrease in chromosome length along the karyotype, apart from sharp decreases in size between pairs 1 and 2 (RCL about 7) and between pair 21 (RCL about 5.5) and pair 22 (RCL about 1.2). Most of the autosomes are more or less metacentric, but pairs 4, 5, 7, 10–12, 14, 16–18 and 20 are clearly submetacentric and pairs 21 and 22 are subacrocentric. Autosome 9 has a secondary constriction towards the end of its long arm. The X chromosome, RCL about 7.7, is the second to longest in the nucleus and is submetacentric. No C-banded material is available.

Subgenus Rhantus s. str.

R. exsoletus (Forster, 1771). Fig. 5 j, k. Published information: 20 pairs + Xy_p (Suortti, 1971). 2n = 40 + X0 (\Im). The RCLs of the autosomes range from about 8–2.2, with a fairly even decrease in chromosome length along the karyotype. Autosome pairs 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 15–17, 19 and 20 are clearly submetacentric, with pairs 9, 12 and 14 more or less subacrocentric. The remaining seven pairs are more or less metacentric. Pair 6

has a secondary constriction on its short arm. The X chromosome, RCL about 9, is the longest in the nucleus. No C-banded material is available.

R. frontalis (Marsham, 1802). Fig. 5 l, m. Published information (as *R. notatus* F.): 22 pairs including Xy_p (Suortti, 1971). 2n = 44 + X0 (\bigcirc). The RCLs of the autosomes range from about 8.3–2.7 with a sharper decrease in length between pair 1 and pair 2 (RCL about 6.4) but otherwise an even decrease in chromosome length along the karyotype. Autosomes 2–5, 7, 9–11, 14, 15 and 21 are submetacentric while the rest are more or less metacentric. Autosomes 2, 3 and 17 have secondary constrictions in their short arms. The X chromosome, RCL about 9.1, is the longest in the nucleus. No C-banded material is available.

R. suturalis (Macleay, 1825). Fig. 5 n–p. Published information: none. 2n = 44 + X0 (\bigcirc). The RCLs of the autosomes range from about 6.1–3.5. The rate of decrease along the karyotype is very even with many of the adjacent pairs appearing more or less the same size. Most of the autosomes are more or less metacentric but pairs 13, 14 and 22 are clearly submetacentric and pair 20 is subacrocentric. C-banding (Fig. 5 o) shows all the chromosomes with centromeric C-bands, of varying strengths. Pairs 1, 8 and 14 have secondary constrictions on their short arms. The X chromosome, RCL about 5.8, is metacentric with a rather weak centromeric C-band. The Kuwaiti material (Fig. 5 p) shows no differences from the British.

R. suturellus (Harris, 1828). Fig. 5 q–t. Published information: none. 2n = 40 + X0 (\bigcirc), 40 + XX (\bigcirc), sometimes with 1 B-chromosome. The RCLs of the autosomes range from about 7–2.7, with a fairly even decrease in chromosome length along the karyotype. Autosomes 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 15 -17, 19 and 20 are clearly submetacentric, with the remainder more or less metacentric. Pairs 4, 8 and 9 have secondary constrictions in their short arms. The X chromosome, RCL about 5.3, is metacentric and similar to chromosomes 4–8. No C-banded material is available. This karyotype is unusual in having a B-chromosome, a small metacentric, RCL about 3, which has so far been found in Studland Heath material. The first Studland Heath material, in 1993, comprised a male with a B-chromosome and a female without one, giving the impression that this species had an XY sex chromosome system. However, the 2000 material, a mail from Pinail lacking the B-chromosome and a female from Studland Heath with the B-chromosome, revealed the true nature of the situation.

Discussion

In considering the data presented here, two aspects are of particular note: the extent to which the different genera have characteristic karyotypes and details of any deviations from generic karyotypes; and the extent to which the karyotypes of related species show clear differences.

In *Agabus* 18 of the 20 species reported have a karyotype involving 21 pairs of autosomes and sex chromosomes which are X0 (\mathcal{C}) and XX (\mathcal{Q}), but the remaining 2, *A. infuscatus* and *A. adpressus*, have 21 pairs of autosomes and sex chromosomes which are

XY (\mathcal{S}) and XX (\mathcal{Q}). These two species are not closely related (they are placed in different subgenera), but appear to have evolved similar neo-XY sex chromosomes. What makes this particularly surprising is that, since the development of a neo-XY system involves fusion of the original X chromosome with an autosome, there should be an initial reduction by one in the number of pairs of autosomes. However, both the species involved here show no such reduction, so have presumably undergone fission of one autosome to give two and hence restore the original number. It may be noted that Yadav et al. (1984) describe their "*Agabus conspersus*" as having 38 autosomes (19 pairs) and XY sex chromosomes. Assuming their chromosome data are correct and they are working with an *Agabus* species, this one has a reduced number of autosomes as well as an XY system.

