RESEARCH ARTICLE



Genomic in situ hybridization identifies parental chromosomes in hybrid scallop (Bivalvia, Pectinoida, Pectinidae) between female Chlamys farreri and male Argopecten irradians irradians

Xiaoting Huang¹, Ke Bi^{1,2}, Wei Lu¹, Shi Wang¹, Lingling Zhang¹, Zhenmin Bao¹

Key Laboratory of Marine Genetics and Breeding (Ocean University of China), Ministry of Education, Qingdao 266003, China 2 Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

Corresponding author: Lingling Zhang (lingling80@ouc.edu.cn)

Academic editor: V. Lukhtanov Received 13 November 2014 Accepted 7 April 2015 Published 20	0 May 2015
http://zoobank.org/1F965759-D1F0-4349-9206-B0461562FA48	

Citation: Huang X, Bi K, Lu W, Wang S, Zhang L, Bao Z (2015) Genomic in situ hybridization identifies parental chromosomes in hybrid scallop (Bivalvia, Pectinoida, Pectinidae) between female *Chlamys farreri* and male *Argopecten irradians irradians*. Comparative Cytogenetics 9(2): 189–200. doi: 10.3897/CompCytogen.v9i2.8943

Abstract

Interspecific crossing was artificially carried out between *Chlamys farreri* (Jones & Preston, 1904) \bigcirc and *Argopecten irradians irradians* (Lamarck, 1819) \Diamond , two of the dominant cultivated scallop species in China. Genomic *in situ* hybridization (GISH) was used to examine the chromosome constitution and variation in hybrids at early embryonic stage. The number of chromosomes in 66.38% of the metaphases was 2n = 35 and the karyotype was 2n = 3 m + 5 sm + 16 st + 11 t. After GISH, two parental genomes were clearly distinguished in hybrids, most of which comprised 19 chromosomes derived from their female parent (*C. farreri*) and 16 chromosomes from their male parent (*A. i. irradians*). Some chromosome elimination and fragmentation was also observed in the hybrids.

Keywords

Scallop, interspecific hybridization, GISH, karyotype, chromosome aberration

Introduction

Utilization of heterosis has become one of the most important strategies for increasing productivity of commercial plants and animals (Hua et al. 2003). As a major approach for this attempt, crossbreeding programs have been extensively employed in agriculture (Vyn and Tollenaar 1998, Kumar 2002, Laurila et al. 2001, Xu et al. 2003) and stockbreeding production (Kahi et al. 2000, Carrapiso et al. 2003). In aquaculture, crossbreeding has been widely developed at both research and application aspects, particularly with some teleost fish species (Rahman et al. 1995, Gross et al. 1996, Kari et al. 1997, Gharrett et al. 1999). With respect to the breeding of marine shellfish, thus far, crossbreeding methods in oyster (Stiles 1978, Menzel 1987, Scarpa and Allen 1992) and abalone (Leighton and Lewis 1982, Yan et al. 1999, Wan et al. 2001, Cai et al. 2010) have been tentatively attempted or even commercially established for aquaculture. Scallop production comprises a pillar component of Chinese shellfish aquaculture in both value and weight. Interspecific hybridization of different pairs of species have been tentatively conducted for investigating their survival potential, growth and fertility for aquaculture purpose (Bower et al. 1997, Yang et al. 2004, Bi et al. 2005, Liu and Chang 2006, Lü et al. 2006a, Lü et al. 2006b, Huang et al. 2011, Wang et al. 2011, Hu et al. 2013). However, so far, only two successful cases of scallop crossbreeding were reported. One is hybrid Chlamys farreri (Jones & Preston, 1904) \bigcirc × Patinopecten yessoensis (Jay, 1857) \Diamond , whose offspring has a high production trait as well as strong disease resistance ability (Yang et al. 2002). The other is reciprocal hybrid between Argopecten irradians irradians (Lamarck, 1819) and A. purpuratus (Lamarck, 1819), and the hybrids exhibited a great increase in production traits as well as some interesting new characteristics (Wang et al. 2011).

