Karyotype and chromosome banding of endangered crucian carp, Carassius carassius (Linnaeus, 1758) (Teleostei, Cyprinidae)
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Abstract
The karyotype and other chromosomal characteristics the crucian carp (Carassius carassius (Linnaeus, 1758)) were revealed by means of conventional banding protocols (C, CMA3, AgNOR). The diploid chromosome number (2n) in this species was 100. Its karyotype was composed of 10 pairs of metacentric, 18 pairs of submetacentric and 22 pairs of subtelo- to acrocentric chromosomes without any microchromosomes. C-banding identified blocks of telomeric heterochromatin on seven chromosome pairs. The NORs were situated on the p arms of the 14th pair of submetacentric chromosomes and on the p arms of the 32nd pair of subtelo-acrocentric chromosomes; AgNOR-positive signals corresponded to the CMA3-positive signals. These chromosome characteristics may suggest a paleo-allotetraploid origin of C. carassius genome.
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Introduction

The crucian carp, *Carassius carassius* (Linnaeus, 1758), is a cyprinid fish that inhabits densely vegetated backwaters and oxbows of lowland rivers, shallow lakes and ponds. It is a native species to Europe with a distribution extending eastwards from the River Rhine to the River Kolyma in Siberia (Szczerbowski 2002, Kottelat and Freyhof 2007). Despite its ability of “tissue breathing” (Bläžka 1958) which helps it to survive in unfavourable conditions, the crucian carp has undergone a substantial decline in many localities during the last decades (Navodaru et al. 2002, Kottelat and Freyhof 2007, Sayer et al. 2011). Indisputable disappearance from nature resulted in the inclusion of the crucian carp in the list of endangered species by authorities of several EU countries (Economidis 1995, Schiemer and Spindler 2006, Copp et al. 2008, Sayer et al. 2011).

There is a number of factors that may have contributed to the disappearance of *C. carassius*, including habitat loss and degradation (Copp 1991, Holopainen and Ikari 1992, Wheeler 2000), displacement via competition with introduced species such as the polyploid biotype of the Prussian carp *Carassius gibelio* (Bloch, 1782), the Amur sleeper *Perccottus glenii* (Dybowski, 1877), feral goldfish *Carassius auratus* (Linnaeus, 1758) and the common carp *Cyprinus carpio* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Tarkan et al. 2012, Litvinov and O’Gorman 1996, Copp et al. 2005, Lusk et al. 2010). Moreover, all species of *Carassius* Nilsson, 1832 present in Europe (Rylková et al. 2013), including the crucian carp (*C. carassius*), Prussian carp (*C. gibelio*), ginbuna (*Carassius langsdorffii* Temminck & Schlegel, 1846) and goldfish (*C. auratus*) are often confused due to their morphological similarity (Hensel 1971, Kalous et al. 2007). Such confusion may lead to inappropriate stocking of wrong species instead of intended support of a local endangered population of crucian carp with negative consequences (Sayer et al. 2011).

Genetic contamination seems to be a very important but hidden threat to *C. carassius* that has been recently discovered. Hybridization occurs between *C. carassius* and *C. gibelio* (Prokeš and Baruš 1996). This type of hybridization was later confirmed using molecular (Papoušek et al. 2008, Wouters et al. 2012) and cytogenetic techniques (Knytl et al. 2013) in Sweden and the Czech Republic. Hybrids between *C. carassius* and *C. auratus* (Hänfling et al. 2005, Smartt 2007) and intergeneric hybrids between *C. carassius* and *Cyprinus carpio* (Hänfling et al. 2005) were discovered in England also by using microsatellite analysis. We believe that these processes also take place in other localities where *C. carassius*, *C. auratus* and/or *C. gibelio* co-occur. Moreover, molecular data suggest that these hybrids are able to reproduce and form filial generations by backcrossing (Hänfling et al. 2005, Wouters et al. 2012).

The cytogenetics of *C. carassius* is still poorly understood, since only a few studies of this species based on Giemsa-stained chromosomes are known (Table 1). Interestingly, two different diploid chromosome numbers 2n = 50 and 2n = 100 were reported. Such an unclear situation encourages us to present cytogenetic analyses of *C. carassius* with respect to ongoing hybridization processes and threats in European waters. The present study deals with chromosomal characteristics of crucian carp (*C. carassius*)
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from the locality Byšičky in vicinity of the Elbe River (Czech Republic). Prussian carp (C. gibelio) and crucian carp co-occur in this place and the a hybrid allopolyploid female with 206 chromosomes was recently discovered there (Knytl et al. 2013). In this paper, we have used Giemsa staining as well as banding techniques like C, CMA3, AgNOR and DAPI (4’, 6-diamino-2-phenylindole) banding.