Among the *Agabus* species reported here, there are two groups of particularly close relatives, *A. congener, lapponicus* and *thomsoni*, and the *A. bipustulatus* group. *A. congener* and *lapponicus* show no interspecific chromosomal differences despite a good number of high-quality preparations. *A. thomsoni* may show a slight difference in the RCL of the longest secondary constriction-bearing autosome, but more material would be needed to confirm this.

The A. bipustulatus group comprises A. melanarius and the A. bipustulatus complex within which the overriding impression from the present investigation is the extreme similarity between the karyotypes of the species. In the case of *A. bipustulatus* and *A.* bipustulatus var solieri this is not surprising as these are regarded as conspecific. The case of A. nevadensis is perhaps more interesting as this is currently regarded as a distinct species in spite of the lack of clear morphological characters to distinguish it from A. bipustulatus. The karyotype of A. wollastoni also shows no obvious difference from those of the other species, but in this case the species does have a very clear morphological character to distinguish it from A. bipustulatus-the inner anterior tarsal claw of the male is simple, not expanded to give the "scooped-out" appearance characteristic of A. bipustulatus, solieri and nevadensis. Only A. melanarius, not really a member of the A. bipustulatus complex, shows some karyotype differences, most clearly in the more extensive development of heterochromatic (C-banding) regions on the chromosomes. These findings may be considered in the light of the phylogenetic trees obtained by Drotz et al. (2010) from their studies of mitochondrial DNA of these beetles. Drotz et al. place the A. bipustulatus group as a complex within a slightly larger A. tristis Aubé group. Their Fig. 5 shows a strict consensus phylogenetic tree of the group. This figure is particularly interesting: A. melanarius is shown to be among the most isolated of the A. tristis group species, with it plus A. tristis placed as a sister taxon to all the rest combined. The remaining species, including A. wollastoni, comprise the A. bipustulatus complex, within which A. wollastoni is the first to come out, being placed as sister to all the others. It is at once apparent that the karyotypes of all these A. bipustulatus complex species are the ones showing no difference from one another. A. melanarius does show chromosomal differences, and it would be very interesting to know whether this is also true of A. tristis. However, this is a Nearctic and east Palaearctic species, not available for study here.

Examination of the material of *A. bipustulatus, solieri* and *nevadensis* included in their study shows how they came to their conclusions as to their taxonomic status. They are concerned with forms in which the primary reticulation (the fine meshes inside the larger elongate secondary meshes) is progressively reduced. These forms are referred to the varieties *dolomitanus* Scholz, 1935, *falcozi* Guignot, 1932, *kiesenwetteri* Seidlitz 1887 and *pyrenaeus* Fresneda and Hernando, 1989. The most striking thing is that these various *solieri* forms come out in a number of different places, often with ordinary *bipustulatus* from neighbouring areas. *A. nevadensis*, with its very restricted distribution, almost inevitably comes out in only one place, but very closely associated with a population of *solieri* (*kiesenwetteri*) from France. The claim of *A. nevadensis* to species status appears weak. The mitochondrial DNA separation is very slight, the karyotype appears identical with those of other *A. bipustulatus* forms, and the morphological characteristics are less clear than those of *solieri*.

The case of *A. wollastoni* is interesting. This species is isolated on Madeira and has had time to diverge from other *A. bipustulatus*, both in its mitochondrial DNA and also in its morphology–simple inner anterior tarsal claws of males, and generally larger size. Only the chromosomes show no difference.

The four species of *Colymbetes* share the same basic karyotype with 2n = 40 + X0 (\mathcal{E}), with the X chromosome a large more or less metacentric. There are minor differences in the RCL sequences between the species, which may or may not stand up to more detailed analysis if more material becomes available. Autosome 1 of *C. striatus* appears larger than in the other species.