To understand the genetic basis of heterosis, sequences of some nuclear gene and mitochondrial DNA and GISH were used to analyze the genomic constitution of scallop hybrids. Lü et al. (2006a and 2006b) reported that the chromosome number in most of the scallop hybrid between C. farreri and P. yessoensis was 38, which was accordant to that of their parents. But some abnormal chromosome constitutions were found including haploid, triploid, aneuploid and some gynogenesis-like individuals. The analysis of chromosome components in scallop hybrids between Mimachlamys nobilis (Reeve, 1852) and C. farreri by Huang et al. (2011) indicated that most of reciprocal hybrids contained 35 chromosomes, corresponding to the theoretical expectation of hybrids between the two species, and a few gynogenetic individuals, as well as chromosome fragmentations, aneuploids and allopolyploids were also detected in some F1 individuals. In the scallop hybrid between A. purpuratus and A. i. irradians (Hu et al. 2013), GISH verified a combination of haploid genomes of duplex parents in the hybrids. The sequence of the ribosomal DNA internal transcribed spacer region (ITS) showed that the hybrid offspring not only harbored alleles from their parents but also produced some recombinant variants,

which revealed some alterations in the nuclear gene of the hybrids. The mitochondrial 16S rDNA indicated a matrilineal inheritance in scallops. These progresses of genomic analysis in interspecific hybrids showed us some interesting phenomena of genomic structure in scallop hybrids.

The Zhikong Scallop, C. farreri is a native species of Northern China. It is an important cultivated scallop species and has accounted for over 60% of the total scallop production in China. The Bay Scallop, A. i. irradians, was introduced from North America to Qingdao in 1982 (Zhang et al. 1986). Bay scallops grow quickly and can reach market size (50-60 mm) within a year, which is much faster than Zhikong scallops which usually take 1.5-2.0 years to reach market size. Because of the short growout time, bay scallops became an important marine cultured species in China. The production of bay scallops increased considerably due to severe summer mortalities of Zhikong scallops since 1997. These two species have different cytogenetic features. C. farreri has a diploid number of 38 with a karyotype of 6m + 10cm + 22st (Wang et al. 1990), but the karyotype of A. i. irradians is 2n = 32 = 10st + 22t (Wang and Guo 2004). In addition, in C. farreri, the major and minor rRNA genes had one locus each and were mapped to the same chromosome. While in A. i. irradians, the major rRNA genes had two loci, the minor rRNA gene had one locus, and all of these three loci were on different chromosomes (Wang and Guo 2004). With these apparent ecological and genetic differences, C. farreri and A. i. irradians may be potentially useful for crossbreeding to obtain desirable scallop breeds.

We artificially carried out interspecific crossing between *C. farreri* and *A. i. irradians* as an initial step of the ongoing crossbreeding project. In the present study, we reported experimental results of using GISH to verify the hybrid identity of the larvae, and documented a number of interesting patterns of karyotypic abnormalities in some hybrids.

Material and methods

Scallop materials

Sexually mature scallop *C. farreri* \bigcirc and *A. i. irradians* \bigcirc (two years old) were obtained from Changfei Scallop Hatchery in Shandong Province, China. Artificial hybridization was carried out in the lab. The main procedures are as followed. Mature parents were induced to spawn by exposure to air for 30 min followed with a temperature shock in 20 °C seawater. Because *A. i. irradians* is hermaphroditic, sperm was filtered by a 25 µm mesh screen in order to avoid introducing eggs of *A. i. irradians*. After collection of the gametes, eggs from *C. farreri* were mixed with sperms from *A. i. irradians* to produce hybrids. Hybrid larvae were reared at 20 °C and sampled at the swimming trochophore stage (approximate 20 h after fertilization) and used for chromosome preparation.

Chromosome preparations

Following colchicine (0.01%) treatment for 2 h at room temperature, the larvae were exposed to 0.075 M KCl for about 30 min. After fixation in Carnoy's fixative (methanol: glacial acetic acid=3:1 v/v) for 3 times (each 15 min), samples were stored at -20 °C. The fixed larvae were dissociated into fine pieces by pippetting in 50% acetic acid. The cell suspension was dropped on hot-wet glass slides and air-dried. For FISH analysis, the chromosome preparations were air-dried and preserved in a moist chamber at -20 °C until use.