### Material and methods

**Fish sampling**

Four females and one male were collected during a field survey of ichthyofauna in alluvial ponds and old oxbows of the Elbe River close to the city of Lysá nad Labem (GPS: 50°10.75’ N, 14°47.62’ E). All five individuals were identified morphologically as common Carassius carassius (not the dwarf form) according to Szczerbowski (2002) and Kottelat and Freyhof (2007). This material is deposited as voucher specimens in the collection of the Department of Zoology and Fisheries, Czech University of Life Sciences Prague under number KZR141083Cc.

**Chromosome preparation and staining**

All collected fish were subjected to a non-destructive procedure for chromosome preparation from fin clips developed by Völker and Kullmann (2006) and modified by Kalous et al. (2010); 50 metaphases from each individual were analyzed. Metaphase chromosomes stained in 4 % Giemsa-Romanowski solution in phosphate buffer (pH = 7) were counted with PC software QuickPhoto Micro. Karyotypes were arranged using PC software Ikaros (karyotyping system), version V 3.4.0 and Adobe Photoshop, version CS7. Chromosome morphology was determined according to Levan et al. (1964). Analyzed slides with recorded co-ordinates of selected metaphases were cleaned in xy-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2n</th>
<th>Diploid karyotype</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>20m+72sm+12a</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Chiarelli et al. 1969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>20m+44sm+36a</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Hafez et al. 1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>52m-sm+48 st-a</td>
<td>Drina R., Ukrinski Lug (Bosnia)</td>
<td>Sofradžija et al. 1978</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>20m+40sm+40a</td>
<td>the Netherlands</td>
<td>Kobayasi et al. 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>20m+12sm+18s-ta</td>
<td>lower Danube R. (Romania)</td>
<td>Raicu et al. 1981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>48m-sm+52st-a</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>Vasilev and Vasileva 1985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Elbe R. System (Czech Republic)</td>
<td>Mayr et al. 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Vistula R. System (Poland)</td>
<td>Boroń et al. 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>20m+36sm+44st-a</td>
<td>Elbe R. System (Czech Republic)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Chromosome numbers and karyotypes of Carassius carassius reported from Europe; NA= not available.
lone for 2 minutes, then in benzoin for 2 minutes and finally destained in fixative (methanol: acetic acid; 3:1, v/v) for 3 minutes. Chromosome slides were then stored at +4°C for 12 hours before banding experiments. Chromosome banding (CMA₃, DAPI, C and AgNOR) was carried out according to Rábová et al. (2013). Different slides were used for each banding method (non-sequential chromosome banding), except for the sequential DAPI + CMA₃. Valid Animal Use Protocols were in force at the Institute of Animal Physiology and Genetics and Czech University of Life Sciences Prague during this study.

Microscopy and image processing

CMA₃, DAPI, C-banding and AgNOR images were captured with a cooled CCD camera Olympus DP30BW (equipped with a black-and-white (B&W) CCD-Chip Sony ICX285-AL) coupled to an epifluorescence microscope Olympus AX70 equipped with a set of 3 narrowband fluorescent filters. Micrographs were captured with the Olympus Acquisition Software and B&W images were processed with the software Micro Image. Altogether 200 images (metaphases), i.e. 50 images for each banding type (CMA₃, DAPI, C and AgNOR) were taken and analyzed.

Results

Karyotype

The diploid chromosome number of the examined individuals was invariably 2n = 100 (75 % investigated metaphases). The karyotype consisted of 10 pairs of metacentric (m), 18 pairs of submetacentric (sm) and 22 pairs of subtelo- (st) to acrocentric (a) chromosomes without any microchromosomes (Fig. 1).