The karyotypes of the *Rhantus* species are interesting in showing two different numbers, with 2n = 40 + X0 (\Im) in *R. exsoletus* and *R. suturellus*, but 2n = 44 + X0 (\Im) in the other species studied. Interestingly, this number difference does not reflect the subgeneric classification. The B-chromosome of *R. suturellus* is interesting in that it could be confused with a neo-XY sex chromosome system comparable with that of *Agabus infuscatus* and *A. adpressus*.

The Kuwaiti material of *R. suturalis* is interesting as it shows no differences from British material. Balke et al. (2009) demonstrated that this "supertramp" species almost certainly originated in the highlands of New Guinea from where it extended its range in two separate lineages, one southern going into Australia and New Zealand, and the other northern, going into Asia and Europe. Clearly Kuwaiti and European material belong to this northern lineage, but it is good to see the absence of chromosomal differences between specimens from these areas supporting the integrity of this species.

Acknowledgements

We thank Anders Nilsson, Marcus Drotz, Garth Foster, Ignacio Ribera and Andres Millan for collecting and sending some of the material used in this study.

References

- Aradottir GI, Angus RB (2004) A chromosomal analysis of some water beetle species recently transferred from *Agabus* Leach to *Ilybius* Erichson, with particular reference to the variation in chromosome number shown by *I. montanus* Stephens (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae). Hereditas 140: 185–192. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-5223.2004.01837.x
- Balke M, Ribera I, Hendrich L, Miller MA, Sagata K, Posman A, Vogler AP, Meier R (2009) New Guinea highland origin of a widespread arthropod supertramp. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 276: 2359–2367. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2009.0015
- Drotz MK, Brodin T, Nilsson AN (2010) Multiple origins of elytral reticulation modifications in the west palearctic *Agabus bipustulatus* complex (Coleoptera, Dytiscidae). PLoS ONE 5(2) e9034. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0009034
- Dutton LA, Angus RB (2007) A karyosystematic investigation of a group of sibling species related to *Stictotarsus griseostriatus* (De Geer) (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae). Comparative Cytogenetics 1: 3–16.
- Galian J, Moore BP (1994) Chromosome numbers and sex-determining mechanisms in Australian Carabidae (Coleoptera). Coleopterists Bulletin 48 (3): 226–235.
- Günthert T (1910) Die Eibildung der Dytisciden. Zoologische Jahrbücher 30: 30 –372.
- Nilsson AN, Hájek J (2013) Catalogue of Palaearctic Dytiscidae (Coleoptera). Internet version 2013. http://www2.emg.umu.se/projects/biginst/andersn/Cat_main.htm (Viewed March 2013)
- Nilsson-Örtmann V, Nilsson AN (2010) Using taxonomic revision data to estimate the global species richness and characteristics of undescribed species of diving beetles (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae). Biodiversity Informatics 7 (1): 1–16.
- Saleh Ahmed R, Angus RB, Zalat S, Shaarawi F (2000) Chromosomal analysis of some Egyptian diving beetles (Coleoptera: Dytiscidae). Egyptian Journal of Biology 2: 76–84.
- Serrano J, Yadav JS (1984) Chromosome numbers and sex-determining mechanisms in adephagan Coleoptera. The Coleopterists Bulletin 38 (4): 335–357.
- Shaarawi FA, Angus RB (1991) A chromosomal investigation of five European species of *Anacaena* Thomson (Coleoptera: Hydrophilidae). Entomologica Scandinavica 21 (1990): 415–426.
- Smith SG (1953) Chromosome numbers of Coleoptera. Heredity 7: 31–48. doi: 10.1038/ hdy.1953.3
- Smith SG, Virkki N (1976) Animal Cytogenetics Insecta 5 Coleoptera. Gebrüder Borntraeger, Berlin-Stuttgart, 366 pp.
- Sumner AT (2003) Chromosomes: organisation and function. Blackwell, Oxford, 287 pp.
- Suortti M (1971) Spermatogenesis of some species of Dytiscidae (Coleoptera). Annales Zoologici Fennici 8: 390–393.
- Tatton AG, Angus RB (2011) A karyosystematic analysis of some water beetles related to Deronectes Sharp (Coleoptera, Dytiscidae). Comparative Cytogenetics 5(3): 173–190. doi: 10.3897/compcytogen.v5i3.1185
- Wikipedia (2013) Article "Ground beetle". en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ground_beetle
- Yadav SJ, Karamjeet K, Yadav AS (1984) Karyological investigations on seven species of Dytiscidae (Adephaga: Coleoptera). Türkiye Bitki Koruma Dergisi 8: 3–16.