Genomic DNA extraction and labeling

Total genomic DNA was extracted from adductor muscle using traditional phenol/ chloroform method described by Sambrook et al. (1989). Genomic DNA from one parent was labeled with biotin-11-dUTP by nick translation following the manufacturer's protocol (ROCHE). The length range of probe fragments was approximately 100-600 bp. Labeled probe was purified, ethanol-precipitated and then resolved at a concentration of 5 ng/µl in a hybridization solution of 2×SSC, 50% deinoized formamide, 10% dextran sulphate and 100 µg/µl salmon testis DNA, pH 7.0. A 10-fold unlabeled blocking DNA from the other parental scallop species, which was sonicated to generate fragments of approximately 100-300 bp in length, was added into probe solution in order to block the DNA of the corresponding species.

Genomic in situ hybridization

Genomic *in situ* hybridization and probe detection were performed as described by Bi and Bogart (2006) with minor modifications. Before hybridization, slides were incubated at 50 °C for about 3 h, treated with 100 µg/ml RNase A in 2×SSC at 37 °C for 30 min, washed with 2×SSC at room temperature for 15 min, and denatured in a mixture contains 75% formamide and 2×SSC for 2-3 min at 72 °C, dehydrated through a ice-cold ethanol series including 70%, 90% and 100%, 5 min each, and air-dried. Genomic DNA probe mixture was denatured for 5 min at 80 °C, followed by immediately putting on ice for at least 10 min. Probe was pre-annealed by incubating for at 32 °C 5 min prior to hybridization. The probe hybridization mix was applied to the slide and DNA-DNA in situ hybridization was carried out in a dark humid container at 37 °C for 16-18 h. Following hybridization the slides were washed twice in 2×SSC, and 50% formamide at 42 °C for 10 min, 1×SSC at 42 °C for 10 min and finally in 2×SSC at room temperature for 10 min. Biotinylated probes were detected with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) conjugated avidin DCS (Cell Sorting Grade VECTOR) for 1 h at 37 °C. Chromosomes were counterstained with propidium iodide (VECTOR) for 40 min at 37 °C . Hybridization signals were detected by using Nikon epifluorescence microscope E-600 equipped with the appropriate filter sets for FITC and PI. More than 50 metaphase plates were examined by GISH.

Image processing

Digital images were recorded using a CCD camera (COHU) and analyzed with software of Lucia - FISH Image System. The karyotype was determined from more than 10 good metaphase plates and classified according to the criteria defined by Levan et al. (1964).

Results and discussion

The chromosome number of hybrids was determined by observing more than one hundred metaphase plates. The statistic results showed that 66.38% of 116 metaphase plates present a diploid component of 2n = 35 in the hybrids. Ten metaphase plates of hybrids were selected to measure arm length and calculate arm ratio and relative length of chromosomes. The karyotype of hybrids is 2n = 35 = 3m + 5sm + 16st + 11t. Typical mitotic spread of the hybrids was shown in Figure 1. The karyotype of *C. farreri* is 2n = 38 = 6m + 10sm + 22st (Wang et al. 1990), while that of *A. i. irradians* is 2n = 32 = 10st + 22t (Wang and Guo 2004). Most of the hybrid metaphase plates had a diploid chromosome number of 35, as expected from the parental haploid complements. According to the chromosome configuration, all 3 metacentric chromosomes, on the contrary, belonged to *A. i. irradians* but not *C. farreri*. These chromosome morphological characteristics can be used for chromosome identification in the hybrid metaphases.

By using blocking DNA and pre-annealing to block homoeologous sequences, labeled genomic DNA probes from one parent could not hybridize to chromosomes from the other one. GISH effectively distinguished all chromosomes of C. farreri and A. i. irradians in their hybrids, respectively. Examples of GISH results with detection of respective parental genomic DNA probes in hybrids were shown in Figure 1. FITClabeled genomic DNA of A. i. irradians blocked with unlabeled C. farreri genomic DNA was hybridized in situ to mitotic metaphase chromosome of the hybrids (Fig. 1A, B). At the same time, FITC-labeled genomic DNA of C. farreri blocked with unlabeled A. i. irradians genomic DNA was hybridized in situ to mitotic metaphase chromosome of the hybrids (Fig. 1C, D). On metaphase plates, though much genome cross-hybridization existed, strong contrast could be detected between fluorescein and PI staining. The karyotype of hybrids was 2n = 3m + 5sm + 16st + 11t, which credibly proved to be a combination of haploid genomes of two parents. Of a complement of 35 chromosomes, 19 chromosomes originated from C. farreri, whereas the remaining 16 were of A. i. irradians origin. Chromosome investigation is an effective method for hybrid genomic analysis. GISH is an efficient cytogenetic technique which allows chromosomes from different parents or ancestors to be distinguished. Labeled total genomic DNA from one parental species was used as a probe, and has often been found to be specific enough to mark the chromosomes from the other parent. Using this technique in hybrids, it is possible to determine the genome origin of paired and unpaired chromosomes in metaphase. GISH has been successfully used in analysis of genome origin