Chromosome banding and AgNOR staining

Sequential banding (DAPI + CMA₃) revealed four CMA₃-positive bands situated at the sites of the secondary constrictions on the p arms of the 14th pair of sm chromosomes and on the p arms of the 32nd pair of st-a chromosomes (Figs 2b, c, e, f). DAPI uniformly stained all chromosomes (Figs 2a, d). AgNOR analysis revealed four positive signals (Figs 3a, b) which corresponded to four CMA₃ positive signals. C-banding detected blocks of constitutive heterochromatin at the telomeric and pericentromeric chromosome regions (Figs 4a, b). Telomeric signals were more intensive than pericentromeric ones. C-banded chromosomes were arranged in an karyotype (Fig. 5). Seven chromosome pairs had conspicuous C-banded arms.
Discussion

The karyotype of all the five individuals of crucian carp from Byšičky ox-bow had the same diploid chromosome number 2n = 100. This number equalled the value reported in other previous studies (Table 1) except those by Raicu et al. (1981) and Chiarelli et al. (1969). Interestingly, Raicu et al. (1981) found the diploid chromosome number 2n = 50 in individuals from the Danube Delta. Although this report might be a result of a laboratory-generated error (slide mix-up), our closer inspection of the published karyotype did not provide any obvious answer. Vasilev and Vasileva (1985) discussed the finding of Raicu et al. (1981) and suggested that the presented karyotype belonged to a member of the genus Gobio Cuvier, 1816. At present, it is difficult to speculate more about the observed difference between the reported chromosome numbers unless detailed population screening of this species will be available. In contrast to the results obtained by Raicu et al. (1981), the diploid number of 104 chromosomes presented by Chiarelli et al. (1969) could be most likely attributed to preparation artifact.

The present study demonstrated that karyotype of individuals of C. carassius under study possessed 10 pairs of metacentric, 18 pairs of submetacentric and 22 pairs of sub-telo- to acrocentric chromosomes, already reported by Knytl et al. (2013) as a haploid...
Figure 2. a–f Sequential chromosome banding of *C. carassius* female chromosomes. Metaphases counterstained by DAPI show all 100 chromosomes (a, d), metaphases stained by CMA3 show 4 NORs (b, e white arrows) and the combination of these bandings show 4 identical NORs (c, f white arrows; green signals). Bar = 10 μm.
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Figure 3. a–b AgNOR staining metaphases of *C. carassius* female (a, b black arrows) indicate 4 NOR-positive sites. Bar = 10 μm.

Figure 4. a–b C-banded metaphases of *C. carassius* female (a, b) show signals localized in the teloce-meric and pericentromeric chromosome regions. Bar = 10 μm.

Figure 5. Karyotype of *C. carassius* female arranged from C-banded chromosomes. Seven pairs of chromosomes show significant signals (black arrows). Bar = 10 μm.
component of the genome of the allopolyploid female of *C. gibelio*. Arrangement of chromosomes within the karyotype was different compared with other findings (i.e. Hafez et al. 1978, Sofradžija et al. 1978), probably due to a different level of chromosome spiralization (Ráb and Collares-Pereira 1995). Two other available studies dealing with the number, location and chromosomal characteristics of the major rDNA sites (Mayr et al. 1986, Boroń et al. 2010) showed four chromosomal sites on two different sm pairs of chromosomes. We also observed this pattern, i.e. four mutually corresponding CMA_3 and AgNOR signals respectively, on the secondary constrictions on the short arms of a single pair of sm chromosomes and another pair of st-a chromosomes. Though this chromosomal pattern is very common, it represents an additional evidence in favor of paleotetraploidy of the crucian carp genome as suggested by Vasilev and Vasileva (1985). This hypothesis must be examined using other techniques, since it was proven in other similar cases when common carp *Cyprinus carpio* (Larhammar and Risinger 1994, David et al. 2003, Zhang et al. 2008) as well as various species of *Barbus* Cuvier, 1816 (*sensu lato*) (Chenuil et al. 1999) were also revealed as evolutionary tetraploids based on sequences and substitutions analyses, as well as microsatellite analyses respectively.

DAPI-counterstained chromosomes did not provide any useful information since the observed signals were uniform throughout the chromosomes. Similar results were reported for *C. gibelio* by Zhu and Gui (2007).

We have performed C-banding on chromosomes of *C. carassius* for the first time. Constitutive heterochromatin blocks detected by C-banding method were located in telomeric regions of 7 pairs of chromosomes. Number of these signals can be a species-specific marker, especially in paleotetraploid forms.

Although there is no information about sex differences between *C. carassius* karyotypes, we have to point out that only one male specimen was included in this study.

In respect to its status of a highly endangered fish species and unclear distribution of possible diploid and/or paleotetraploid forms as well as ongoing hybridization process with other species of this genus across its range of distribution, the present study is a moderate but important contribution to the cytogentic and cytotaxonomy of *C. carassius*.
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