Figure 1. Representative metaphase chromosomes and karyotypes of F1 hybrids of *C. farreri* $\bigcirc \times A$. *i. irradians* \Diamond examined by GISH. Chromosomes were labeled by FITC (green) and counterstained by PI (red). In (**A**, **B**), chromosomes originated from *A. i. irradians* were painted green using the labeled genomic DNA probes from *A. i. irradians*. In (**C**, **D**), chromosomes from *C. farreri* were painted green using the labeled genomic DNA probes from *C. farreri*. m: metacentric, sm: submetacentric, st: subtelocentric, t: telocentric. Bars = 5 µm.

and organization of the hybrid plant (Brysting et al. 2000, Gavrilenko et al. 2001, Falistocco et al. 2002), fish (Fujiwara et al. 1997; Sakai et al. 2007; Ráb et al. 2008) and shellfish (Cai et al. 2010; Lü et al. 2006b; Huang et al. 2011; Hu et al. 2013).

In most metaphases, hybridization signals were not uniform along chromosomes. Some strong signals are located on telomeric region of long arms and centromeric regions in C. farreri (Fig. 1A), and on the telomeric region of almost all long arms and two short arms in A. i. irradians (Fig. 1C). These uneven signals along chromosomes indicated that some repetitive sequences were located on these regions, which was revealed by FISH using species-specific satellite probes in C. farreri (Zhang et al. 2008, Hu et al. 2011, Huang et al. 2011). In A. i. irradians, these strong signal locations were accordance with the heterochromatic regions on chromosomes revealed by C-bands, DAPI-bands and FISH using C_0t-1 DNA probes (Huang et al. 2007, Hu et al. 2011). The heterochromtic regions were found mainly in telomeric and centromeric regions by some banding methods in mollusk including scallops (Insua et al. 1998, López-Piñón et al. 2005, Huang et al. 2007), mussels (Martínez-Expósito et al. 1997, Torreiro et al. 1999, Pérez-García et al. 2011) and oysters (Li and Havenhand 1997, Wang et al. 2001, Cross et al. 2005). In addition, the nonuniform distribution of the signals reflected genomic repetitive DNAs to the chromosomes by self-GISH, which were observed in fishes (Targino et al. 2009), plants (She et al. 2007), insects (Pita et al. 2014) and mammals (Suarez-Villota et al. 2012). In A. i. irradians, the short arm of two subtelocentric chromosomes showed strong signals after GISH (Fig. 1A). The morphology of these two chromosomes was similar with those two pairs of chromosomes with NORs verified by silver staining and FISH using 18S-28S rDNA probes (Huang et al 2007). The strong signals in NORs were also found in heterochromatic region by self-GISH in plants (She et al. 2007). So we speculated the strong signal regions on short arm of two chromosomes in A. i. irradians were the nucleolus organizer regions (NORs).




Figure 2. Examples of chromosome fragments (**A**, **B**) and chromosome eliminations (**C**, **D**, **E**, **F**) in the F1 hybrids. In (**A**, **B**), chromosome fragments originated from *C. farreri* were marked with arrows. In (**C**, **D**), some chromosomes from *C. farreri* eliminated in the metaphase spread. In (**E**, **F**), some chromosomes from *A. i. irradians* eliminated in the metaphase spread. In (**A**, **B**, **E**, **F**), chromosomes were labeled by GISH using *A. i. irradians* genomic DNA probes (green). In (**C**, **D**), chromosomes were labeled by GISH using *C. farreri* genomic DNA probes (green). Bars = 5 μ m.

Chromosome number											
	≤30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	≥38	Total	
Number of analyzed metaphases	2	3	9	10	7	77	7	1	0	116	
Frequency (%)	1.72	2.59	7.76	8.62	6.03	66.38	6.04	0.86	0	100	

Table 1. Chromosome number of hybrids (*C. farreri* $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\rightarrow} \times A$. *i. irradians* $\stackrel{\circ}{\rightarrow}$).

During the examination, we also found some metaphases containing chromosome fragments and chromosome elimination. Chromosome fragments were found to originate from C. farreri (Fig. 2A, B) in only two metaphases. This phenomenon of chromosome fragments was not reported in other scallop interspecific hybridization. In addition, we found chromosomes derived from C. farreri were eliminated in 17.24% metaphases (Fig. 2C, D), which was apparently higher than those from A. i. irradians in 9.32% metaphases (Fig. 2E, F). In Table 1, totally 33.62% metaphases were aneuploid, much higher than the intraspecific cross groups 15.6% for C. farreri, indicating the instability of the hybrid genome (Huang et al. 2011). Chromosome abnormality is known to be one of the causes for hybrid inviability in some salmonid interspecific hybrids, which is induced by a possible incompatibility between paternal genome and maternal cytoplasm (Fujiwara et al. 1997). Chromosome elimination is observed in natural hybrids of insects such as Nasonia (Ashmead, 1904) (Breeuwer and Werren 1990, Reed and Werren 1995). We speculated that the observed chromosome elimination in scallop hybrids was influenced by the ratio or property of parental nuclear genomes and cytoplasms, where chromosomes from one parent were always eliminated by their asynchronous behaviors during mitosis.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (2012AA10A410), National Natural Science Foundation of China (31270047), National Basic Research Program of China (2010CB126402) and National Key Technology R&D Program of China (2011BAD13B05).

References

- Bi K, Bao ZM, Huang XT, Wang J, Zhao Y, Hu JJ (2005) Cytological observation on cross fertilization and the development of early embryos of *Chlamys farreri* ♀ × *C. nobilis* ♂ with fluorescent microscope. Periodical of Ocean University of China 35(2): 283–286. [In Chinese with English abstract]
- Bi K, Bogart JP (2006) Identification of intergenomic recombinations in unisexual salamanders of the genus *Ambystoma* by genomic in situ hybridization (GISH). Cytogenetic and Genome Research 112: 307–312. doi: 10.1159/000089885

- Bower S, Blackbourn J, Meyer G (1997) A new and unusual species of Perkinsus pathogenic to cultured Japanese scallops, *Patinopecten yessoensis*, in British Columbia, Canada. Journal of Shellfish Research 16(1): 333.
- Breeuwer J, Werren J (1990) Microorganisms associated with chromosome destruction and reproductive isolation between two insect species. Nature 346: 558–560. doi: 10.1038/346558a0
- Brysting AK, Holst-hensen A, Leitch I (2000) Genomic origin and organization of the hybrid Poa jemtlandica (Poaceae) verified by Genome In Situ Hybridization and chloroplast DNA sequences. Annals of Botany 85: 439–445. doi: 10.1006/anbo.1999.1088
- Cai MY, Ke CH, Luo X, Wang GZ, Wang ZY, Wang YL (2010) Karyological studies of the hybrid larvae of *Haliotis disversicolor supertexta* female and *Haliotis discus discus* male. Journal of Shellfish Research 29(3): 735–740. doi: 10.2983/035.029.0326
- Carrapiso A, Bonilla F, Garcia C (2003) Effect of crossbreeding and rearing system on sensory characteristics of Iberian ham. Meat Science 65: 623–629. doi: 10.1016/S0309-1740(02)00256-5
- Cross I, Díaz E, Sánchez I, Rebordinos L (2005) Molecular and cytogenetic characterization of *Crassostrea angulata* chromosomes. Aquaculture 247: 135–144. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2005.02.039
- Falistocco E, Torricelli R, Falcinelli M (2002) Genomic relationships between *Medicago murex* Willd. and *Medicago lesinsii* E. Small. investigated by in situ hybridization. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 105: 829–833. doi: 10.1007/s00122-002-1055-5
- Fujiwara A, Abe S, Yamaha E, Yamazaki F, Yoshida MC (1997) Uniparental chromosome elimination in the early embryogenesis of the inviable salmonid hybrids between masu salmon female and rainbow trout male. Chromosoma 106: 44–52. doi: 10.1007/s004120050223
- Gavrilenko T, Thieme R, Rokka VM (2001) Cytogenetic analysis of *Lycopersicon esculentum* (+) *Solanum etuberosum* somatic hybrids and their androgenetic regenerants. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 103: 231–239. doi: 10.1007/s001220100626
- Gharrett AJ, Smoker WW, Reisenbichler RR, Taylor SG (1999) Outbreeding depression in hybrids between odd- and even-broodyear pink salmon. Aquaculture 173: 117–129. doi: 10.1016/S0044-8486(98)00480-3
- Gross R, Nilsson J, Schmitz M (1996) A new species-specific nuclear DNA marker for identification of hybrids between Atlantic salmon and brown trout. Journal of Fish Biology 49: 537–540. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8649.1996.tb00049.x
- Hu LP, Shang WC, Sun Y, Wang S, Ren XL, Huang XT, Bao ZM (2011) Comparative Cytogenetics Analysis of *Chlamys farreri*, *Patinopecten yessoensis*, and *Argopecten irradians* with C₀t-1 DNA by Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization. Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, Article ID 785831. doi: 10.1155/2011/785831
- Hu LP, Huang XT, Mao JX, Wang CD, Bao ZM (2013) Genomic characterization of interspecific hybrids between the scallops *Argopecten purpuratus* and *A. irradians irradians*. PLoS ONE 8(4): e62432. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0062432
- Hua JP, Xing YZ, Wu WR, Xu CG, Sun XL, Yu SB, Zhang QF (2003) Single-locus heterotic effects and dominance by dominance interactions can adequately explain the genetic basis of heterosis in an elite rice hybrid. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science 100(5): 2574–2579. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0437907100

- Huang XT, Bi K, Hu LP, Sun Y, Lu W, Bao ZM (2011) Fertilization and cytogenetic examination of interspecific reciprocal hybridization between the Scallops, *Chlamys farreri* and *Mimachlamys nobilis*. PLoS ONE 6(11): e27235. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0027235
- Huang XT, Hu JJ, Hu XL, Zhang C, Zhang LL, Wang S, Lu W, Bao ZM (2007) Cytogenetic characterization of the bay scallop, *Argopecten irradians irradians*, by multiple staining techniques and fluorescence in situ hybridization. Genes & Genetic Systems 82: 257–263. doi: 10.1266/ggs.82.257
- Insua A, López-Piñón MJ, Méndez J (1998) Characterization of Aequipecten opercularis (Bivalvia: Pectinidae) chromosomes by different staining techniques and fluorescent in situ hybridization. Genes & Genetic Systems 73: 193–200. doi: 10.1266/ggs.73.193
- Kahi AK, Thorpe W, Nitter G, Baker RL (2000) Crossbreeding for dairy production in the lowland tropics of Kenya. Livestock Production Science 63: 39–54. doi: 10.1016/S0301-6226(99)00132-3
- Kari E, Saku I, Jukka A (1997) Inheritance of RAPD markers and detection of interspecific hybridization with brown trout and Atlantic salmon. Aquaculture 152: 55–65. doi: 10.1016/ S0044-8486(96)01529-3
- Kumar H (2002) Plant damage and grain yield reduction by fall armyworm and stem borers on certain maize hybrids containing resistance genes from varying sources under experimental and farmers field conditions. Crop Protection 21(7): 563–573. doi: 10.1016/S0261-2194(01)00146-6
- Laurila J, Laakso I, Larkka J, Gavrilenko T, Rokka V-M, Pehu E (2001) The proportions of glycoalkaloid aglycones are dependent on the genome constitutions of interspecific hybrids between two *Solanum* species (*S. brevidens* and *S. tuberosum*). Plant Science 161(4): 677–683. doi: 10.1016/S0168-9452(01)00453-8
- Leighton DL, Lewis CA (1982) Experimental hybridization in abalones. International Journal of Invertebrate Reproduction 5(5): 273–282. doi: 10.1080/01651269.1982.10553479
- Levan A, Fredga K, Sandberg A (1964) Nomenclature for centromeric position on chromosomes. Hereditas 52: 201–220. doi: 10.1111/j.1601-5223.1964.tb01953.x
- Li XX, Havenhand JN (1997) Karyotype, nucleolus organiser regions and constitutive heterochromatin in *Ostrea angasi* (Molluscae: Bivalvia): evidence of taxonomic relationships within the Ostreidae. Marine Biology 127: 443–448. doi: 10.1007/ s002270050031
- Liu XJ, Chang YQ (2006) The feasibility of the hybridization in four species of scallop and the early development of their offsprings. Journal of Dalian Fisheries University 21(4): 346–349. [In Chinese with English abstract]
- López-Piñón MJ, Insua A, Méndez J (2005) Chromosome analysis and mapping of ribosomal genes by One- and Two-Color fluorescent in situ hybridization in *Hinnites distortus* (Bivalvia: Pectinidae). Journal of Heredity 96: 52–58. doi: 10.1093/jhered/esi001
- Lü ZM, Yang AG, Wang QY, Liu ZH, Zhou LQ (2006a) Preliminary cytological identification and immunological traits of hybrid scallop from *Chlamys farreri* (♀) × *Patinopecten yessoensis* (♂). Journal of Fishery Sciences of China 13(4): 597–602. [In Chinese with English abstract]

- Lü ZM, Yang AG, Wang QY, Liu ZH, Zhou LQ (2006b) Cytogenetic analysis on the hybrids from reciprocal crosses of *Chlamys farreri* × *Patinopecten yessoensis*. High Technology Letter 16(8): 853–858. [In Chinese with English abstract]
- Martínez-Expósito MJ, Méndez J, Pasantes JJ (1997) Analysis of NORs and NOR-associated heterochromatin in the mussel *Mytilus galloprovincialis* Lmk. Chromosome Research 5: 268–273. doi: 10.1023/A:1018475804613
- Menzel W (1987) Hybridization of oysters and clams. In: Tiews K (Ed.) Selection, hybridization and genetic engineering in aquaculture Volume 2. Heenemann, Berlin, 47–59.
- Pérez-García C, Morán P, Pasantes J (2011) Cytogenetic characterization of the invasive mussel species *Xenostrobus securis* Lmk.(Bivalvia: Mytilidae). Genome 54: 771–778. doi: 10.1139/G11-040
- Pita S, Panzera F, Sánchez A, Panzera Y, Palomeque T, Lorite P (2014) Distribution and evolution of repeated sequences in genomes of Triatominae (Hemiptera-Reduviidae) inferred from genomic in situ hybridization. PLoS ONE 9(12): e114298. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0114298
- Ráb P, Rábová M, Pereira CS, Collares-Pereira MJ, Pelikánová Š (2008) Chromosome studies of European cyprinid fishes: interspecific homology of leuciscine cytotaxonomic marker-the largest subtelocentric chromosome pair as revealed by cross-species painting. Chromosome Research 16: 863–873. doi: 10.1007/s10577-008-1245-3
- Rahman MA, Bhadra A, Begum N, Islam MS, Hussain MG (1995) Production of hybrid vigor through cross breeding between *Clarias batrachus* Lin. and *Claras gariepinus* Bur. Aquaculture 138: 125–130. doi: 10.1016/0044-8486(95)01076-9
- Reed KM, Werren JH (1995) Induction of paternal genome loss by the parental-sex-ration chromosome and cytoplasmic incompatibility bacteria (*Wolbachia*): A comparative study of early embryonic events. Molecular Reproduction and Development 40: 408–418. doi: 10.1002/mrd.1080400404
- Sakai C, Konno F, Nakano O, Iwai T, Yokota T, Lee J, Nishida-Umehara C, Kuroiwa A, Matsuda Y, Yamashita M (2007) Chromosome elimination in the interspecific hybrid medaka between *Oryzias latipes* and *O. hubbsi*. Chromosome Research 15(6): 697–709. doi: 10.1007/s10577-007-1155-9
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York, 2028 pp.
- Scarpa J, Allen SK (1992) Comparative kinetics of meiosis in hybrid crosses of Pacific oyster Crassostrea gigas and Suminoe oyster C. rivularis with the American oyster C. virginica. Journal of Experimental Zoology 263(3): 316–322. doi: 10.1002/jez.1402630311
- She CW, Liu JY, Diao Y, Hu ZL, Song YC (2007) The distribution of repetitive DNAs along chromosomes in plants revealed by self-genomic in situ hybridization. Journal of Genetics and Genomics 34(5): 437–448. doi: 10.1016/S1673-8527(07)60048-4
- Stiles S (1978) Conventional and experimental approaches to hybridization and inbreeding research in the oyster. Proceedings of the annual meeting – World Mariculture Society 9: 577–586. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-7345.1978.tb00275.x
- Suárez-Villota EY, Vargas RA, Marchant CL, Torres JE, Köhler N, Núñez JJ, de la Fuente R, Page J, Gallardo MH (2012) Distribution of repetitive DNAs and the hybrid origin of the red vizcacha rat (Octodontidae). Genome 55(2): 105–117. doi: 10.1139/G11-084

- Targino VG, Henrique SC, Claudia GM, Feldberg E, Martins C (2009) Comparative cytogenetics of cichlid fishes through genomic in situ hybridization (GISH) with emphasis on *Oreochromis niloticus*. Chromosome Research 17: 791–799. doi: 10.1007/s10577-009-9067-5
- Torreiro A, MartóÂnez-ExpoÂsito MJ, Trucco MI, Pasantes JJ (1999) Cytogenetics in Brachidontes rodriguezi d'Orb (Bivalvia, Mytilidae). Chromosome Research 7: 49–55. doi: 10.1023/A:1009275311888
- Vyn TJ, Tollenaar M (1998) Changes in chemical and physical quality parameters of maize grain during three decades of yield improvement. Field Crops Research 59(2): 135–140. doi: 10.1016/S0378-4290(98)00114-2
- Wan J, Wang XL, Pan J, Li B, Li Z, Bao ZM, Yan JP, Fang JG (2001) RAPD Analysis of the genetic change in parent abalone and their hybrids. Journal of Ocean University of Qingdao 31(4): 506–512. [In Chinese with English abstract]
- Wang CD, Liu BZ, Li JQ, Liu SP, Li JL, Hu LP, Fan X, Du HK, Fang HH (2011) Introduction of the Peruvian scallop and its hybridization with the bay scallop in China. Aquaculture 310: 380–387. doi: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2010.11.014
- Wang ML, Zheng JS, Yu H (1990) The karyotype of *Chlamys farreri*. Journal of Ocean University of China 20: 81–84. [In Chinese with English abstract]
- Wang YP, Xu Z, Guo XM (2001) A centromeric satellite sequence in the Pacific Oyster (*Crassos-trea gigas* Thunberg) identified by fluorescence in situ hybridization. Marine Biotechnology 3: 486–492. doi: 10.1007/s10126-001-0063-3
- Wang YP, Guo XM (2004) Chromosomal rearrangement in Pectinidae revealed by rRNA loci and implications for Bivalve evolution. The Biology Bulletin 207(3): 247–256. doi: 10.2307/1543213
- Xu WW, Pollak L, Bynum ED (2003) Tropical × temperate germplasm resistant to corn earworm (Lepidoptera: noctuidae). Crop Protection 22(6): 859–864. doi: 10.1016/S0261-2194(03)00069-3
- Yan JP, Sun HL, Fang JG, Zhang XL, Chen JY, Zhang CL (1999) Study on the technology of crossbreeding abalones *Haliotis discus discus* and *Haliotis discus hannai* Ino. Marine fisheries research 20(1): 35–39. [In Chinese with English abstract]
- Yang AG, Wang QY, Liu ZH, Zhang Y (2002) Cytological observation on cross fertilization of *Chlamys farreri* and *Patinopecten yesoensis* with fluorescent microscope. Marine Fisheries Research 23(3): 1–4. [In Chinese with English abstract]
- Yang AG, Wang QY, Liu ZM, Zhou LQ (2004) The hybrid between the scallops *Chlamys farreri* and *Patinopecten yessoensis* and the inheritance characteristics of its first filial generation. Marine Fisheries Research 25(5): 1–5. [In Chinese with English abstract]
- Zhang FS, He YC, Liu XS, Ma J, Li S, Qi L (1986) A report on the introduction, seed culture and experimental grow-out of the bay scallop, *Argopecten irradiands* Larmarck. Oceanologia et Limnologia Sinica 17: 367–374. [In Chinese with English abstract]
- Zhang LL, Bao ZM, Wang S, Hu XL, Hu JJ (2008) FISH Mapping and Identification of Zhikong Scallop (*Chlamys farreri*) Chromosomes. Marine Biotechnology 10: 151–157. doi: 10.1007/s10126-007-9045-x